minnesota satisfactoriness scales



Vocational Psychology Research
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Copyright 1977

Employee Name	. Job
Rated by	Date

Please check the best answer for each question Be sure to answer all questions

Compared to others in his/her work group, how well does the employee	not as well	about the same	better
1. Follow company policies and practices?			
2. Accept the direction of his/her supervisor?			
3. Follow standard work rules and procedures?			
4. Accept the responsibility of his/her job?			
5. Adapt to changes in procedures or methods?			
6. Respect the authority of his/her supervisor?			
7. Work as a member of a team?			
8. Get along with his/her supervisors?			
9. Perform repetitive tasks?			
10. Get along with his/her co-workers?			
11. Perform tasks requiring variety and change in methods?			
Compared to others in his/her work group	not as good	about the same	better
12. How good is the quality of his/her work?	•		
13. How good is the quantity of his/her work?			
	Vac	not	no
If you could make the decision, would you	yes	sure □	no
14. Give him/her a pay raise?		_	
15. Transfer him/her to a job at a higher level?			
16. Promote him/her to a position of more responsibility?			

Please check the best answer for each question Be sure to answer all questions

Compared to others in his/her work group, how often does the employee	less	about the same	more
17. Come late for work?			
18. Become overexcited?			
19. Become upset and unhappy?			
20. Need disciplinary action?			
21. Stay absent from work?			
22. Seem bothered by something?			
23. Complain about physical ailments?			
24. Say 'odd' things?			
25. Seem to tire easily?			
26. Act as if he/she is not listening when spoken to?			
27. Wander from subject to subject when talking?			
28. Now will you please consider this worker with respect to overall comness of job performance, proficiency, and general overall value. Take elements of successful job performance, such as knowledge of the formed, quantity and quality of output, relations with other people superiors), ability to get the work done, intelligence, interest, responsible. In other words, how closely does he/she approximate the ideal, want more of? With all these factors in mind, where would you rank the with the other people whom you now have doing the same work? (or, if how does he/she compare with those who have done the same work.)	ce into job and (subor to the kind in the	account d function dinates, or raining, a d of work ker as cor e is the on	all the ns per- equals, and the ser you
In the top ¼			🗆
In the top half but not among the top 1/4			🗆
In the bottom half but not among the lowest $lambda$			🗆
In the lowest 1/4			П