minnesota studies in vocational rehabilitation: xxii

Manual for the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire

David J. Weiss, Rene V. Dawis

George W. England, and Lloyd H. Lofquist

Supplies of the initial printing of the Manual for the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire have been exhausted. A new manual is in preparation. Rather than asking users to pay the exorbitant costs of a limited re-printing of the current manual, we will supply photocopies of this manual until its successor becomes available. Minnesota Studies in Vocational Rehabilitation are supported, in part, by Research Grant RD-1613-G from the Vocational Rehabilitation Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C. 20201.

© Copyright 1967 by the Work Adjustment Project Industrial Relations Center University of Minnesota

The Vocational Rehabilitation Administration reserves a royalty-free, non-exclusive, and irrevocable license to reproduce, publish, or otherwise use, and authorize others to use, all copyrightable or copyrighted material resulting from this grant-supported research.

All computations reported in this monograph were performed at the University Computer Center, University of Minnesota.

The Minnesota Studies in Vocational Rehabilitation

Purpose and Methodology

The Minnesota Studies in Vocational Rehabilitation, better known as the Work Adjustment Project, are a continuing series of research studies being conducted on the general problem of adjustment to work. Specifically, they focus on the work adjustment problems relevant to vocational rehabilitation services. These studies, begun in 1957, have two objectives: the development of diagnostic tools for assessing the work adjustment "potential" of applicants for vocational rehabilitation, and the evaluation of work adjustment outcomes. These primary goals are embodied in a conceptual framework for research, entitled the Theory of Work Adjustment. This theory uses the correspondence (or lack of it) between the work personality and the work environment as the principal reason or explanation for observed work adjustment outcomes (satisfactoriness, satisfaction, and tenure). The theory states further that vocational abilities and vocational needs are the significant aspects of the work personality, while ability requirements and reinforcer systems are the significant aspects of the work environment. Work adjustment is predicted by matching an individual's work personality with work environments. In other words, work adjustment depends on how well an individual's abilities correspond to the ability requirements in work, and how well his needs correspond to the reinforcers available in the work environment.

Work Adjustment Project research has been directed at testing the usefulness of the Theory of Work Adjustment in working with rehabilitation clients. For example, it has been shown that vocational needs are measurable and can be measured separately from measured satisfaction. In addition, it has been demonstrated that satisfaction in a variety of work environments can be predicted from the correspondence of measured vocational needs and either estimated or inferred job reinforcer systems. It has also been demonstrated that satisfaction and satisfactoriness are measurable indicators of work adjustment, and that they can be measured independently of each other.

The Work Adjustment Project is working toward further improvement of measures of vocational abilities and vocational needs, with the aim of providing vocational rehabilitation counselors with better tools for evaluating the work personalities of vocational rehabilitation applicants. More efficient and economical methods of describing ability requirements and reinforcer systems in work environments are being developed. In addition, work continues on testing, developing, and modifying the Theory of Work Adjustment and its implications for a psychology of disability, which treats disability in work adjustment terms, rather than solely in medical diagnostic terms.

The present monograph describes the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire (MSQ) as a measure of one of the primary indicators of work adjustment. It is intended to serve as a manual for use of the MSQ. It includes development

and normative data on two forms of the MSQ, a twenty-one scale long form, and a three-scale short form.

Findings

The research resulted in the development of a questionnaire (in two forms, long and short) that measures satisfaction with several specific aspects of work and work environments. This questionnaire (the MSQ) makes it feasible to obtain a more individualized picture of worker satisfaction than was possible using gross or more general measures of satisfaction with the job as a whole. This individualized measurement is useful because two individuals may express the same amount of general satisfaction with their work but for entirely different reasons. For example, one individual may be satisfied with his work because it allows him to satisfy his needs for independence and security. Another person who is equally satisfied with his work is able to satisfy his needs for creativity, ability utilization and achievement. Research has shown that there are individual differences in the vocational needs of people. Research has also shown that there are individual differences in jobs with respect to the reinforcers available for the satisfaction of needs. It is, therefore, likely that people find different satisfactions in work, and to understand these differences, it is useful to measure satisfaction with the specific aspects of work and work environments. Such understanding should contribute to the effectiveness of vocational planning with individual clients.

As indicated in this monograph, the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire is an instrument that measures satisfaction with several different aspects of the work environment. It takes little time to administer (15-20 minutes for the long form, 5 minutes for the short form); it is easy to read (fifth grade reading level); meets the accepted standards for reliability; and shows evidence of validity. It appears to be ready for use in evaluating vocational rehabilitation outcomes.

Implications for Vocational Rehabilitation Practice

In addition to statistical evaluation of vocational rehabilitation counseling (e.g., number of cases closed, number placed in employment), rehabilitation counselors have been interested in assessing the quality of counseling outcomes. The availability of the MSQ makes it possible to measure one qualitative aspect of vocational rehabilitation. The characteristics of the instrument make this relatively easy to accomplish.

This measure of job satisfaction provides one concrete quality outcome measure against which the effectiveness of counselors and/or specific counseling techniques can be evaluated. It also enables the individual counselor to gauge his effectivness in assisting clients to find jobs which take account of their individual needs. In addition, data from systematic follow-up of client satisfaction, and the normative data in this manual, should help counselors to learn a great deal about the different reinforcers present in the large variety of jobs that exist. This additional knowledge should facilitate the development

of vocational plans that will enhance both client satisfaction and client tenure on the job.

Recommendations for Use

Unless the agency or counselor finds the 15-20 minutes required by the long form to be absolutely impractical, it is strongly recommended that the long form of the MSQ be used. The reason for this is that the long form provides much more information for the very short additional time that it requires.

When the MSQ is used to evaluate counseling, to evaluate different techniques, or to generate information about the reinforcers in jobs, large and representative samples of clients should be used.

When an individual's satisfaction scores are to be interpreted, care should be taken to use the most appropriate norm group to provide the point of reference for comparison purposes.

The most meaningful scores to use in interpreting the MSQ are the percentile scores for each scale obtained from the most appropriate norm group for the individual. Ordinarily, a percentile score of 75 or higher would be taken to represent a high degree of satisfaction; a percentile score of 25 or lower would indicate a low level of satisfaction; and, scores in the middle range of percentiles indicate average satisfaction.

The MSQ may be administered by mail, if proper controls are used, or in an interview setting.

Authorization to use the MSQ can be obtained by writing to the Work Adjustment Project.

Contents

	гав
Section I. Description and Use	
Description of the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire	
The long-form MSQ	
The short-form MSQ	
Use of the MSQ	
Administration	
Scoring	
Interpretation of MSQ scores	
Norms for the long-form MSQ	
Norms for the short-form MSQ	
An illustration of the scoring and interpretation of the MSQ	
Copyright	1
Section II. Technical Data	1
Development of the questionnaires	
The long-form MSQ	
Reliability	
Internal Consistency	
Stability	
Validity	
Construct validity	
Group differences (concurrent validity)	
Factor structure (content validity)	
The short-form MSQ	
Reliability	
Internal consistency	
•	
Stability	
Validity	
Occupational group differences (concurrent validity)	
Relationship with satisfactoriness (construct validity)	
Scale intercorrelations	
Current research	:
Section III. The long-form MSQ	
A. Copy of the long-form MSQ	
B. Normative Data	
Professional, Technical, Managerial	
Accountants	

	_	age
	Buyers	40
	Engineers	42
	Field representatives	44
	Managers	46
	Nurses, practical	48
	Nurses, registered (full-time)	50
	Nurses, registered (part-time)	52
	Nurses, supervisors	54
	Social workers	56
	Teachers	58
	Clerical and Sales	
	Bookkeepers	60
	Business machine operators	62
	Office clerks	64
	Secretaries	66
	Stenographers and typists	68
	Service	
	Food service workers (hospital)	70
	Housekeeping aides	72
	Nursing assistants	74
	Bench Work	
	Assemblers (toy)	76
	Miscellaneous	
	Laborers	78
	Packers	80
	Small equipment operators	82
	Truck drivers	84
	Warehousemen	86
	Employed disabled	88
	Employed non-disabled	90
C.	MSQ Scale intercorrelations	93
	MSQ factor analyses	101
Section	n IV. The short-form MSQ	109
A.	Copy of the short-form MSQ	110
	Normative data	
	Details of data collection and questionnaire administration for Assemblers, Clerks, Engineers, Janitors and Maintennancemen, Machinists and Salesmen	
	Professional, Technical, Managerial	
	Engineers	113
	-	-

Clerical and Sales	
Clerks, general office	114
Salesmen	115
Service	
Janitors and maintenancemen	116
Machine Trades	
Machinists	117
Bench Work	
Assemblers, general	118
Assemblers, electrical	119

Manual for the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire

Section I. Description and Use

Description of the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire

The long-form MSQ—The long-form MSQ consists of 100 items.¹ Each item refers to a reinforcer in the work environment. The respondent indicates how satisfied he is with the reinforcer on his present job. Five response alternatives are presented for each item: "Very Dissatisfied; Dissatisfied; Neither (dissatisfied nor satisfied); Satisfied; Very Satisfied."

Each long-form MSQ scale consists of five items. The items appear in blocks of 20, with items constituting a given scale appearing at 20-item intervals.

Following is a list of the MSQ scales. The item following the scale title is the satisfaction item which correlated highest with scale score, for a group of 1,793 employed individuals.

- 1. Ability utilization. The chance to do something that makes use of my abilities.
- 2. Achievement. The feeling of accomplishment I get from the job.
- 3. Activity. Being able to keep busy all the time.
- 4. Advancement. The chances for advancement on this job.
- 5. Authority. The chance to tell other people what to do.
- 6. Company policies and practices. The way company policies are put into practice.
- 7. Compensation. My pay and the amount of work I do.
- 8. Co-workers. The way my co-workers get along with each other.

Robert E. Carlson, formerly of the Work Adjustment Project staff, assisted in the writing of items.

- 9. Creativity. The chance to try my own methods of doing the job.
- 10. Independence. The chance to work alone on the job.
- 11. Moral values. Being able to do things that don't go against my conscience.
- 12. Recognition. The praise I get for doing a good job.
- 13. Responsibility. The freedom to use my own judgment.
- 14. Security. The way my job provides for steady employment.
- 15. Social service. The chance to do things for other people.
- 16. Social status. The chance to be "somebody" in the community.
- 17. Supervision—human relations. The way my boss handles his men.
- 18. Supervision—technical. The competence of my supervisor in making decisions.
- 19. Variety. The chance to do different things from time to time.
- 20. Working conditions. The working conditions.

A copy of the long-form MSQ appears in Section III on pp. 31-35.

The short-form MSQ—The short-form MSQ is composed of the twenty items listed above. The directions for this form are identical to those for the long-form. The short-form MSQ consists of three scales: Intrinsic Satisfaction, Extrinsic Satisfaction, and General Satisfaction.

A copy of the short-form MSQ appears in Section IV on pp. 110-111.

Use of the MSQ

Administration—Both forms of the MSQ are self-administering. Directions for the respondent appear on the first page of the questionnaire. Item rating instructions are repeated at the top of each page.

There is no time limit for the MSQ. However, the respondent should be encouraged to answer the questions rapidly. Experience with the long-form MSQ indicates that the average employee can

MANUAL FOR THE MINNESOTA SATISFACTION QUESTIONNAIRE

complete the questionnaire in from 15 to 20 minutes. The shortest time observed in an employed group was about ten minutes; the slowest individual took about 30 minutes. In no case has administration time taken over 30 minutes. Administration time for the short-form varies from about five to ten minutes, with most individuals completing it in about five minutes.

As with all self-report questionnaires, good rapport with the respondents is necessary.

Scoring—Response choices for both forms of the MSQ are weighted in the following manner:

Response Choice	Scoring Weight
Very Dissatisfied (VDS)	1
Dissatisfied (DS)	2
Neither (N)	3
Satisfied (S)	4
Very Satisfied (VS)	5

Thus, responses are scored 1 through 5 proceeding from left to right in the answer spaces. Scale scores are determined by summing the weights for the responses chosen for the items in each scale.

Twenty scales of the long-form MSQ consist of the following items:

Scale			Items	•	
Ability utilization	7	27	47	67	87
Achievement	19	39	59	79	99
Activity	20	40	60	80	100
Advancement	14	34	54	74	94
Authority	6	26	46	66	86
Company policies and practices	9	29	49	69	89
Compensation	12	32	52	72	92
Co-workers	16	36	56	76	96
Creativity	2	22	42	62	82
Independence	4	24	44	64	84
Moral values	3	23	43	63	83
Recognition	18	38	58	78	98
Responsibility	17	37	57	77	97
Security	11	31	51	71	91
Social service	1	21	41	61	81
Social status	8	28	48	68	88
Supervision—human relations	10	30	50	70	90
Supervision—technical	15	35	55	75	95
Variety	5	25	45	65	85
Working conditions	13	33	53	73	93

Scoring of the MSQ can also include a General Satisfaction scale. This scale uses 20 items (one from each of the twenty scales), yielding a score ranging from 20 to 100.

Items scored on the General Satisfaction scale are as follows: 24, 25, 28, 30, 35, 43, 51, 61, 66, 67, 69, 72, 74, 77, 82, 93, 96, 98, 99, 100.

The three scales of the short-form MSQ consist of the following items:

Scale							It	em:	S				
Intrinsic	1	2	3	4	7	8	9	10	11	15	16	20	
Extrinsic	5	6	12	13	14	19							
General satisfaction	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	14	15	16	17	18	19	20						

Interpretation of MSQ scores—Raw scores for each MSQ scale can be converted to percentile scores, using the appropriate tables of normative data given in Sections III-B and IV-B. An individual's percentile score on any scale gives his relative position in a norm group. It indicates the percentage of people in the norm group with scores equal to or lower than the individual's raw score. The same raw score on a scale may convert to different percentile scores for different norm groups.

The most meaningful scores to use in interpreting the MSQ are the percentile scores for each scale obtained from the most appropriate norm group for the individual.

The appropriate norm group for an individual is the one that corresponds exactly to his job. Since, at the present time, the number of norm groups is limited, it may be necessary to select a norm group that is very similar to the individual's job. In selecting a similar norm group, care must be exercised to determine similarity on the basis of a large number of characteristics such as: tools used, materials used, tasks performed, type of supervision, rate of pay and physical working conditions. Determining similarity on a very superficial basis may lead to misinterpretation of the MSQ scores.

In the event that an individual is in an occupation for which no appropriate norm group has yet been developed, the MSQ raw scores can be converted to percentile scores using the Employed Disabled or Employed Non-disabled norms (see pages 88-91), depending on the individual's status with regard to disability. It is also possible to interpret MSQ raw scores for all scales by ranking

them. These rankings indicate areas of relatively greater, or lesser, satisfaction.

These ways of interpreting MSQ scores can be used in follow-up studies of clients, and in generating occupational information. In follow-up studies of the effectiveness of techniques or counselors, one might use MSQ scores as outcome measures. Average levels of satisfaction, by scale or for all scales, could be established for groups of clients counseled by specific counselors or using specific techniques. When percentile scores are used, the average percentile score for the follow-up group should be 50 or better for the group to be considered satisfied.

When percentile scores are used in the follow-up of an individual client, a percentile score of 75 or higher is ordinarily taken to represent a high degree of satisfaction; a percentile score of 25 or lower would represent a low level of satisfaction; and, scores in the middle range of percentiles (26 to 74) would indicate average satisfaction.

The MSQ can be used to generate additional information about jobs for use in the counseling process. The accumulation of norm group data for a large number of additional jobs will make available information from which reinforcer systems in various kinds of work can be inferred. Information about reinforcer systems is necessary if counselors are to assist clients in finding work likely to be appropriate for their individual needs, that is satisfying to them. This use of MSQ data as the basis for indicating occupational reinforcers is based on the assumption that if many individually different people are uniformly satisfied or dissatisfied with specific aspects of the same occupation, effective reinforcers for these aspects are available or lacking in the work environment.

Norms for the long-form MSQ—Normative data for the 21 MSQ scales appear in Section III-B. These data include the following items of information:

- 1. Job title and job description for the norm group, based on the 1965 revision of the Dictionary of Occupational Titles.²
- 2. Source of the data.
- 3. Demographic characteristics of the norm group, including such variables as sex, age, education and tenure information.

² U.S. Department of Labor. Dictionary of Occupational Titles (Third Edition). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1965.

- 4. Mean, standard deviation, Hoyt reliability coefficient and standard error of measurement for each of the 21 scales.
- 5. Percentile equivalents of raw scores (in five point intervals) for each of the 21 scales.

These data are available on the following groups:

Desfersional Technical Managarial	Pa
Professional, Technical, Managerial	
Accountants	
Buyers	
Engineers	
Field Representatives	
Managers	
Nurses, practical	
Nurses, registered (full-time)	
Nurses, registered (part-time)	
Nurses, supervisors	_
Social Workers	50
Teachers	58
Clerical and Sales	
Bookkeepers	60
•	
Business Machine Operators	
Office Clerks	
Secretaries Stenographers and Typists	
Stenographers and Typists	68
Service	
Food Service Workers	70
Housekeeping Aides	72
Nursing Assistants	74
Bench Work	
Assemblers	76
Assemblers	
Miscellaneous	
Laborers	78
Packers	80
Small Equipment Operators	82
Truck Drivers	84
Warehousemen	
Employed disabled	88
Employed non-disabled	90

Norms for the short-form MSQ—Normative data for the short-form MSQ appear in Section IV-B. These data include descriptive

MANUAL FOR THE MINNESOTA SATISFACTION QUESTIONNAIRE

characteristics of the norm groups, means, standard deviations, Hoyt reliability coefficients, standard errors of measurement and percentile equivalents of raw scores, for each of the three scales. Data are available for the following groups:

	Page
Professional, Technical, Managerial	
Engineers	113
Clerical and Sales	
Clerks, General Office	114
Salesmen	115
Service	
Janitors and Maintenancemen	116
Machine Trades	
Machinists	117
Bench Work	
Assemblers, general	118
Assemblers, electrical	119

An illustration of the scoring and interpretation of the MSQ—The forms on pages 8-9 may be used in the hand-scoring and interpretation of the long-form MSQ. (A similar form can be devised for the short-form MSQ.) For our illustration the long-form MSQ was hand-scored as follows:

- 1. Item scores (1 to 5) were assigned for the individual's response to each item (see scoring section above).
- 2. Item scores were entered on the Hand-Scoring Form, beginning with item 1 in the upper lefthand box and proceeding left to right, through all 100 boxes.
- 3. Raw scores were determined for each scale by adding all five scores in each one of the 20 columns.³ These scores were entered on the Total Raw Score line.

If a score is missing for one of the five items in the scale, the modal score value determined from the four remaining items should be used to fill in the missing score. If scores are missing for more than one item in the scale, the scale should not be scored. In case of ties in determining modal score value, the average (rounded to the nearest whole number) should be used. The same procedures are to be followed if scores are missing in the heavily-lined boxes used to determine the General Satisfaction raw score. If scores are missing for more than 5 boxes, this scale should not be scored.

Hand-Scoring Form for the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire (Long-Form)

Name: Betsy Bla	ick						£								Ca	se N	o. <u>695</u>	293	Dat	te: 7/	6/67
	Social Service	a. Creativity	W Moral Values	Independence	a Variety	n Authority	A Ability Utilization	ρ Social Status	Company P&P	Supervision— H Human Rel.	Security	O Compensation	A Working O Conditions	Advancement	Ø Supervision— H Technical	A Co-workers	a Responsibility	Recognition	y Achievement	Activity	General Satisfaction
items 1-20	3	2	4	2	1	3	1	3	4	3	3	2	4	3	4	3	3	3	4	4	
items 21-40	3	3	5	3	4	3	3	3	2	2	3	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	
items 41-60	3	3	5	3	4	3	2	3	4	3	4	3	4	2	2	4	3	3	3	4],
items 61-80	3	3	3	3	4	3	2	3_	2	4	4	3	4	3	4_	4	3	3	3	4	
items 81-100	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	2	3	3	4	4	_3	3	1	1	
Total Raw Score			21 MV			15 Aut			16 CCP								—	•	14 Ash	<i>,</i> —	58 Gen
Norm Group Bookkeepers	20	22	45	i 10		20	10		centi				55	35	30		10	35			9

Nurses (full-time)

Teachers

SSe Cre MV Ind Var Aut AU SSt CCP SHR Scc Com WC Adv ST CW Res Rec Ach Act Gen

10 | 5 | 20 | 45 | 25 | 25 | 45 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 20 | 5 | 25 | 4 | 10 | 2 |

5 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 10 | 35 | 4 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 5 | 8 | 20 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 10 | 1

Hand-Scoring Form for the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire (Long-Form) Name: Rosie Gay Case No. 392596 Date: 7/6/67 Ability Utilization Moral Values Compensation Advancement Social Status Recognition Working Conditions Creativity Authority Variety items 1-20 5 5 items 21-40 3 5 items 41-60 2 3 5 5 5 items 61-80 3 5 items 81-100 Total Raw Score SSt CCP SHR Sec Com WC Adv ST Percentile Scores Norm Group Bookkeepers Nurses (full-time) Teachers

SSe Cre MV Ind Var Aut AU SSt CCP SHR Sec Com WC Adv ST CW

- 4. Scores in the heavily-lined boxes (one for each column) were summed and the total entered in the General Satisfaction box on the Total Raw Score line.
- 5. Norm groups were selected, and the percentile scores corresponding to the raw score for each scale were determined. For example, Miss Gay's raw score of 85 for the General Satisfaction scale is converted to a percentile score of 88 in the norms for Bookkeepers. Since a raw score of 84 is at the 85th percentile and a raw score of 86 is at the 90th percentile, and since a raw score of 85 is assumed to fall midway between these percentiles, it is assigned a percentile score of 88 (rounded up from 87.5).

As illustrations, the completed Hand-Scoring Forms for two female bookkeepers, both high school graduates and employed in the same company, are shown. It will be noted that Miss Gay has a high level of General Satisfaction, while Miss Black shows a low level of General Satisfaction. When we look at individual percentile scores for scales, there are only two scales on which Miss Gay shows low level satisfaction, namely, Compensation and Activity. In contrast, Miss Black shows low level satisfaction on 11 scales: Social Service, Creativity, Independence, Authority, Ability Utilization, Social Status, Supervision—Human Relations, Security, Responsibility, Achievement and Activity. Miss Gay shows high level satisfaction on 11 scales, while Miss Black does not show high level satisfaction on any scale.

Obviously, the appropriate norm group for both these persons is Bookkeepers. However, to illustrate contrasting results with the use of different norm groups, percentile scores have been included for Nurses and Teachers for both of these individuals. As can be seen, Miss Gay maintains a high level of General Satisfaction on the Nurses norms, but drops to an average level of General Satisfaction on the Teachers norms. Looking at the 11 scales for which she shows high level satisfaction on the Bookkeepers norms, we find that she remains high on 10 of these scales for the Nurses norms, and 9 scales on the Teachers norms. The number of scales for which Miss Gay shows low level satisfaction increases from 2 on the Bookkeepers norms to 4 for Nurses and 7 for Teachers. Miss Black, whose General Satisfaction is at a very low level for Bookkeepers, Nurses and Teachers alike, shows low level satisfaction on 11 scales for Bookkeepers, 14 scales for Nurses, and 18 scales for

MANUAL FOR THE MINNESOTA SATISFACTION QUESTIONNAIRE

Teachers. Miss Black does not show high level satisfaction on any scale for any of the three norm groups.

Copyright—Both forms of the MSQ are copyrighted by the Industrial Relations Center, University of Minnesota. Permission to use the questionnaire can be obtained by writing to:

Vocational Psychology Research N620 Elliott Hall 75 East River Road University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Such requests should include a brief description of the proposed use, the population to be administered, the duration of the project, and the professional qualifications of the requesting individuals.

Section II. Technical Data

Development of the Questionnaires

The first measures of satisfaction used in the Work Adjustment Project consisted of the Hoppock Job Satisfaction Blank⁴ (short form), the Employee Attitude Scale⁵ developed at the Industrial Relations Center, and 22 experimental items. The Hoppock Blank is a four-item instrument designed to measure general job satisfaction. The Employee Attitude Scale is a 54-item, Likert format questionnaire about attitudes toward working conditions, type of work, supervision, co-workers, communications, hours and pay, and general morale. The experimental items, written in the same Likert format, concerned attitudes toward supervision, co-workers, pay and promotion, and general job satisfaction. Experience with these instruments is reported in Monograph XIII of the Minnesota Studies in Vocational Rehabilitation series, "The measurement of employment satisfaction."

As detailed in Monograph XIII, the total pool of 80 items was utilized to develop multi-scale satisfaction measures for different occupational groups, and for disabled and non-disabled groups separately. The resulting measures had adequate reliabilities, but were cumbersome to score (different item response weights were used for different occupational groups). Furthermore, the scales that were developed measured predominantly satisfaction with environmental or extrinsic reinforcement factors (e.g., working conditions, supervision, co-workers, company) and almost totally excluded intrinsic reinforcement factors (e.g., type of work, achievement, ability utilization).

Based on these measures, a new 20-scale Likert format questionnaire was developed. The new instrument was constructed to sample both intrinsic and extrinsic reinforcement dimensions. Instructions were simplified; item stems were shortened; and scales were limited to five items each. An attempt was made, through item wording,

⁴ Hoppock, R. Job satisfaction. New York: Harper, 1935.

Byoder, D., Heneman, H. G., Jr., and Chelt, E. F. Triple Audit of Industrial Relations.
 Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Industrial Relations Center, Bulletin 11, 1951;
 Fox, H., Albers, W. S. and Helleweg, A. Triple Audit: Employee Attitude Scale development and preliminary norms. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Industrial Relations Center, Release 6, 1954.

Carlson, R. E., Dawis, R. V., England, G. W., and Lofquist, L. H. The measurement of employment satisfaction. Minnesota studies in vocational rehabilitation, XIII, 1962.

to make scale content more homogeneous. At the same time, items were worded to maximize readability. A "Flesch count" of the items in the final form showed an average sentence length of 8.6 words with an average of 77 one-syllable words per hundred words. This count yielded an index of 84, rating the questionnaire in the Very Easy (5th grade level) class.

The new instrument was named the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire (MSQ). It was designed to parallel a companion measure of vocational needs, the Minnesota Importance Questionnaire (MIQ). While both instruments pertained to the same set of reinforcement dimensions, the MSQ was designed to measure actual satisfaction with a reinforcer and the MIQ to measure the importance of a reinforcer to the potential satisfaction of the individual. Development of the MSQ was first reported in Monograph XVIII of the Minnesota Studies in Vocational Rehabilitation series, "Construct validation studies of the MIQ."

In addition, a short form of the MSQ was developed by choosing 20 representative items, one from each scale. The items chosen were those which correlated the highest with their respective scales.

The short form MSQ was administered to a heterogeneous group of 1,460 employed men. The resulting data were factor-analyzed. Two factors resulted, intrinsic and extrinsic satisfaction. Items loading high on each factor were taken to constitute a scale. In addition, all 20 items were scored as one scale. The short form MSQ, therefore, can be scored on three scales: intrinsic satisfaction, extrinsic satisfaction and general satisfaction.

The Long-Form MSQ

Reliability

Internal consistency—Data on the internal consistency reliability of the MSQ as estimated by Hoyt's analysis-of-variance method are present in Section III-B. These data are summarized in Table 1.

⁷ See Farr, J. N., Jenkins, J. J., and Paterson, D. G. Simplification of Flesch reading ease formula. *Journal of applied psychology*, 1951, 35, 333-337.

Weiss, D. J., Dawis, R. V., England, G. W., and Lofquist, L. H. Construct validation studies of the Minnesota Importance Questionnaire. Minnesota studies in vocational rehabilitation, XVIII, 1964.

Details of the factor analysis and the development of scales scores appear in Weiss, D. J., Dawis, R. V., Lofquist, L. H. and England, G. W. Instrumentation for the Theory of Work Adjustment. Minnesota studies in vocational rehabilitation, XXI, 1966. Pages 43-49.

Table 1. Median and range of Hoyt reliability coefficients for 27 normative groups, by MSQ scale

Scale	Highest	Median	Lowes
1. Ability utilization	.97	.91	.79
2. Achievement		.84	.73
3. Activity		.86	.71
4. Advancement	.96	.93	.87
5. Authority	.92	.85	.66
6. Company policies and practices		.90	.80
7. Compensation	95	.91	.82
8. Co-workers		.85	.67
9. Creativity	92	.87	.72
10. Independence		.85	.73
11. Moral values		.81	.62
12. Recognition	96	.93	.84
13. Responsibility		.78	.66
14. Security		.80	.64
15. Social service		.89	.73
16. Social status	92	.79	.71
17. Supervision—human relations		.89	.75
18. Supervision—technical		.86	.71
19. Variety		.86	.59
20. Working conditions		.89	.80
21. General satisfaction		.88	.82

Table 1 shows that Hoyt reliability coefficients for the MSQ scales ranged from a high of .97 on Ability Utilization (for both stenographers and typists) and on Working Conditions (for social workers) to a low of .59 on Variety (for buyers). The median Hoyt reliability coefficients ranged from .93 for Advancement and Recognition to .78 for Responsibility. Of the 567 Hoyt reliability coefficients reported in Section III-B (27 groups with 21 scales each), 83% were .80 or higher and only 2.5% were lower than .70.

These data suggest that, in general, the MSQ scales have adequate internal consistency reliabilities. The reliability of some scales, however, tends to vary across groups. It is, therefore, suggested that internal consistency reliability coefficients be computed for a sample representing the group on which the MSQ is used.

Stability—Data on the stability of the scores on the 21 MSQ scales were obtained for two time intervals—one week and one year. For the one week retest, data were obtained on 75 employed night school students in courses in psychology and industrial relations; for the one year retest, data were obtained on 115 employed individuals. Both groups were heterogeneous with respect to age and occupational level (although the latter group included a wider range of occupations), and the one-year group was heterogeneous with respect

to presence or absence, as well as type of disability. Neither group included individuals who had changed jobs between MSQ administrations.

Test-retest correlation coefficients for the 21 MSQ scales are shown in Table 2. For a one-week interval, stability coefficients ranged from .66 for Co-workers, to .91 for Working Conditions. Median coefficient (excluding the General Satisfaction scale) was .83. One-week stability coefficient for the General Satisfaction scale was .89.

Table 2. Test re-test correlation coefficients for one week interval and one year interval, by MSQ scale

Scale	One week N = 75	One year N = 115
1. Ability utilization		.71
2. Achievement		.62
3. Activity	83	.49
4. Advancement	85	.67
5. Authority		.47
6. Company policies and practices		.61
7. Compensation		.62
8. Co-workers		.40
9. Creativity	87	.66
10. Independence		.35
11. Moral values		.53
12. Recognition		.69
13. Responsibility	87	.61
14. Security		.58
15. Social service		.57
16. Social status		.63
17. Supervision—human relations	.86	.66
18. Supervision—technical		.68
19. Variety		.69
20. Working conditions		.69
21. General satisfaction		.70

Table 2 also shows test-retest correlations for a one-year interval. These stability coefficients ranged from .35 for Independence to .71 for Ability Utilization. Median stability coefficient for the 20 -scales (excluding General Satisfaction) was .61. Stability coefficient for the General Satisfaction scale for the one-year interval was .70.

A different measure of stability may be obtained by the testretest canonical correlation¹⁰ of the 20 MSQ scales. This method

¹⁰ For an introduction to canonical correlation see Cooley, W. W., and Lohnes, P. R. Multivariate procedures for the behavioral sciences. New York: Wiley, 1962.

yields one correlation coefficient, the square of which indicates the proportion of variance in linear combinations of the set of scores which remains common over the time period.

Canonical correlation analysis of the test-retest data yielded maximum coefficients of .97 over the one-week interval and .89 over the one-year interval. These coefficients, significant well beyond the .001 level of statistical significance, indicate that about 95% of the variance of the canonical variates is predictable on one-week retest from knowledge of the first set of scores (and vice versa), and about 80% over the one-year interval. These percentages indicate the proportion of variance of the canonical variates (linear combinations of the two sets of scores) which is common variance across the time intervals.

While canonical correlation has not been widely used as a measure of test-retest stability of psychometric data, one study is available for comparative purposes. This study, by Osborne, Anderson and Hemberger, 11 reported the test-retest stability of factor scores, based on the subtests of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children and two non-verbal tests, over periods of one, two and three years. The maximum test-retest canonical correlation coefficients obtained for these periods were .87, .85 and .87 respectively. The coefficient of .89 for the MSQ obtained in a one-year period compares favorably with these coefficients, since it is generally assumed that scores on ability tests are relatively stable over time.

Validity

Construct validity—Evidence for the validity of the MSQ is derived mainly from its performing according to theoretical expectations. This type of validity is called construct validity.¹²

Much of the evidence supporting construct validity for the MSQ is derived indirectly from construct validation studies of the Minnesota Importance Questionnaire (MIQ), based on the *Theory* of Work Adjustment. In one set of studies, the separate scales of the MSQ were the dependent variables to be predicted from the relationship between vocational needs (measured by the MIQ) and

¹¹ Osborne, R. T., Anderson, H. E., Jr., and Hemberger, L. The stability of basic abilities at early age levels. Paper presented at the 74th annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, September, 1966.

¹² Technical recommendations for psychological tests and diagnostic techniques. Supplement to Psychological Bulletin, 1954, 51, No. 2, part 2.

(estimated) levels of occupational reinforcement.¹⁸ The hypothesis under investigation was that satisfaction was a function of the correspondence between the individual's needs and the reinforcer system of the job. The major prediction in these studies was that the high-need-high-reinforcement group would express the most satisfaction (being the most correspondent group) and the high-need-low-reinforcement group would express the least satisfaction (being the group with the least correspondence between needs and reinforcers).

Analyses of the data yielded good evidence of construct validity for the Ability Utilization, Advancement and Variety scales of the MIQ and therefore indirectly for the same scales of the MSQ. Some evidence of construct validity was observed for the Authority, Achievement, Creativity and Responsibility scales. Little evidence of construct validity was observed for the Activity, Compensation, Independence, Moral Values, Recognition, Security, Social Service, Social Status and Working Conditions scales. Thus, for seven of the sixteen MSQ scales studied, there was some indication that scores on these scales were related to need-reinforcement correspondence.

Further analysis of the MIQ and reinforcement data without the use of MSQ data, yielded evidence of construct validity for three other MIQ scales: Compensation, Independence and Social Service. It can be inferred, therefore, that the MSQ Compensation, Independence and Social Service scales were not performing according to theoretical expectations and should be used with caution until further evidence of validity for these three scales is available.

Evidence for the validity of the MSQ as a measure of general job satisfaction comes from other construct validation studies based on the *Theory of Work Adjustment*. In these studies, general job satisfaction (using an exact factor score) was the dependent variable and MIQ scale scores were the independent variables in a multivariate prediction problem.¹⁴ Reinforcement was assumed to be constant since each prediction study involved individuals who were all employed at the same kind of job. Thus, with the reinforcer

Welss, D. J., Dawis, R. V., England, G. W., and Lofquist, L. H. Construct validation studies of the Minnesota Importance Questionnaire. Minnesota studies in vocational rehabilitation, XVIII, 1964.

¹⁴ Weiss, D. J., Dawis, R. V., England, G. W., and Lofquist, L. H. An inferential approach to occupational reinforcement. Minnesota studies in vocational rehabilitation, XIX, 1965.

system of the work environment held constant, satisfaction (MSQ) becomes a linear function of the linear composite of needs (MIQ).

The results of these studies, reported in Monograph XIX of the Minnesota Studies in Vocational Rehabilitation series, indicated that the MSQ measured satisfaction in accordance with expectations from the Theory of Work Adjustment.

Group differences—Evidence for the concurrent validity of the MSQ is derived from the study of group differences in satisfaction, especially occupational differences in satisfaction. A large body of research accumulated over the last thirty years indicates that there are occupational differences in job satisfaction, in both level and variability.

To determine whether the MSQ reflected these differences, data for 25 occupational groups were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (to test differences in level of expressed satisfaction) and by Bartlett's test of homogeneity of variance (to test differences in group variabilities). Table 3 shows the grand mean and standard deviation for the combined 25 groups for each MSQ scale, and the results of the statistical tests. Summary MSQ data for each of the 25 groups appear in Section III-B (pages 36-91).

As Table 3 indicates, group differences (among the 25 occupational groups) were statistically significant at the .001 level for both means and variances on all 21 MSQ scales. These data indicate that the MSQ can differentiate among occupational groups.

To determine whether this differentiation was meaningful, means and variances for each of the 21 scales were examined to see which occupational groups had the highest and lowest means or the largest and smallest variance. (The research literature on job satisfaction has reported consistently that professional groups were the most satisfied and the unskilled groups the least satisfied.) ¹⁵

The data show that field representatives (high-level management consultants; see Section III-B, p. 44) had the highest means on nine MSQ scales (Activity, Advancement, Company Policies and Practices, Independence, Security, Social Status, Variety, Working Conditions, and General Satisfaction); teachers had the highest means on seven scales (Ability Utilization, Achievement, Co-

¹⁸ See, for example, Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., Peterson, R. O., and Capwell, D. F. Job attitudes: review of research and opinion. Pittsburgh: Psychological Services of Pittsburgh, 1957.

MANUAL FOR THE MINNESOTA SATISFACTION QUESTIONNAIRE

Table 3. Total group mean, standard deviation, results of tests of occupational group differences in mean and variances, by MSQ scale (N = 2,955)

	Mea	n differenc	es	Variance differences					
Scale	Total Group Mean	F* (24, 2930)	p ^b	Total Grou Standard Deviation	p Chi- Square•	p ⁴			
1. Ability utilization	19.1	17.88	.001	3.81	138.66	.001			
2. Achievement	20.1	9.98	.001	2.98	88.66	.001			
3. Activity	20.3	6.10	.001	2.71	163.03	.001			
4. Advancement	16.5	15.07	.001	4.43	96.46	.001			
5. Authority	18.2	21.42	.001	2.88	85.57	.001			
6. Company policies and									
practices	17.3	9.65	.001	4.48	63.78	.001			
7. Compensation	16.9	21.07	.001	4.54	52.54	.001			
8. Co-workers	20.1	9.78	.001	3.13	179.94	.001			
9. Creativity	18.2	25.98	.001	3.60	90.79	.001			
10. Independence	19.2	10.10	.001	3.08	86.45	.001			
11. Moral values	20.9	7.99	.001	2.61	88.98	.001			
12. Recognition	17.6	10.75	.001	4.15	75.72	.001			
13. Responsibility	19.3	20.83	.001	2.81	121.27	.001			
14. Security		11.78	.001	2.98	99.13	.001			
15. Social service	20.7	19.73	.001	2.80	121.50	.001			
16. Social status	18.0	8.74	.001	2.94	107.35	.001			
17. Supervision—human									
relations	18.7	13.17	.001	4.34	179.53	.001			
18. Supervision—technical	18.7	12.77	.001	3.82	136.39	.001			
19. Variety	19.0	15.13	.001	3.73	306.03	.001			
20. Working conditions	18.6	15.84	.001	4.29	103.84	.001			
21. General satisfaction	75.6	19.51	.001	9.46	147.39	.001			

^{*} F-test of significance of difference between means.

workers, Creativity, Social Service, Supervision—Human Relations, and Supervision—Technical); managers were highest on four scales (Creativity, Moral Values, Recognition, and Responsibility); and licensed practical nurses were highest on Compensation. In contrast, housekeeping aides were lowest on nine scales (Advancement, Company Policies and Practices, Creativity, Moral Values, Recognition, Security, Supervision—Human Relations, Supervision—Technical and General Satisfaction); laborers on seven scales (Ability Utilization, Achievement, Activity, Authority, Responsibility, Social Service, and Variety); small equipment operators on two scales (Social Status and Co-workers); and part-time nurses, food service workers and toy assemblers on the remaining scales. These results are comparable with those reported in the research literature.

 $^{^{\}text{b}}$ Probability of error in rejecting null hypothesis of no difference in group means if $p \leq .05.$

c Chi-square for Bartlett's test of homogeneity of variance.

⁴ Probability of error of rejecting null hypothesis of no differences in group variances, if p ≤ .05, based on Bartlett's test of homogeneity of variance, with 24 degrees of freedom.

The data on MSQ scale variabilities are more difficult to interpret than the data on scale means. Variabilities in satisfaction scores may result from differences in the needs of individual workers and/or from differences in the reinforcer systems of jobs. The most variable group was food service workers, which had the highest variability on 12 of the 21 scales (Advancement, Authority, Coworkers, Creativity, Independence, Moral Values, Responsibility, Security, Social Service, Social Status, Variety and General Satisfaction). Accountants were most variable on the two Supervision scales and Working Conditions. Secretaries were most variable on Activity, Company Policies and Practices, and Compensation; housekeeping aides on Recognition and Achievement; and stenographers and typists on Ability Utilization. Buyers were least variable on Achievement, Activity, Company Policies and Practices, and Supervision-Technical, Variety and General Satisfaction, and teachers were least variable on Advancement, Creativity, Recognition and Supervision—Human Relations. Lowest variability on the remaining 12 scales was as follows: housekeeping aides on Ability Utilization and Social Service; supervisor nurses on Authority; laborers on Compensation; field representatives on Co-Workers and Working Conditions; engineers on Independence, Moral Values and Security: social workers on Responsibility; and business machine operators on Social Status.

Previous Work Adjustment Project research has indicated that disabled groups were, in general, less satisfied than non-disabled groups. Thus, the MSQ was expected to reflect similar differences in satisfaction between those with disabling conditions and those without.

Table 4 shows means and standard deviations for the 21 MSQ scales, separately for disabled and non-disabled groups. Mean differences were tested by one-way analysis of variance, and differences in variability were tested by Bartlett's test of homogeneity of variance.

The data in Table 4 show that statistically significant differences (at the .05 level or less) in mean satisfaction levels were obtained on 11 of the 21 MSQ scales: Ability Utilization, Achievement, Authority, Compensation, Creativity, Moral Values, Recognition, Re-

¹⁶ Carlson, R. E., Dawis, R. V., England, G. W., and Lofquist, L. H. The measurement of employment satisfaction. Minnesota studies in vocational rehabilitation, XIII, 1962.

MANUAL FOR THE MINNESOTA SATISFACTION QUESTIONNAIRE

Table 4. Means and standard deviations for disabled and non-disabled groups, by MSQ scale

•	Mean				Standard Deviation			
	Dis- abled	Non-dis		рь	Dis- abled	Non- disabled	Chi- square*	p4
1. Ability utilization	18.9	19.8	8.25	.01	4.31	3.59	12.42	.001
2. Achievement	20.1	20.7	5.87	.05	3.13	2.81	4.36	.05
3. Activity	20.6	20.9	2.20		2.72	2.65	0.29	*******
4. Advancement	16.4	16.8	1.47	******	5.14	4.68	3.21	
5. Authority	18.0	18.5	4.46	.05	3.33	3.16	1.06	
6. Company policies							•	
and practices	17.5	17.7	0.41		4.67	4.45	0.83	
7. Compensation	18.0	18.7	4.01	.05	4.66	3.91	11.18	.001
8. Co-workers	20.1	20.4	2.95		2.86	2.80	0.20	
9. Creativity	18.5	19.3	6.60	.05	4.33	3.77	6.80	.01
10. Independence	19.3	19.6	1.50		3.41	3.05	4.49	.05
11. Moral values	21.0	21.4	4.46	.05	2.74	2.57	1.56	•••••
12. Recognition	18.1	18.8	5.01	.05	4.26	3.80	4.59	.05
13. Responsibility	19.3	19.9	6.80	.01	3.32	2.90	6.62	.05
14. Security	19.9	20.3	2.49		3.86	3.45	4.54	.05
15. Social service	20.2	20.5	0.90		3.04	3.24	1.47	
16. Social status	17.4	18.0	6.17	.05	3.37	2.82	11.91	.001
17. Supervision—human								
relations	18.4	18.8	1.91	*******	4.55	4.28	1.38	
18. Supervision—								
technical	18.3	18.9	3.41	********	4.35	3.98	2.92	
19. Variety	19.5	20.0	4.94	.05	3.68	3.40	2.40	
20. Working conditions	19.0	19.1	0.19		4.47	4.28	0.66	
21. General satisfaction	75.8	77.9	6.75	.01	11.06	9.91	4.46	.05

[·] F-test of significance of difference between means.

sponsibility, Social Status, Variety, and General Satisfaction. On each of these eleven scales, the mean satisfaction score for the disabled group was significantly lower than that of the non-disabled group, thereby confirming previous research findings. It is also interesting to note that while the differences in means for the remaining 10 scales were not statistically significant, in every case the mean for the disabled group was lower than that of the non-disabled group.

Differences in variability of satisfaction scores between disabled and non-disabled groups, as shown in Table 4, were statistically significant for 10 of the 21 MSQ scales: Ability Utilization, Achievement, Compensation, Creativity, Independence, Recognition, Re-

b Probability of error in rejecting null hypothesis of no difference in group means, if p ≤ .05.

[·] Chi-square for Bartlett's test of homogeneity of variance.

⁴ Probability of error in rejecting null hypothesis of no differences in group variances, if p≤ .05, based on Bartlett's test of homogeneity of variance, with 1 degree of freedom.

sponsibility, Security, Social Status, and General Satisfaction. On all of these scales, the disabled group was significantly *more* variable than the non-disabled group. While group differences in variability were not statistically significant for the remaining 11 MSQ scales, the disabled group was more variable than the non-disabled group on 10 of these 11 scales (the exception being the Social Service scale).

These data, then, lend added support to the MSQ as a measure of satisfaction.

Factor structure—Factor analytic results presented in this section may be used to support the content validity of the MSQ.¹⁷ Intercorrelations of the 21 MSQ scales were computed for fourteen norm groups, each group consisting of at least 100 individuals. The intercorrelation matrices for these groups are shown in Section III-C (pp. 93-100). It should be noted that the correlations of the 20 scales with the General Satisfaction scale (scale 21) represent partwhole correlations. For this reason, the General Satisfaction scale was excluded from the factor analyses reported below.

The intercorrelation matrices were factor analyzed utilizing a principal factors solution, with squared multiple correlations in the diagonal, the Kaiser criterion for number of factors to extract, and rotation to a varimax solution. The results of these factor analyses are shown in Section III-D (pp. 101-108).

For both the disabled and non-disabled groups, two factors were extracted, with the first factor accounting for 58% and 55%, respectively, of the common variance for the two groups. The first factor in both cases appeared to be intrinsic satisfaction, and the second factor, extrinsic satisfaction.

Two factors were also extracted for eight of the twelve occupational groups. These groups included teachers, managers, supervisor nurses, full- and part-time nurses, secretaries, toy assemblers and packers. The pattern of factor loadings for the full-time nurses, supervisor nurses, managers, and toy assemblers was similar to that of the disabled and non-disabled groups, with the first factor being intrinsic satisfaction, and the second factor, extrinsic satisfaction. Proportion of common variance attributable to the first factor was .59, .57, .57 and .51, respectively, for the four groups.

¹⁷ Content validity is further indicated by data on Hoyt reliability coefficients. See pages 13-14.

MANUAL FOR THE MINNESOTA SATISFACTION QUESTIONNAIRE

For the packers and part-time nurses, however, the extrinsic satisfaction factor was the first principal factor, accounting in both cases for 51% of common variance after rotation. The second factor was intrinsic satisfaction. There were some slight differences in the factor structures for these two groups.

For the teachers and secretaries, the first factor was intrinsic satisfaction. The second factor, however, appeared to relate to people as a source of satisfaction, being defined by the two Supervision scales, and Co-workers and Recognition. For both groups, the first factor accounted for about two-thirds of the common variance.

More complex factor structures were observed for the remaining four occupational groups (social workers, office clerks, truck drivers and warehousemen). The extrinsic satisfaction factor, defined by the two Supervision scales, Company Policies and Practices, and Working Conditions, was fairly similar for the four groups, and accounted for about one-third of the common variance in each case. However, some of these extrinsic satisfaction scales loaded on other factors. Moreover, two intrinsic satisfaction factors rather than one were found for these four groups. The structures of these two intrinsic satisfaction factors were not similar from group to group.

The results of the factor analyses, in general, indicate that about half of the common MSQ scale score variance can be represented by an extrinsic satisfaction factor, defined by the two Supervision scales, Company Policies and Practices, Working Conditions, Advancement, Compensation and Security. The remaining scales define one or more intrinsic satisfaction factors, accounting for the other half of the common variance. These results also indicate that the factor structure of satisfaction varies among occupational groups.

The Short-Form MSQ

Reliability

Internal consistency—Hoyt reliability coefficients for each norm group and each short-form scale are shown in Section IV-B, along with the normative data for each of the occupational groups. In general, the reliability coefficients obtained were high. For the Intrinsic Satisfaction scale, the coefficients ranged from .84 (for the two assembler groups) to .91 for engineers. For the Extrinsic Satisfaction scale, the coefficients varied from .77 (for electronics assem-

blers) to .82 (for engineers and machinists). On the General Satisfaction scale, the coefficients varied from .87 (for assemblers) to .92 (for engineers). Median reliability coefficients were .86 for Intrinsic Satisfaction, .80 for Extrinsic Satisfaction and .90 for General Satisfaction.

Stability—No data are currently available concerning the stability of scores for the short-form MSQ. A two-year test-retest study is in progress. However, stability for the General Satisfaction scale may be inferred from data on the General Satisfaction scale of the long-form MSQ, since both scales use the same 20 items. As reported on pp. 14-16, test-retest correlation of General Satisfaction scale scores yielded coefficients of .89 over a one-week period and .70 over a one-year interval.

Validity

Since the short-form MSQ is based on a subset of the long-form items, validity for the short-form may in part be inferred from validity for the long-form. Other evidence for the validity of the short-form MSQ is available from two sources: (1) studies of occupational group differences and (2) studies of the relationship between satisfaction and satisfactoriness, as specified by the *Theory of Work Adjustment*.

Occupational group differences—Table 5 shows the mean and standard deviation for the total group on each of the three short-form MSQ scales, and the results of statistical tests of group differences in means and variances. Means and standard deviations on

Table 5. Means, standard deviations and tests of group differences in means and variances, for total group (N = 1.723), by short-form MSQ scale

Scale	Total Group Mean	F(6, 1716)*	р ^ь	Total Group Standard Deviation	Chi- square	p⁴
1. Intrinsic	47.14	38.15	.001	7.42	9.08	
2. Extrinsic	19.98	22.24	.001	4.78	8.22	*****
3. General	74.85	38.01	.001	11.92	2.85	********

F-test of significance of difference between means.

b Probability of error in rejecting null hypothesis of no difference in group means, if $p \leq .05$.

Chi-square for Bartlett's test of homogeneity of variance.

⁴ Probability of error in rejecting null hypothesis of no difference in group variances, based on Bartlett's test of homogeneity of variance, with 6 degrees of freedom.

each scale, separately for each of the seven occupational groups, are in Section IV-B.

As Table 5 indicates, occupational group differences in mean satisfaction scores were statistically significant for each of the three scales. On the Intrinsic Satisfaction and General Satisfaction scales, electronic assemblers had the lowest, and salesmen the highest, mean satisfaction score. On the Extrinsic Satisfaction scale, assemblers were least satisfied (followed closely by electronic assemblers) and salesmen were again the most satisfied group.

Group differences in variability were not statistically significant for any scale.

These results parallel those obtained for the long-form MSQ and those generally found in studies of job satisfaction.

Relationship with satisfactoriness—According to the *Theory* of *Work Adjustment*, satisfaction and satisfactoriness are independent, although interacting, sets of variables. Thus, data reflecting this postulated lack of relationship would support the construct validity of the MSQ scales.

Analyses of the relationship between measured satisfaction and measured satisfactoriness are reported in Monograph XXI of the Minnesota Studies in Vocational Rehabilitation series, "Instrumentation for the Theory of Work Adjustment." These included the cross-correlations between MSQ and satisfactoriness scales and the canonical correlation between the three MSQ scales and four scales measuring satisfactoriness. For the total group, the highest correlation between a satisfaction scale and a satisfactoriness scale was —.13, (between Extrinsic Satisfaction and General Satisfactoriness). The correlation between General Satisfaction and General Satisfactoriness was —.11. These findings show that, for the total group, less than 2% of the variance was common between any satisfaction scale and any satisfactoriness scale.

Cross-correlations were also computed separately for each of the six occupational groups. These results were similar to those for the total group, with a maximum cross-correlation of —.22. Mean cross-correlation ranged from .04 for salesmen, to .15 for the clerks.

Canonical correlations were computed between the two satisfaction scales and the three satisfactoriness scales (the "general"

¹⁸ Weiss, D. J., Dawis, R. V. England, G. W., and Lofquist, L. H. Instrumentation for the Theory of Work Adjustment. Minnesota studies in vocational rehabilitation, XXI, 1966.

scales were excluded for both instruments). For the total group, the maximum canonical correlation coefficient was .12, indicating that about 1.5% of the total variance of the canonical variates was common variance. For the separate occupational groups, maximum canonical correlation coefficients ranged from .11 to .31. These data show that a maximum of no more than 10% of the variance was common between the two sets of canonical variates.

These data support the expectation that satisfaction and satisfactoriness are independent sets of variables, and therefore indirectly support the validity of the MSQ scales as measures of satisfaction.

Scale Intercorrelations

Intercorrelations among the three short-form MSQ scales are shown in Table 6. It should be noted that correlations between the Intrinsic Satisfaction or Extrinsic Satisfaction scales and the General Satisfaction scale are part-whole correlations, which accounts for the high coefficients.

Table 6. Intercorrelations of satisfaction scales, for total group and seven occupational groups

	Scales				
Occupational group	Factor I and Factor II		Factor II and General Satisfaction		
Total group*	.60	.88	.82		
Janitors and maintenancemen	53	.91	.82		
Assemblers	57	.90	.85		
Machinists	53	.90	.84		
Clerks	64	.94	.85		
Electronics assemblers	.66	.93	.87		
Salesmen	52	.92	.80		
Engineers '	68	.80	.79		

Not including electronics assemblers.

Correlations between Intrinsic and Extrinsic Satisfaction scales ranged from .52 for salesmen to .68 for engineers. For the total group, this correlation was .60. While these correlations are somewhat higher than desired, their relatively high reliabilities allow for considerable specific variance in the two satisfaction scales.

Current Research

Research in progress on the MSQ is oriented toward two objectives: (1) improving the psychometric characteristics of the scales; and (2) expanding the range of dimensions measured by the MSQ.

While the scales of both the short- and long-form MSQ demonstrated adequate psychometric characteristics, it was obvious that there was a "ceiling effect" on many of the scale scores. This was indicated by the fact that scale means for most groups were located within a few points of the maximum possible score, and that most scale score distributions were markedly negatively skewed. Thus, most responses to any item alternated between "Satisfied" and "Very Satisfied," with fewer responses of "Neither" and very few, if any, of "Dissatisfied" and "Very Dissatisfied."

In an attempt to adjust for this "ceiling effect" the rating categories of the scales were modified to range from "not satisfied" to "extremely satisfied." In addition, the numbers 1 to 5 were used to help define the categories as follows:

- "1" means I am not satisfied (this aspect of my job is much poorer than I would like it to be)
- "2" means I am only slightly satisfied (this aspect of my job is not quite what I would like it to be)
- "3" means I am satisfied (this aspect of my job is what I would like it to be)
- "4" means I am very satisfied (this aspect of my job is even better than I expected it to be)
- "5" means I am extremely satisfied (this aspect of my job is much better than I hoped it could be)

In the new rating scale, the neutral point ("Neither") is eliminated, the two "dissatisfied" categories ("Dissatisfied" and "Very Dissatisfied") are collapsed into one ("Not Satisfied"), and four degrees of satisfaction ("Only Slightly Satisfied, Satisfied, Very Satisfied, Extremely Satisfied") are provided.

Results obtained on a group of about 200 individuals using the modified rating scale with the long-form MSQ indicate that the desired objectives were generally achieved. Mean scale scores tended to be in about the middle of the potential score range, and

scale scores were distributed more symmetrically across the range. Thus, the "ceiling effect" was eliminated, with no change in scale reliabilities or intercorrelations.

Research is continuing on expanding the range of satisfaction dimensions measured by the MSQ. A 30-scale form has been developed, with 10 new scales added to the original 20. These ten scales are Work Challenge, Company Image, Organization Control, Feedback, Physical Facilities, Work Relevance, Company Prestige, Company Goals, Closure and Compensation II. Further additions to the MSQ, specifically oriented toward scientific personnel, included 23 new scales, a few of which might be found to have relevance for other occupational groups. The characteristics of these new scales, particularly the ten listed above, are currently being studied to determine how much additional coverage of the domain of satisfaction they provide.

Section III

The Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire Long-Form

Subsequent to publication of this volume, MSQ items have been edited to remove gender-specific references. The items on the following pages reflect that editing.

Section III-A

minnesota satisfaction questionnaire

The purpose of this questionnaire is to give you a chance to tell how you feel about your present job, what things you are satisfied with and what things you are not satisfied with.

On the basis of your answers and those of people like you, we hope to get a better understanding of the things people like and dislike about their jobs.

On the following pages you will find statements about your present job.

- · Read each statement carefully.
- . Decide how satisfied you feel about the aspect of your job described by the statement.

Keeping the statement in mind:

- if you feel that your job gives you more than you expected, check the box under "Very Sat."
 (Very Satisfied);
- if you feel that your job gives you what you expected, check the box under "Sat." (Satisfied);
- if you cannot make up your mind whether or not the job gives you what you expected, check the box under "N" (Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied);
- If you feel that your job gives you less than you expected, check the box under "Dissat."
 (Dissatisfied);
- if you feel that your job gives you much less than you expected, check the box under "Very Dissat." (Very Dissatisfied).
- Remember: Keep the statement in mind when deciding how satisfied you feel about that aspect of your job.
- · Do this for all statements. Please answer every item.

Be frank and honest. Give a true picture of your feelings about your present job.

Ask yourself: How satisfied om I with this aspect of my job?

Very Sat. means I am very satisfied with this aspect of my job.

Sat. means I am satisfied with this aspect of my job.

N means I can't decide whether I am satisfied or not with this aspect of my job.

Dissat. means I am dissatisfied with this aspect of my job.

On my present job, this is how I feel about	Very Dissat.	Dissat.	N	Sat.	Very Sat,
1. The chance to be of service to others.					
2. The chance to try out some of my own ideas.			C		IJ
3. Being able to do the job without feeling it is morally wrong.				£3	
4. The chance to work by myself.					O
5. The variety in my work.	. 🗖				
6. The chance to have other workers look to me for direction.					
7. The chance to do the kind of work that I do best,					O
8. The social position in the community that goes with the job.					Ü
9. The policies and practices toward employees of this company.					
10. The way my supervisor and I understand each other.					D
11. My job security.					E)
12. The amount of pay for the work I do.					[7]
13. The working conditions (heating, lighting, ventilation, etc.) on this job.		Ü		□	IJ
14. The opportunities for advancement on this job.				O	נו
15. The technical "know-how" of my supervisor.					Ĺ
16. The spirit of cooperation among my co-workers.					
17. The chance to be responsible for planning my work.					IJ
18. The way 1 am noticed when 1 do a good job.					D
19. Being able to see the results of the work 1 do.		D			Ci
20. The chance to be active much of the time.					U
21. The chance to be of service to people.					
22. The chance to do new and original things on my own.					(1)
23. Being able to do things that don't go against my religious beliefs.					
24. The chance to work alone on the job.		\Box		(I)	13
25. The chance to do different things from time to time.					E)
	Very Dissat.	Dissot.	N	Sat	Very

Ask yourself: How satisfied am I with this aspect of my job?

Very Sat. means I am very satisfied with this aspect of my job.

Sat. means I am satisfied with this aspect of my job.

N means I can't decide whether I am satisfied or not with this aspect of my job.

Dissat. means I am dissatisfied with this aspect of my job.

On my present job, this is how I feel about	Very Disset.	Dissat.	N	Sat.	Very Sat.
26. The chance to tell other workers how to do things.					
27. The chance to do work that is well suited to my abilities.					
28. The chance to be "somebody" in the community.					
29. Company policies and the way in which they are administered.					
30. The way my boss handles his/her employees.					
31. The way my job provides for a secure future.					
32. The chance to make as much money as my friends.					
33. The physical surroundings where I work.					
34. The chances of getting ahead on this job.					
35. The competence of my supervisor in making decisions.					
36. The chance to develop close friendships with my co-workers.					
37. The chance to make decisions on my own.					
38. The way I get full credit for the work I do.					
39. Being able to take pride in a job well done.					
40. Being able to do something much of the time.					
41. The chance to help people.					
42. The chance to try something different.					
43. Being able to do things that don't go against my conscience.	Ω	IJ			
44. The chance to be alone on the job.		U			U
45. The routine in my work.					\Box
46. The chance to supervise other people.					
47. The chance to make use of my best abilities.					
48. The chance to "rub elbows" with important people.					
49. The way employees are informed about company policies.					Ü
50. The way my boss backs up his/her employees (with top management).	Very				Verv
	Dissat.	Dissal.	N	Sat.	Sot.

Ask yourself: How satisfied am I with this aspect of my job?

Very Sat. means I am very satisfied with this aspect of my job.

Sat. means I am satisfied with this aspect of my job.

N means I can't decide whether I am satisfied or not with this aspect of my job.

Dissat. means I am dissatisfied with this aspect of my job.

On my present job, this is how I feel about	Very Dissat.	Dissot.	N	Sat.	Very Sat.
51. The way my job provides for steady employment.			Ö		
52. How my pay compares with that for similar jobs in other companies.					
53. The pleasantness of the working conditions.					
54. The way promotions are given out on this job.					
55. The way my boss delegates work to others.					
56. The friendliness of my co-workers.					
57. The chance to be responsible for the work of others.					
58. The recognition I get for the work I do.					
59. Being able to do something worthwhile.					
60. Being able to stay busy.					
61. The chance to do things for other people.					
62. The chance to develop new and better ways to do the job.					
63. The chance to do things that don't harm other people.					
64. The chance to work independently of others.					
65. The chance to do something different every day.					
66. The chance to tell people what to do.					
67. The chance to do something that makes use of my abilities					
68. The chance to be important in the eyes of others.					
69. The way company policies are put into practice.					
70. The way my boss takes care of the complaints of his/her employees.					
71. How steady my job is.					
72. My pay and the amount of work I do.					
73. The physical working conditions of the job.					
74. The chances for advancement on this job.					
75. The way my boss provides help on hard problems.					
	Very Diseat.	Dissot.	N	Sal.	Very Sat.

Ask yourself: How satisfied am I with this aspect of my job?

Very Sat. means I am very satisfied with this aspect of my job.

Sat. means I am satisfied with this aspect of my job.

N means I can't decide whether I am satisfied or not with this aspect of my job.

Dissat. means I am dissatisfied with this aspect of my job.

On my present job, this is how I feel about	Very Dissal.	Diseat.	м	Sat.	Very Sat.
76. The way my co-workers are easy to make friends with.					
77. The freedom to use my own judgment.					
78. The way they usually tell me when I do my job well.					ĹĴ
79. The chance to do my best at all times.					
80. The chance to be "on the go" all the time.					
81. The chance to be of some small service to other people.					(I)
82. The chance to try my own methods of doing the job.					Ü
83. The chance to do the job without feeling I am cheating anyone.					
84. The chance to work away from others.					
85. The chance to do many different things on the job.					\sqcup
86. The chance to tell others what to do.				Ü	E)
87. The chance to make use of my abilities and skills.					£1
88. The chance to have a definite place in the community.					
89. The way the company treats its employees.					
90. The personal relationship between my boss and his/her employees.					E.)
91. The way layoffs and transfers are avoided in my job.					\Box
92. How my pay compares with that of other workers.					IJ
93. The working conditions.					
94. My chances for advancement.					
95. The way my boss trains his/her employees.					
96. The way my co-workers get along with each other.					\Box
97. The responsibility of my job.	. 🗆				IJ
98. The praise I get for doing a good job.	. 🗆				
99. The feeling of accomplishment I get from the job.					
100. Being able to keep busy all the time.					
	Very Dissat.	Dissot.	N	Set.	Very Sat.

Section III-B

Normative Data for the Long-Form MSQ

ACCOUNTANTS

(N=53)

Job description. D.O.T. 160.188. Applies principles of accounting in operation of general accounting system. Maintains accounts and records or supervises subordinates in bookkeeping activities.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	7	13	male	51	96
26 to 35	21	40	female	2	4
36 to 45	17	32	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	6	11	1 year or less	6	11
56 to 65	0	0	2 to 5 years	14	26
66 and over	0	0	6 to 10 years	11	21
Education			11 to 20 years	19	36
less than 12 years	0	0	21 to 30 years	3	6
high school graduate	6	11	31 years and over	0	Ō
some college	24	45	Employer	_	_
college graduate	23	43	Company 1	26	49
			Company 2		45
			Company 3	_	6

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	19.91	4.28	.91	1.25
2. Achievement	20.25	3.66	.91	1.10
3. Activity	20.32	3.15	.85	1.21
4. Advancement	17.47	5.43	.96	1.07
5. Authority	19.81	3.70	.92	1.04
6. Company policies & practices		5.02	.89	1.67
7. Compensation		5.02	.95	1.09
8. Co-workers	20.47	2.64	.82	1.13
9. Creativity	19.30	4.14	.92	1.19
10. Independence		3.29	.87	1.18
11. Moral values		2.92	.82	1.25
12. Recognition		4.88	.96	1.03
13. Responsibility		3.56	.89	1.19
14. Security		2.89	.77	1.39
15. Social service	20,76	3.14	.94	.77
16. Social status	18.59	3.62	.90	1.13
17. Supervision—				
human relations	18.59	5.24	.95	1.19
18. Supervision—technical	18.59	4.61	.92	1.34
19. Variety	20.09	3.51	.90	1.13
20. Working conditions	16,72	6.05	.96	1.19
21. General satisfaction		12.20	.92	3.41

									Per	cen	tiles									
MSQ Scale 1	. 5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization 9	10	11	14	17	18	19					20		21	22	23		24			25
2. Achievement 7	10	16	18	19							20		21		22		23	24	••••	25
3. Activity 11	15	16	17	18	*****	19	*****					20		21	22	23	24		*****	25
4. Advancement6	8	9	10	11	13	14	15	16	17	18	19			20	21	22	24			25
5. Authority 11	12	14	15	16	18		19					20		21	22	23	24			25
6. Company policies and practices	6	8	10	11	12	13	15		16		17	18		19	****			20	24	25
7. Compensation 5	7	8	•	10	12	13	14	15	16	18		19	** **				20	21	23	25
8. Co-workers 14	16	17			18	19					20		** -**	21	22	23		24		25
9. Creativity 6	8	13	14	16	17	18		19			*****		20		21	22	23	24		25
10. Independence 9	14	15	16	17		18			19			20			21	22	23	24		25
11. Moral values 11	16	17	18	19				20			21		22		23		24			25
12. Recognition	8		10	11	14	15	16	17	18							20	22	24		25
13. Responsibility 7	13		16	17	18		19				. ,		21		22		23	24		25
14. Security15			16	17		18		•	19		****		20	21		22	24			25
15. Social service10	15	17	18	19								20	21	22	23		24			25
16. Social status 5		14	15	16		17		18		19					20		21	22	23	25
17. Supervision—human relations 5	6	9	12	15	16	17	18	19				20		21	••••	22	23	24		25
18. Supervision—technical 5	6	10	12	15	16	17	18			19			20			21	23		24	25
19. Variety5	13	16	17	18			19					20		21	22		23	24		25
20. Working conditions	5	7	9		10	11	13	15	17	19					20	21	22	24		25
21. General satisfaction 39	55	61	66	68	70	72	73	74	75	76	77	79		80	82	84	89	95	96	97
1	=	10	15	20	25	20	25	40	4.5	50	E 2	20	CE	70	-					

ACCOUNTANTS

BUYERS

(N = 39)

Job description. D.O.T. 162.158. Purchases merchandise in open market for resale in chain stores. May specialize in buying certain type of merchandise, such as drugs or canned goods.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	Sic.
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	5	13	male	39	100
26 to 35	9	23	female	0	0
36 to 45	16	41	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	8	21	l year or less	4	10
56 to 65	0	0	2 to 5 years	14	36
66 and over	0	0	6 to 10 years	8	20
Education			11 to 20 years	8	20
less than 12 years	4	10	21 to 30 years	2	5
high school graduate	17	44	31 years and over	2	5
some college	13	33	Employer		
college graduate	5	13	Company 1	2	5
	-		Company 2	33	85
			Company 3	4	10

	Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1.	Ability utilization	19.72	2.95	.89	.96
2.	Achievement	20.80	2.09	.79	.97
3.	Activity	20.85	2.07	.71	1.11
4.	Advancement		3.82	.91	1.14
	Authority		2.41	.85	.94
	Company policies & practices		3.34	.80	1.49
7.	Compensation	16.13	4.46	.94	1.09
	Co-workers		2.14	.67	1.23
	Creativity		2.94	.83	1.20
	Independence		2.52	.79	1.17
	Moral values		2.15	.75	1.06
	Recognition		4.07	.92	1.15
	Responsibility		2.53	.77	1.21
	Security		2.26	.82	.97
15.	Social service		2.70	.90	.84
16.	Social status	19.31	2.04	.71	1.10
17.	Supervision-				
	human relations	19.95	3.52	.87	1.26
18.	Supervision-technical	19.90	2.64	. .71	1.42
19.	Variety	19.31	2.28	.59	1.47
	Working conditions		4.09	.88	1.44
	General satisfaction		7.33	.82	3.15

										Per	cent	tiles									
MSQ Scale	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization	. 10	12	15	17	18	19									20		21	22	23	24	25
2. Achievement	. 16	17	18	*****	19				•			20				21	22		24		25
3. Activity	. 16	17	18	••••	19						20			21		••••	22	23	24		25
4. Advancement	. 10	11	12	13	14		15		17	18	19			•••••			20	21	22	23	24
5. Authority	. 12	15		16	*****	17		18		19					*****			20	21	23	25
6. Company policies and practices		*****	12	14		17	18		19				20		21		22		23		24
7. Compensation	. 8			10		11	12	13	14	15	16	18	19				*****	20		21	24
8. Co-workers		16	17	19					20	*****				21	22			23		24	25
9. Creativity	. 10	13	14	15	16	18		19	•	•••••		*****	20			*****		21	22	23	24
10. Independence			16	17		18		19						20			21	22	24	*****	25
11. Moral values	. 14	18		19		••••			20	*****				21	*****	*****	22	23	24		25
12. Recognition				12		14		15	*****	16	17	18	19				20		21	24	25
13. Responsibility							18	19		******			*****	20		21	22	******	23	24	25
14. Security			18	19					20				21		22	23			24		25
15. Social service			16		19		****							*****	20	21	22	23	24		25
16. Social status				16	17	****	18		19				*****			*****		20	21		25
17. Supervision—human relations	. 11	13	15	16	17		18			19		20		21		22			24		25
18. Supervision—technical						18				19		*****		20		21	22		23	24	25
19. Variety		15						18				19				20		21	22	24	25
20. Working conditions	6	8	14	16	18		19		*****						20	21		22	23	24	25
21. General satisfaction					70		74		75	77	78	*****	79	80	82	83	85	87	89	90	95
	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99

ENGINEERS

(N=38)

Job description. Professional engineers, including chemical, electrical, industrial and mechanical engineers.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job,

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	6	16	male	38	100
26 to 35	13	34	female	0	0
36 to 45	11	29	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	5	13	1 year or less	3	8
56 to 65	1	3	2 to 5 years	11	29
66 and over	0	0	6 to 10 years	7	18
Education			11 to 20 years	14	37
less than 12 years	0	0	21 to 30 years	3	8
high school graduate	3	8	31 years and over	0	0
some college	9	24	Employer		
college graduate	26	68	Company 1	22	58
			Company 2	14	37
			Company 3	2	5

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	19.03	3.39	.92	.98
2. Achievement		2.66	.73	1.39
3. Activity	21.42	2.47	.81	1.08
4. Advancement		4.30	.96	.89
5, Authority	19.00	3.12	.91	.95
6. Company policies & practices	18.53	3.50	.87	1.28
7. Compensation		4.13	.95	.94
8. Co-workers	21.00	2.16	.67	1.23
9. Creativity	20.05	2.96	.87	1.07
10. Independence		2.42	.73	1.27
11. Moral values		2.02	.77	.98
12. Recognition	18.40	4.16	.96	.88.
13. Responsibility		3.05	.85	1.18
14. Security	21.45	2.17	.78	1.01
15. Social service		2.58	.89	.88
16. Social status	18.71	2.61	.76	1.27
17. Supervision—				
human relations	19.68	4.06	.90	1.28
18. Supervision—technical	19.05	3.19	.71	1.72
19. Variety		3.00	.85	1.17
20. Working conditions	19.61	4.59	.94	1.13
21. General satisfaction		7.99	.85	3.05

										Pe	rcen	tiles									
MSQ Scale	1	5	10	15	20	2 5	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization	10	11	14	15	16	17	18		19							20	21		22	24	25
2. Achievement		15	16	17	18		19		*****			20		•••••	21		22	23	24		25
3. Activity		16	18		19			•	20		21	*****		22	****		23	24			25
4. Advancement	6		10	11	14			15	17	18			19			*****		20	21	22	25
5. Authority		10	15	16	17		18	*****	19		*****					20			21	22	25
6. Company policies and practices	10	11	13	14	16			17			18		19			20	21	22		23	25
7. Compensation		8	10	11	13	14		15	16	17			18	19	*****		****		20	21	25
8. Co-workers	17		18			19		*****			20		21	*****	******	22		23	24	.,,	25
9. Creativity	12	14	15	16	18			19					20			21	22		23	24	25
10. Independence		15	16		17	18		19						20			21		22	24	25
11. Moral values	18	19	*****				20		21		•			22	23		24				25
12. Recognition			10	14		15	17	18		19	,					20	21		22	24	25
13. Responsibility	12	13	14	18		*****	19			****		20		21	*****	22			23	24	25
14. Security	15	18		19			20						21		22		23		24		25
15. Social service	14	16	17	18	19							***	20	21		22	23	24			25
16. Social status	12	14	15		*****	16	17		18		19				••••		20	*****	21	22	25
17. Supervision—human relations		10	12	14		17	18	19			20			21			22	23	24		25
18. Supervision—technical	11	12	14	15		16	17	18	19					20	****	21		22			23
19. Variety	10	14	17	18	****		19	****			20			21	22	*****	23		24		25
20. Working conditions	. 6	10	13	14	17	18	19		****				20	21	22	23		24			25
21. General satisfaction	61	63	66	70	71	73	76	77	•	78	79	80	81	82	84	85	87	88	89	90	91
	1	5	10	15	20	2 5	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
										Pe	rcen	tiles	;								

43

ENGINEERS

FIELD REPRESENTATIVES

(N=53)

Job description. Guides retail distributors in setting up training programs, suggesting methods and techniques of operation. Advises retail distributors in all phases of store operation and management.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	2	4	male	53	100
26 to 35	18	34	female	0	(
36 to 45	21	40	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	11	21	1 year or less	3	6
56 to 65	1	2	2 to 5 years	20	38
66 and over	0	0	6 to 10 years	9	17
Education			11 to 20 years	10	19
less than 12 years	4	8	21 to 30 years	9	17
high school graduate	27	51	31 years and over	2	4
some college	13	25	Employer		
college graduate	8	15	Company 1	3	6
			Company 2	48	91
			Company 3	2	4

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	20.28	3.68	.92	1.06
2. Achievement	21.23	2.87	.82	1.24
3. Activity	21.83	2.39	.81	1.05
4. Advancement	19.98	4.56	.96	.95
5. Authority	20.57	3.04	.90	.94
6. Company policies & practices	20.53	3.48	.90	1.09
7. Compensation	18.51	4.26	.93	1.16
8. Co-workers	21.34	1.96	.72	1.04
9. Creativity	21.51	3.12	.87	1.15
10. Independence	21.13	2.53	.88	.86
11. Moral values	21.89	2.91	.93	.76
12. Recognition	18.93	4.28	.96	.91
13. Responsibility	21.08	3.06	.78	1.42
14. Security	21.79	3.03	.83	1.24
15. Social service	22.08	2.53	.91	.77
16. Social status	20.30	2.43	.84	.97
17. Supervision—				
human relations	20.06	4.53	94	1.08
18. Supervision—technical	19.89	3.88	.91	1.19
19. Variety		2.91	.79	1.33
20. Working conditions		2.56	.82	1.08
21. General satisfaction		9.72	.93	2.58

										Per	cen	tiles									
MSQ Scale	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization	10	12	15	16	17	18	19					20	21		22	23		24			25
2. Achievement	14	15	17	18	19						20	21		22	23	24					25
3. Activity	16	18	19	•••••					20		21		22	23	•	24	••••	*****			25
4. Advancement	5	9	14	15	16	18	19						20	21	22	23	24	•••••			25
5. Authority	10	15	16	17	18	19						20		21		22	23	*****	24		25
6. Company policies and practices	10	12	14	17	19					20		••••		21		22	23	24			25
7. Compensation		10	11	12	14	15	16	17	18		19			20		21	22	****	23	24	25
8. Co-workers		19				•••••				20				••••	21	22	23	24	*****		2
9. Creativity	11	14	19					20				21	22	23		24	••••				2
10. Independence		16	17	19							20			21	22		23		24		2
11. Moral values	9	16	19					20		21	•	22	23			24		••••			25
12. Recognition	9	10	13	14		15	17		18	19				•	20	22	23	24		•	2
13. Responsibility	14	15	16	17	18	19	******		20				21	22	23		24				2
14. Security	13	14	17	18	19			20	*****	21		22		23	24		•••••				2
15. Social service	13	19						20	•••••	•	21	22	23		24	••••	•	••••			2
16. Social status	15	16	17	18			19			*****		*****	20	*****	*****	*****	21	22	24		2
17. Supervision—human relations		8	12	15	17	18	19			20			21	*****	22	23	24				2
18. Supervision—technical	10	11	12	15	17	18	19			*****		20		21		22	23	24			2
19. Variety				18	19				20		21	22		23	•	24	*****				2
20. Working conditions	16	18		19							20	••••	21	22	23	24					2
21. General satisfaction				71	76	78	79			80	81	84	85	87	88	90	93	95	97	98	10
	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65-	70	75	80	85	90	95	9

FIELD REPRESENTATIVES

MANAGERS

(N = 135)

Job description. This classification includes top executives from the company president through personnel managers, division managers and department heads.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

•	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	2	1	male	134	99
26 to 35	40	30	female	1	1
36 to 45	42	31	Tenure in present occupation	1	
46 to 55	36	27	1 year or less	12	9
56 to 65	9	7	2 to 5 years	40	30
66 and over	0	0	6 to 10 years	29	21
Education			11 to 20 years	36	27
less than 12 years	3	2	21 to 30 years	14	10
high school graduate	47	35	31 years and over	3	2
some college	37	27	Employer		
college graduate	46	34	Company 1	39	29
-			Company 2	76	56
			Company 3	20	15

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	20.93	3.03	.91	.90
2. Achievement	21.35	2.70	.84	1.10
3. Activity	21.79	2.22	.74	1.13
4. Advancement	19.18	4.38	.95	.95
5. Authority	20.65	2.99	.91	.91
6. Company policies & practic	es 19.48	4.02	.90	1.29
7. Compensation	17.77	4.86	.95	1.09
8. Co-workers	20.90	2.76	.86	1.04
9. Creativity	21.40	2.93	.88	1.01
10. Independence	20.67	2.67	.83	1.09
11. Moral values		2.46	.83	1.02
12. Recognition	19.50	3.79	.93	.99
13. Responsibility		2.65	.83	1.11
14. Security	21.59	2.81	.84	1.11
15. Social service	21.39	2.78	.89	.92
16. Social status	19.68	2.94	.82	1.26
17. Supervision—				
human relations	20.55	3.54	.85	1.36
18. Supervision—technical	20.22	3.29	.82	1.40
19. Variety		2.72	.84	1.09
20. Working conditions		4.23	.93	1.11
21. General satisfaction		9.34	.91	2.88

	Percentiles																				
	MSQ Scale	l 5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1.	Ability utilization	9 15	17	18	19						20		21		22	23	24				25
2.	Achievement1	1 16	17	19	••••	•••••		••••	20			21		22		23	24				25
3.	Activity1	4 18	19						20			21	22	*****	23		24	*****	••••		25
4.	Advancement	5 10	12	14	15	16	18		19					20		21	23	24			25
5.	Authority	8 15	17	18	19				•			20		21	*****	22	23	24			25
6.	Company policies and practices	B 10	13	15	16	17	18		19			20	•		21	22		23	24		25
7.	Compensation	59	10	11	13	15		16	17	18		19				20	21	22	24		25
8.	Co-workers	8 16	17	18	19	•					20	*****	21	*****	22	23			24		25
9.	Creativity	7 16	18	19					20		21	•	22	••••	23		24	••••	••••		25
10.	Independence 1	3 15	17	18		19	·					20		21		22	23		24		25
11.	Moral values	9 18	19				20	•		21		22		23		24		••••		•••••	25
12.	Recognition	9 12	13	14	16	18		19				****			20	21	22	23	24		25
13.	Responsibility1	1 17	18	19						20		21		22		23		24			25
14.	Security 1	2 16	18		19			20		21		22		*****	23		24				25
15.	Social service	1 17	18	19						20			21	22	23	*****	24				25
16.	Social status	9 14	15	16	17		18		19					20			21	22	23	24	25
17.	Supervision—human relations	9 13	15	17	18	19			****		20		21		22	23		24		*****	25
18.	Supervision—technical	0 13	15	17	••••	18		19		••••			20	21	******	22	23	*****	24	*****	25
		9 17	18	19	•••••				20	•••••		21			22		23		24		25
. 20	Working conditions	6 10	13	16	18	19		*****	•••••		*****	20	21	22		23	24			*****	25
	General satisfaction	2 6	72	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	83	84	85	87	88	90	93	95	97	99
		1 1	: 10	15	20	25	30	25	40	45	50	5.5	60	e e	70	75	00	05	- 00	-05	

MANAGERS

LICENSED PRACTICAL NURSES

(N=42)

Job description. D.O.T. 079.378. Takes physical care (feeds, bathes, etc.) of patients in hospitals. Cleans rooms, makes beds, and answers patients' calls. Licensed by State.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	19	45	male	1	2
26 to 35	9	21	female	21	98
36 to 45	1	2	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	5	12	1 year or less	8	19
56 to 65	7	17	2 to 5 years	19	45
66 and over	0	0	6 to 10 years	9	21
Education			11 to 20 years	2	5
less than 12 years	5	12	21 to 30 years	3	7
high school graduate	35	83	31 years and over	0	0
some college	1	2	Employer		
college graduate	0	0	Company 1	0	0
			Company 2	0	0
			Company 3	0	0
			Company 4	42	100

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	20.57	4.03	.92	1.11
2. Achievement	21.62	2.82	.85	1.08
3. Activity	21.36	2.52	.82	1.07
4. Advancement	18.60	4.29	.91	1.27
5. Authority	18.67	3.03	.90	.97
6. Company policies & practice	es 18.95	3.78	.86	1.42
7. Compensation	20.00	3.70	.90	1.19
8. Co-workers	21.48	2.92	.90	.94
9. Creativity	19.62	3.18	.91	.95
10. Independence	19.62	3.19	.85	1.23
11. Moral values	21.62	2.84	.89	.95
12. Recognition	19.48	4.36	.95	.95
13. Responsibility	20.19	2.94	.88	1.03
14. Security	21.62	2.56	.82	1.08
15. Social service	22.83	2.12	.89	.69
16. Social status	18.83	3.43	.87	1.26
17. Supervision				
human relations	20.26	3.91	.95	.89
18. Supervision—technical	20.33	3.99	· .94	1.01
19. Variety		4.12	.91	1.25
20. Working conditions		3.81	.93	1.25
21. General satisfaction	81.52	10.34	.93	2.72

MSQ Scale	i	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization	·····	10	14	15	17	19			20				21	22		23	24				25
2. Achievement		15	18	19					20	••••	21		22	23		24	*****	·			25
3. Activity	16	17	18	••••	19	••••				*****		20	21		22	24					25
4. Advancement		10	11	12	15			16	17	19		*****	•••••	*****	20	21	22		23	24	25
5. Authority	10				15	16	17		18		19						20	21	22	23	24
6. Company policies and practices	9	10	13	14	17				18	*****	19			*****		20	22	23	24		25
7. Compensation					17	18	19			•	20	*****		21	•••••	22	23		24		25
8. Co-workers					19			•••••	•	20	21	22		******	23		24				25
9. Creativity	•••••	10	15	17		*****	18		19	****		••••	20			21		20	23	24	25
0. Independence		13	15		16		17		18				20		••••	21	22	23	24		25
11. Moral values	14	17	•••••	18	19			••••	20			21	22	23		24					25
2. Recognition	5		12	15		16	19	•••••							20	21	23	24			25
13. Responsibility	10	13	17		18			19	*****			20	••••	•••••	21	•••••	22	23		24	25
14. Security	14	18		•	19			*****	20	•		22			23	******		24	•		25
15. Social service	19	•••••				20		21	22	23	•			24		•••••					25
16. Social status					••••	16			18	*****	19	*****			20		21	22	23	24	25
17. Supervision—human relations				16	17	18	19				•		20	22	23		*****	24	•••••		25
18. Supervision—technical	6	13	.15	16	17	18		19					20	21	23		24			••••	25
19. Variety					14	17	18		19	•			20		21			22	23	24	25
20. Working conditions						18	19				•			20	21		22	23	24		25
21. General satisfaction	55	63	64	71	73	75	76	77	78	79	81	82	83	84	87	89	93		94	95	100
	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99

Percentiles

LICENSED PRACTICAL NURSES

FULL-TIME NURSES

(N=419)

Job description. D.O.T. 075.378 (Professional nurse, general duty). Includes persons meeting the educational, legal, and training requirements to practice as professional nurses, as required by a State board of nursing.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered by mail. Completed questionnaires were received from 81% of the total group.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	191	46	male	0	0
26 to 35	75	18	female	419	100
36 to 45	48	11	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	55	13	(years since original RN licens	se)	
56 to 65	35	8	1 year or less		15
66 and over	2	.5	2 to 5 years		40
Education			6 to 10 years		8
diploma program	371	89	11 to 20 years	42	10
bachelors degree program	45	11	21 to 30 years	49	12
graduate study	3	1	31 years and over	51	12

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	19.56	3.65	.90	1.17
2. Achievement	20.39	2.95	.84	1.18
3. Activity	20.27	2.60	.87	.95
4. Advancement	15.77	4.38	.93	1.12
5. Authority		2.68	.85	1.06
6. Company policies & practices.	. 16.38	4.53	.89	1.52
7. Compensation	15.14	4.58	.89	1.55
8. Co-workers	. 20.68	3.13	.86	1.19
9. Creativity	. 17.71	3.77	.89	1.26
10. Independence	. 18.97	3.08	.86	1.17
11. Moral values		2.40	.71	1.30
12. Recognition	. 17.80	3.90	.92	1.09
13. Responsibility		2.60	.76	1.27
14. Security		2.65	.64	1.58
15. Social service		2.90	.91	.86
16. Social status		2.69	.74	1.37
17. Supervision-human relations		4.65	.91	1.43
18. Supervision—technical		4.21	.87	1.50
19. Variety		3.50	.86	1.33
20. Working conditions		4.28	.91	1.28
21. General satisfaction	75.40	7.99	.82	3.44

Percentiles MSQ Scale 30 35 40 45 50 1. Ability utilization 2. Achievement ______ 10 5. Authority ______ 10 6. Company policies and practices 6 7. Compensation 8. Co-workers 9. Creativity 10. Independence 11 Moral values ______14 12. Recognition 13. Responsibility 10 15 14. Security 16. Social status 17. Supervision—human relations 18. Supervision—technical 19. Variety 20. Working conditions 21. General satisfaction

FULL-TIME

Percentiles

NURSES

PART-TIME NURSES

(N = 293)

Job description. D.O.T. 075.378 (Professional nurse, general duty). Persons meeting the educational, legal, and training requirements to practice as professional nurses, as required by a State board of nursing. These nurses work 35 hours or less per week.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered by mail. Completed questionnaires were received from 81% of the total group.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	42	14	male	0	0
26 to 35	127	43	female	293	100
36 to 45	82	28	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	29	10	(years since original RN licen	se)	
56 to 65	6	2	1 year or less	3	1
66 and over	0	0	2 to 5 years	71	24
Education			6 to 10 years	66	23
diploma program	259	88	11 to 20 years	94	32
Bachelors degree program.		11	21 to 30 years	37	13
graduate study program	2	1	31 years and over	10	3

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	19.45	3.71	.91	1.14
2. Achievement	20,22	3.00	.87	1.08
3. Activity	20.35	2.33	.87	.84
4. Advancement	16.14	4.08	.92	1.14
5. Authority	18.67	2.59	.82	1.09
6. Company policies & practices	15.99	4.58	.90	1.47
7. Compensation	13.94	4.56	.88	1.61
8. Co-workers	20.21	3.12	.86	1.16
9. Creativity	17.05	3.63	.88	1.27
10. Independence		2.77	.85	1.07
11. Moral values		2.76	.80	1.24
12. Recognition		4.04	.94	.98
13. Responsibility		2.63	.80	1.17
14. Security		3.29	.78	1.56
15. Social service		2.78	.91	.84
16. Social status		2.86	.83	1.17
17. Supervision—				4.4.
human relations	18.52	4.25	.89	1.39
18. Supervision—technical		3.86	.87	1.41
19. Variety		2.92	.78	1.38
20. Working conditions		3.83	.92	1.10
21. General satisfaction		8.53	.86	3.16

Percentiles 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 MSQ Scale 75 1. Ability utilization 17 7 11 14 16 18 19 2 Achievement 8 15 18 19 20 3. Activity _____ 10 17 18 13 4. Advancement 5 10 5. Authority ______ 10 14 15 11 12 13 6. Company policies and practices 5 10 14 10 14 7. Compensation 8. Co-workers 8 18 20 9. Creativity 7 10 11 13 14 10. Independence ______ 10 14 ____ 15 16 17 18 11. Moral values ______ 13 15 19 12. Recognition 7 11 13 14 15 16 18 19 17 18 13. Responsibility 8 15 16 14. Security ______ 6 12 15 16 15. Social service 14 15 18 16. Social status 17. Supervision—human relations 10 12 14 15 16 18 10 12 14 15 19 16 18 18. Supervision—technical 19. Variety 13 -15 16 17 18 14 16 19 20. Working conditions 11 18 21. General satisfaction 76 51 61 65 66 68 69 Percentiles

PART-TIME NURSES

SUPERVISOR NURSES

(N=197)

Job description. D.O.T. 075.128 (Head Nurse). Supervises and directs nursing activities and instructs nurses in organized hospital unit. Assigns duties and coordinates nursing services. Evaluates nursing activities.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered by mail. Completed questionnaires were received from 81% of the total group.

Sample Characteristics

1	N %	N	%
Age		Sex	
18 to 25 2	5 13	male 0	(
26 to 35 5	8 29	female 197	100
36 to 45 4	7 24	Tenure in present occupation	
46 to 55 3	7 19	(years since original RN license)	
56 to 65 1	9 10	1 year or less1	.5
66 and over	0 0	2 to 5 years 44	22
Education		6 to 10 years 30	15
diploma program 17	1 87	11 to 20 years 50	25
bachelors degree program. 1	9 10	21 to 30 years 30	15
graduate study program	4 2	31 years and over 30	15

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	. 19.87	3.18	.87	1.15
2. Achievement	. 19.90	2.96	.84	1.17
3. Activity	. 19.94	2.93	.90	.92
4. Advancement	. 17.46	3.68	.92	1.05
5. Authority	. 19.76	2.41	.78	1.12
6. Company policies & practices.	. 16.93	4.50	.90	1.42
7. Compensation	15.47	4.69	.89	1.55
8. Co-workers	. 20.33	2.67	.80	1.19
9. Creativity	18.41	3.77	.89	1.25
10. Independence	. 18.24	3.18	.85	1.21
11. Moral values	. 20.78	2.58	.81	1.13
12. Recognition	17.64	3.99	.94	.98
13. Responsibility	19.99	2.81	.82	1.18
14. Security		3.23	.78	1.51
15. Social service	21.11	2.70	.87	.99
16. Social status	18.27	2.46	.71	1.33
17. Supervision—human relations	18.05	4.86	.92	1.38
18. Supervision—technical	18.06	4.26	.87	1.51
19. Variety		2.99	.79	1.38
20. Working conditions		4.29	.93	1.18
21. General satisfaction		8.73	.86	3.29

MSQ Scale	_ 1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization	9	13	15	17	18	•••••	19						20			21		22	23	24	25
2. Achievement	9	12	16	17	18		19							20		21		22	23	24	25
3. Activity	10	12	16	18		19	•								20		21	22	23	24	25
4. Advancement	. 5	10	11	13	14		15	16	17	18		19							20	21	24
5. Authority	12	15	16	17	18	•••••	19		<i></i>						20			21	22	23	25
6. Company policies and practices	. 5	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		19	••••			20	•	21	22	24
7. Compensation	. 5	7	9	10	11	•	*****	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19		•	•	20	22	24
8. Co-workers	. 11.	15	16	17	18	19				•••••		•	20		21		22	23		24	25
9. Creativity	. 6	10	13	14	15	16	17		18	19						•	20	21	22	24	25
10. Independence	. 8	13	14		15		16		17	18		19		•••••			20	*****	21	23	24
11. Moral values	. 7	15	17	18	13				••••	20				21		22		23	24		25
12. Recognition	5	9	12	13	14		15	16	17	18	19	•••••				•••••	•••••		20	23	25
13. Responsibility	7	14	16	17	18		19		•					20				22	23	24	25
14. Security	9	12	14	16	17	18			19	******			•	20		21	•	22	23	24	25
15. Social service	10	16	18	19						20	•••••		21		22		23		24		25
16. Social status	12	14		15		16		17	•	18	•			19	•	•••••	*****		20	22	23
17. Supervision—human relations	5	8	10	12	13	15	16	17	18		19				20	21	22	******	23	24	25
18. Supervision—technical	5	10	11	13	14	15	16	•	17	18		19			20	•	21	22	23	24	25
19. Variety	10	12	15	16		17		18		19		••••	•		20	*****		21	22	23	24
20. Working conditions	5		11	14	16	17	18	19			••••			20		21	22	23	24		25
21. General satisfaction	49	61	65	67	69	71	72	73		74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	83	85	87	96
	1	5	10	15	20	2 5	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99

Percentiles

SUPERVISOR NURSES

SOCIAL WORKERS

(N=166)

Job description. D.O.T. 195.108 (Caseworker). Counsels and aids individuals requiring assistance of social service agency. Includes Child Welfare, Family, Medical, and Psychiatric Caseworkers.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	28	17	male	57	34
26 to 35	66	40	female	109	66
36 to 45	35	21	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	20	12	1 year or less	51	31
56 to 65	13	8	2 to 5 years	53	32
66 and over	3	2	6 to 10 years	23	14
Education			11 to 20 years	21	13
less than 12 years	0	0	21 to 30 years	9	5
high school graduate	0	0	31 years and over	3	2
some college	1	1	-		
college graduate	165	99			

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	. 19.61	3.27	.89	1.09
2. Achievement		3.07	.82	1.32
3. Activity	19.95	2.22	.78	1.05
4. Advancement	17.44	4.16	.94	1.01
5. Authority	. 17.21	2.67	.86	1.01
6. Company policies & practices	18.26	4.02	.93	1.05
7. Compensation	. 19.08	4.19	.94	1.01
8. Co-workers		2.71	.86	1.03
9. Creativity	. 18.80	3.25	.87	1.17
10. Independence		2.65	.89	.89
11. Moral values		2.57	.88	.91
12. Recognition		3.46	.93	.89
13. Responsibility		2.15	.69	1.19
14. Security		2.40	.84	.95
15 Social service	22.01	2.69	.89	.88
16. Social status	17.63	2.78	.82	1.19
17. Supervision—				
human relations	. 18.87	3.72	.88	1.27
18. Supervision—technical		3.37	.87	1.23
19. Variety		2.89	.82	1.24
20. Working conditions		4.72	.97	.86
21. General satisfaction		7.54	.84	3.03

•										Per	cen	tiles									
MSQ Scale	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization	9	12	15	16	17	18	19								20	21		22	23	24	25
2. Achievement	10	13	15	17		18		19	,				20			21	•••••	22	23	24	25
3. Activity	14	15	16	17	18			19			· 		*****	20			21		22	23	25
4. Advancement	. 5	9	10	12	14		15	16	17	18		19			•				20	23	25
5. Authority	10	13	14			•		15		16		17		18		19			20	21	23
6. Company policies and practices	7	9	12	14	15	16	17		18		19		******				20	21	22	24	25
7. Compensation	. 7	10	12	14	15	17	18	19		•					20	21	22	23		24	25
8. Co-workers	9	14	16	17	18			19					•••••	*****	20		21	22	23	24	25
9. Creativity	10	12	14	15		16	17		18	19							20	21	22	24	25
10. Independence	11	14	15		16	17		18			19							20	21	23	25
11. Moral values										20	•••••		21	22			23	24			25
12. Recognition	. 7	10	14		15	16	17	18		19			••••					20	22	23	25
13. Responsibility	. 11	15	17		18			••••			19			•••••		20		21	22	23	24
14. Security		16	17	18		19							20		21	*****	22	23	24		25
15. Social service	10	18	19			*****	20	*****	•••••	21	22			23			24		*****		25
16. Social status	. 8	13	14		15			16			17	18			19	•			20	21	24
17. Supervision—human relations	. 5	12	14	15	16	17		18		19				•		20	21	22	23	24	25
18. Supervision—technical	. 9	11	13	15	16	17		18		19						20	21		22	23	25
19. Variety	. 9	12	15	17			18				19					20	•••••	21	22	23	25
20. Working conditions	. 5	9	10	11	14	15	17	18	19								20	22	24		25
21. General satisfaction	58	63	67	69	71	72	74	75	76		77	78		79	80	*****	82	84	85	88	95
	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	.55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99

Dercentiles

SOCIAL WORKERS

TEACHERS

(N=191)

Job description. D.O.T. 092.228. Includes elementary school teachers from kindergarten through grade six in a suburban school system.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Grade		
20-291	113	59	Kindergarten through 3	118	62
30-39	31	16	4 through 6	68	36
40-49	14	7	Tenure in present occupation		
50-59	19	10	0 to 9 years	138	72
60 and over	9	5	10 to 19 years		13
Education			20 to 29 years		8
less than bachelors degree	14	7	30 and over	10	5
bachelors degree 1	64	86			
masters degree	10	5			

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	21.08	3.06	.90	.95
2. Achievement	21.47	2.35	.79	1.08
3. Activity	20.75	2.77	.85	1.09
4. Advancement	19.13	3.03	.92	.89
5. Authority	18.95	2.58	.83	1.05
6. Company policies & practices	17.60	4.17	.90	1.32
7. Compensation	18.92	4.00	.90	1.26
8. Co-workers	21.47	2.52	.81	1.11
9. Creativity	21.83	2.86	.86	1.07
10. Independence	20.52	2.59	.81	1.13
11. Moral values	21,42	2.37	.75	1.18
12. Recognition	19.46	3.22	.90	1.00
13. Responsibility	20.90	2.17	.75	1.09
14. Security	20.53	2.74	.74	1.39
15. Social service	22.08	2.28	.90	.73
16. Social status	18.78	2.47	.79	1.13
17. Supervision—				
human relations	21.74	2.83	.84	1.13
18. Supervision-technical	21.39	2.61	81	1.13
19. Variety	20.88	2.62	.76	1.29
20. Working conditions	20.75	3.71	.91	1.13
21. General satisfaction	82.14	7.82	.87	2.77

Percentiles 45 50 55 60 65 MSQ Scale 35 40 1. Ability utilization ______ 10 15 2. Achievement 15 16 3. Activity ______ 10 15 4. Advancement 9 5. Authority 12 6. Company policies and practices 5 10 7. Compensation 8. Co-workers ______ 13 17 9. Creativity 10. Independence 11 15 11. Moral values ______ 15 17 12. Recognition 9 13 13. Responsibility 15 17 14. Security _____ 11 16 15. Social service ______16 16. Social status _____ 12 14 17. Supervision—human relations _____ 12 16 18. Supervision—technical _____12 19. Variety ______ 12 ***** 20. Working conditions _____5 21. General satisfaction 62

45 50 55
Percentiles

55 60 65 70

TEACHERS

BOOKKEEPERS

(N=45)

Job description. D.O.T. 210.388. Keeps records of financial transactions of establishment. Balances books and compiles reports to show statistics pertinent to operation of business.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	_%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	17	38	male	15	33
26 to 35	14	31	female	30	67
36 to 45	6	13	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	3	7	1 year or less	7	16
56 to 65	3	7	2 to 5 years	23	51
66 and over	0	0	6 to 10 years	5	11
Education			11 to 20 years	4	9
less than 12 years	1	2	21 to 30 years	3	7
high school graduate	17	38	31 years and over	1	2
some college	25	56	Employer		
college graduate	2	4	Company 1	8	18
- -			Company 2	34	76
•			Company 3	3	7

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	19.13	4.68	.93	1.24
2. Achievement	20.02	3.42	.84	1.35
3. Activity	19.69	3.66	.88	1.29
4. Advancement	15.96	5.12	.93	1.33
5. Authority	17.51	3.41	.80	1.52
6. Company policies & practice		5.09	.88	1.78
7. Compensation	15.47	4.85	.91	1.43
8. Co-workers	19.13	4.70	.92	1.30
9. Creativity	17.27	3.87	.86	1.45
10. Independence	19.89	3.09	.78	1.44
11. Moral values	21.49	2.68	.79	1.22
12. Recognition	17.22	4.59	.95	1.06
13. Responsibility	19.29	2.69	.68	1.53
14. Security	20.76	2.78	.81	1.23
15. Social service	19.87	2.98	.80	1.34
16. Social status	18.38	2.95	.86	1.11
17. Supervision—				
human relations	18.73	4.45	.88	1.55
18. Supervision—technical	18.89	3.68	.78	1.72
19. Variety	18.60	4.30	.87	1.53
20. Working conditions		3.96	.85	1.52
21. General satisfaction		9.68	.84	3.83

					Percentiles																
MSQ Scale	1	5	10	15	20	25	30 ·	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization	5	10	11	12	15	17	19						20		21		22	23	24		25
2. Achievement	7	14	15	17	18			19			•••••		20	·		21	23		24		25
3. Activity	9	10		15	16	17	18	19					20		21		22	23			25
4. Advancement	5	6	9		10	11	12	14	15			16	17	18	·····	19	20		21	24	25
5. Authority	5	10	11	14	15		•		16	17		18		19.			20			21	22
6. Company policies and practices		6	10	12	13		14		15	16	18			19			20	22	24		25
7. Compensation	••••	5	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		19		*****		20	21	22
8. Co-workers		5	13	16	17	*****	18	19		*** **				20		21	22	23	24		25
9. Creativity	5	11		12	13	15		16	17				18	19				20	21	22	25
10. Independence	10	14	15	16	17		18	19					20		21		22		23	24	25
11. Moral values		14	18	19					20	21					22	23	24				25
12. Recognition	5	8	10	11	13	14		15	16	17	19						20		21	23	25
13. Responsibility	11	14	15		16	17	18		19					20	•••••			21	22	23	25
14. Security	14	15	16	17	18	19		*****	*****			20	21	******	22		23		24		25
15. Social service		12	14	17	18	19									20	21	22	•••••	23	24	25
16. Social status		13	14		15		16	*****	17	18			19			*****	20		21	24	25
17. Supervision—human relations	. 8	9	11	13	15			17	18	19					20		21	24			25
18. Supervision—technical	7	11	14	15	16		17		18	19	•					20	21	22	24		25
19. Variety	. 8	9	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	••••	19		20		21	••••	22		23	24	25
20. Working conditions	8	9	11	13	16		******	17	18			19				20		21	23	24	25

BOOKKEEPERS

21. General satisfaction

BUSINESS MACHINE OPERATORS

(N=70)

Job description. D.O.T. 213.582, 215, 216, 217. Operators of billing machines, adding machines, calculating machines, key punches.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	46	66	male	26	37
26 to 35	16	23	female	44	63
36 to 45	5	7	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	3	4	1 year or less	20	29
56 to 65	0	0	2 to 5 years	33	47
66 and over	0	Ô	6 to 10 years	10	14
Education			11 to 20 years	3	4
less than 12 years	4	6	21 to 30 years	Ō	Ō
high school graduate	50	71	31 years and over	Ō	Õ
some college	16	23	Employer		_
college graduate	0	0	Company 1	11	16
	_	_	Company 2	55	79
			Company 3	4	6

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	19.04	3.49	.89	1.18
2. Achievement	20.00	3.02	.82	1.29
3. Activity	20.17	3.10	.87	1.11
4. Advancement	16.14	4.40	.89	1.43
5. Authority	17.73	2.78	.82	1.20
6. Company policies & practices	16.97	4.00	.84	1.61
7. Compensation	14.89	5.29	.94	1.30
8. Co-workers	19.53	3.37	.81	1.46
9. Creativity	17.63	3.61	.87	1.30
10. Independence		3.37	.83	1.39
11. Moral values		2.47	.75	1.23
12. Recognition		4.50	.93	1.21
13. Responsibility		2.78	.75	1.40
14. Security		2.23	.71	1.21
15. Social service		2.90	.88	1.02
16. Social status		2.75	.77	1.31
17. Supervision—			•••	1.01
human relations	19.07	4.02	.88	1.37
18. Supervision—technical		3.54	80	1.58
19. Variety		4.09	.86	1.55
20. Working conditions		4.70	.90	1.46
21. General satisfaction		9.45	.86	3.55

										Per	cen	uies									
MSQ So	cale	. 5	10	15	20	2 5	30	3 5	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability	utilization	12	13	15	16	17		18	19					20			21	22	23	24	25
2. Achieve	ment	3 11	16	17	18		19		••••			•••••	20		21		22		23	24	25
3. Activity		3 13	17	18			19						20		21		22	23		24	25
4. Advance	ement	5 8		10	11	13		14	15	16	17			18		19			20	22	25
5. Authori	ty1	13		14	15			16	17			18	******	19	*****				20	21	25
6. Compan	y policies and practices	3 10	11	12	13	14		15	16		17		18	•		19		20	21	24	25
7. Compen	sation	5	6	9		10	11	12	13	14		15	16	17	18	19		20	21	23	25
8. Co-work	kers1	13	14	15	17		18		19					20		21	22	23		24	25
9. Creativ	ity	7 10	11	12	15			16	17		*****	18		19		*****	20		21	22	25
10. Indepen	idence	6 13	14	15	16	17		18	*****		19		*****		20		21	22	23	24	25
11. Moral v	values1	5 16	17		18	19		·			20	•••••	21		22	••••	*****	23	24		25
12. Recogni	ition	5 8	9	11	13	14	15		16		17	18	19		*****		*****	20	21	24	25
13. Respons	sibility	12	14	15	16	17			18		*****	19				20			21	22	25
14. Security	y1	4 17			18		19		•••••				20		21		22	23		24	2
15. Social s	service1	3 14	15	16	17	18	19		•		•		••••	20		21	22	23		24	2
16. Social	status 1	1 12		15				16			17		18	19					20	22	25
17. Supervi	ision—human relations	7 10	13	14	16	17		18	•···•	19			••••		20	21	22	23	24		25
18. Supervi	ision—technical 1	0 12	14	15	16	17	18		19			•••••	20		21	••••	22	23	24		2
19. Variety		5 10	13	15	16	17		18		19			20		21		22		23	24	2
20. Workin	g conditions	6 7	10	11	13	14	15	16	17	18		19			•		20	21	22	24	2
21. General	satisfaction 4	5 58	61	66	67	68	69	70	71	73	75	77		78	80	81	82	84	85	87	9:
		1 5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99

Percentiles

BUSINESS MACHINE OPERATORS

OFFICE CLERKS

(N=99)

Job description. D.O.T. 209.388. (Office clerk) Performs routine clerical tasks not requiring knowledge of systems or procedure.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	48	48	male	21	21
26 to 35	18	18	female	77	78
36 to 45	12	12	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	10	10	1 year or less	35	35
56 to 65	2	2	2 to 5 years	38	38
66 and over	0	0	6 to 10 years	13	13
Education			11 to 20 years	11	11
less than 12 years	2	2	21 to 30 years	1	1
high school graduate	71	71	31 years and over	1	1
some college	22	22	Employer		
college graduate	4	4	Company 1	27	27
			Company 2	57	57
			Company 3	15	15

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	. 17.75	4.41	.92	1.24
2. Achievement	. 19.56	3.02	.83	1.25
3. Activity	. 19.88	3.12	.87	1,13
4. Advancement	. 14.86	4.97	.94	1.20
5. Authority	. 17.03	3.24	.83	1.34
6. Company policies & practices	16.77	4.81	.90	1.51
7. Compensation	. 14.37	5.00	.93	1.35
8. Co-workers	. 19.70	3.40	.85	1.31
9. Creativity	. 17.65	3.67	.82	1.54
10. Independence	. 19.32	3,47	.83	1.41
11. Moral values		2.79	.82	1.20
12. Recognition	17.12	4.36	.93	1.18
13. Responsibility	. 18.78	3.12	.82	1.32
14. Security		2.91	.77	1.40
15. Social service		3.31	.86	1.23
16. Social status	. 17.28	2.80	.76	1.37
17. Supervision—				
human relations		4.79	.92	1.32
18. Supervision—technical		4.00	· .86	1.51
19. Variety		4.43	.89	1.48
20. Working conditions		4.11	.89	1.40
21. General satisfaction	12.89	10.08	.87	3.59

										Pe	rcen	tiles									
MSQ Scale	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70-	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization	5	9	10	12	14	*****	15	16	17	18		19					20	21	23	24	25
2. Achievement		13	14	16	17	18	19							20		*****	21	22	23	24	25
3. Activity	10	13	15	16	17	18	*****	19						20		21	22	23	24		25
4. Advancement	5	7	8	9		10	11		12	14	*****	15	16	17	18	19		*****	20	23	25
5. Authority	7	12	13		14		******	15		16			17	******	18	19			20	23	25
6. Company policies and practices	5	7	9	11	12	13	14	15	16		17	18		19			20	21	22	24	25
7. Compensation	5	6	8	9	****	10	*****	11	12	13	14		15	16	18		19		20	21	25
8. Co-workers	10	12	14	16	17	18		19	******				20	******	21		22		23	24	25
9. Creativity	6	11	12	13	14	15								19				20	22	24	25
10. Independence	9	11	15	16		17		18	19			*****			20		21	22	24		25
11. Moral values	11	15	17	18			19		*****		20		21		22	23		24			25
12. Recognition	*****	9	10	11	12	14	15	16		17		18	19					20	21	24	25
13. Responsibility	ġ	12	15		16		17		18			19					20	21	22	24	25
14. Security				17		18										*****	22	23	24		25
15. Social service	5	11	15	16	17		18		19							20	21	22	23	24	25
16. Social status	10	12	13	14				15	16		17		18		******	19			20	21	24
17. Supervision—human relations	6	8	10	12	14	15	16								20		22	23	24		25
18. Supervision—technical							17	18									21	22	23	24	25
19. Variety			10		13	14	15	16	18		19				20		21	22	23	24	25
20. Working conditions		9	12	13	15	16	17			18		19					20	22	23	24	25
21. General satisfaction	47	52	60	61	64	66	68	69	70	71	73	74	75	76	78	79	80	82	83	92	98
	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
										ъ.		4:1									

OFFICE CLERKS

SECRETARIES

(N=118)

Job description. D.O.T. 201.368. Schedules appointments, gives information to callers, takes dictation, and otherwise relieves officials of clerical work and minor administrative and business detail.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	49	42	male	0	0
26 to 35	18	15	female	118	100
36 to 45	23	19	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	13	11	1 year or less	18	15
56 to 65	3	. 3	2 to 5 years	48	41
66 and over	0	0	6 to 10 years	19	16
Education			11 to 20 years	25	21
less than 12 years	2	2	21 to 30 years	4	3
high school graduate	77	65	31 years and over	3	3
some college	37	31	Employer		
college graduate	2	2	Company 1	52	44
	_	_	Company 2	62	52
			Company 3	4	3

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	19.53	3.85	.91	1,13
2. Achievement		3.10	.87	1.13
3. Activity		4.01	.92	1.15
4. Advancement		4.80	.94	1.21
5. Authority		3.10	.82	1.30
6. Company policies & practi		5.58	.93	1.50
7. Compensation		5.47	.94	1.40
8. Co-workers		3.50	.88	1.24
9. Creativity		3.46	.88	1.20
10. Independence		3.45	.91	1.03
11. Moral values		2.72	.85	1.07
12. Recognition		4.70	.95	1.01
13. Responsibility		2.90	.76	1.43
14. Security		2.97	.76	1.45
15. Social service		2.87	.91	.86
16. Social status		3.52	.87	1.27
17. Supervision—	10.00	0.02	.01	1.47
human relations	20.79	3.84	.86	1.46
18. Supervision—technical		3.33	.81	1.44
19. Variety		3.75	.87	1.33
20. Working conditions		5.47	.93	1.49
21. General satisfaction		10.00	.88	3.51

										Per	rcen	tiles									
MSQ Scale	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization	5	10	13	15	17	18		19		.			20			21	22	23	24		25
2. Achievement	9	14	16	18	19					*** **	20	*****		21	22		23	24			25
3. Activity	5	10	14	16	18		19		•			20		21	22		23	••••	24		25
4. Advancement	5	8	9	10	11	13	14		15	16	17	18		19			20	21	22	24	25
5. Authority	9	12	13	14	*****		15			16	17			18	19				20	22	24
6. Company policies and practices	5	6	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19			20	21	23	24		25
7. Compensation		5	8	9	10	11	13	14	15	17		18	19		*****	20	****	21	22	24	25
8. Co-workers	9	12	15	17	18		19		*****		20	*****	21	*****		22	23		24		25
9. Creativity	8	12	14	15	16	17	18			19			****		20	*****	21	22	24		25
10. Independence	*****	14	15	17	18		19					20		21		22	23	24			25
11. Moral values	9	17	18	19				20		***	21		22		*****	23		24	*****		25
12. Recognition	6	9	11	13	15	16	18	19				••••	20			21	23	24			25
13. Responsibility	9	14	15	16	17	18			19				•		20		21	22	23	24	25
14. Security	9	15	16	18		19				20		21			22		23		24		25
15. Social service	9	15	17		18	19		•		••••				20		21	22	23	24		25
16. Social status	. 5	11	14	15			16		17			18		19			20	21	22	24	25
17. Supervision—human relations	6	12	15	17	18		19			20		21	******	22	23		24			*****	25
18. Supervision—technical	. 7	14	17		18	19			20		21			22		23	*****	24			25
19. Variety	. 7	14	15	17	18		19			20				21	22	23			24		25
20. Working conditions		5	8	10	12	13	15	17			18	19			*****	20	21	22	23	24	25
21. General satisfaction	39	61	63	68	70	71	72	74	75	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	86	87	90	95	98
	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99

SECRETARIES

MINNESOTA STUDIES IN VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION

STENOGRAPHERS AND TYPISTS

(N=32)

Job description. D.O.T. 203.388, 203.588. Takes dictation in shorthand and transcribes dictated material, using typewriter. Performs variety of clerical duties.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	21	66	male	0	(
26 to 35	. 7	22	female	32	100
36 to 45	2	6	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	0	0	1 year or less	11	34
56 to 65	0	0	2 to 5 years	15	47
66 and over	0	0	6 to 10 years	4	12
Education			11 to 20 years	1	3
less than 12 years	0	0	21 to 30 years	1	3
high school graduate	26	81	31 years and over	0	0
some college	6	19	Employer		
college graduate	0	0	Company 1	11	34
			Company 2	20	62
			Company 3	1	3

	Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1.	Ability utilization	17.13	5.32	.97	.96
2.	Achievement	19.16	2.97	.82	1.26
3.	Activity	19.50	3.79	.91	1.15
4.	Advancement	14.25	4.96	.96	1.04
5.	Authority	16.72	2.52	.77	1.21
	Company policies & practices		5.04	.91	1.55
	Compensation		5.28	.94	1.31
	Co-workers		4.06	.89	1.33
9.	Creativity	16.88	3.54	.81	1.53
10.	Independence	19.13	2.62	.81	1.14
11.	Moral values	21.25	2.11	.75	1.06
12.	Recognition	16.28	4.68	.94	1.19
13.	Responsibility	18.03	3.23	.76	1.57
14.	Security	19.25	2.57	.69	1.44
15.	Social service	18.91	3.55	.89	1.19
16.	Social status	17.25	2.78	.81	1.23
17.	Supervision—				1.40
	human relations	17.56	4.40	.90	1.42
18.	Supervision—technical	18.47	4.16	86	1.55
	Variety		4.84	.88	1.70
20.	Working conditions		3.83	.81	1.68
21. (General satisfaction	71.94	9.33	.84	3.69

										Per	cen	rites									
MSQ Scale	1	5	10	15	20	25	30		40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization	·				10	11	13		17	18	19				20			21	22	24	25
2. Achievement			14	16	17	18			•	19								20	22	23	25
3. Activity		10	17	*****	18				19						20	21	22		23	24	25
4. Advancement		5	9	*****			10		11	12	13	14	15		16	18	19		20	21	25
5. Authority		11	14	*****		*****	15				16	17			*		18	19		20	22
6. Company policies and practices					11	13	14		•••••	16	•••••		18	19			20	21	22	23	25
7. Compensation		7	8	9		10	11	12	13	14	15	17			18	19			20	21	25
8. Co-workers		12	13	16	17	18	19							20	21		22	23	24		25
9. Creativity		10	11	12	14		15		•	16			17		18		19		20	22	24
10. Independence		13	14	16			18					19	*****				20	21	22	23	25
11. Moral values	·····		18	19					•••••	20		21					22	23			25
12. Recognition		9			10	12	14			15		16			19		20	••••	21	23	25
13. Responsibility		12		14		15	16				18							20	22	23	25
14. Security			15	16		17		18	*****				19		••••		20	22	23		24
15. Social service						16	17	18											22	23	25
16. Social status		13			•••••	15		*****		16		·		17	18	*****	19		20	22	23
17. Supervision—human relations		7	9	14	15				16	17		18	19			•	20	21	22	23	25
18. Supervision—technical		10	11	13	15		16		17	18	19	•	•	*****	20	•	21	22	24		25
19. Variety		6	3 .	14	15		16		17	18	19				20	21	22	•	23	24	25
20. Working conditions		11		14	15		16	17		18			19			•	20	21			25
21. General satisfaction		56	61	63	64	66		67	68	69	70	72	73	74	76	77	78	80	81	83	99
	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	-70	75	80	85	90	95	99

Percentiles

STENOGRAPHERS AND TYPISTS

MINNESOTA STUDIES IN VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION

FOOD SERVICE WORKERS (HOSPITAL)

(N=42)

Job description. Assists in preparation and serving of food to hospital patients. May clean equipment and assist in cooking and apportioning meals.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	13	31	male	19	45
26 to 35	8	19	female	23	55
36 to 45	6	14	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	11	26	1 year or less	15	36
56 to 65	4	10	2 to 5 years	13	31
66 and over	0	. 0	6 to 10 years	9	21
Education			11 to 20 years	4	10
less than 12 years	20	48	21 to 30 years	0	0
high school graduate	15	36	31 years and over	0	0
some college	4	10	Employer		
college graduate	0	0	Company 1	0	0
	•	•	Company 2	5	12
			Company 3	Õ	0
•			Company 4	27	88

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	16.76	5.57	.91	1.70
2. Achievement	18.64	3.99	.85	1.57
3. Activity	19.12	3.77	.89	1.24
4. Advancement	15.93	5.45	.93	1.42
5. Authority	16.93	4.61	.91	1.39
6. Company policies & practices	16.45	5.53	.91	1.68
7. Compensation		5.28	.91	1.58
8. Co-workers	18.91	5.13	.93	1.38
9. Creativity	16.19	4.71	.87	1.69
10. Independence	17.31	4.22	.84	1.69
11. Moral values		4.46	.92	1.29
12. Recognition	16.81	4.43	.84	1.76
13. Responsibility		4.75	.89	1.60
14. Security	19.60	4.08	.84	1.65
15. Social service		4.69	.95	1.04
16. Social status	16.71	4.79	.92	1.33
17. Supervision—				
human relations	16.57	5.14	.87	1.87
18. Supervision—technical	18.12	4.34	· .89	1.45
19. Variety		5.27	.92	1.51
20. Working conditions	18.10	5.10	.89	1.68
21. General satisfaction	70.33	16.31	.95	3.57

										re	rcen	uies									
MSQ Scale	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization		5	6	9	11	14	15	-16		17	18	÷	19				20	21	22	24	25
2. Achievement	. 5	*****	11	15	16	*****	17	18	19						*****	20		21	22	24	25
3. Activity	. 7	11	13	14	17		18	19							20	*****		21	22	****	25
4. Advancement			9		10		11	13	14	15	17	18		19				20	22	23	25
5. Authority		5	9	13		14	15		16	17	18			19				20		21	25
6. Company policies and practices		5	9	10		11	12	13	15	17	18		19				20	22	23	24	25
7. Compensation		8	9		10	13	14	15	16	17	18				20		21	22	23	*****	24
8. Co-workers		5	11	15	16	17		18	19				20		21		22	23	24		25
9. Creativity		5	9	11		12	13	14	15	•	16	17	18	19	•••••				20	21	25
10. Independence	. 5		10	12	13	14	15	16	17		18	19			*****		20		21	22	25
11. Moral values		5		15	17		18	19	*****			*****	20	•	21	22		23		24	25
12. Recognition	7	8	10	*****	11	14		15	16	·	17		18	19			•	20	21	23	25
13. Responsibility	. 5	7	8	11	13	14		15	16	17	18		19					20	21	22	25
14. Security		9	14	15	16	17	18		19			••••	20	21	••••	22	••	23	24		25
15. Social service	5	8	11	15	18	19		•••••			20		21	22		23		24			25
16. Social status		5	7	12	14	•••••		15	16		17		18	19			20	21		22	24
17. Supervision—human relations	5	6	9	10	11	12	13	15	16		17	18	19				20	21	22	23	25
18. Supervision—technical			11	12	13	15	16	17		18		19	*****		•••••	20	21		22	24	25
19. Variety	4		5	11	13	17			18		19						20	21	22	24	25
20. Working conditions	4	5	10	12	13	14	16		17	19		*****	*****	20	*** **	21	22	*****	23	24	25
21. General satisfaction	24	29	44	52	58	64	67	68	69	70	71	74	75	76	79		80	84	86	94	99
		_							40				~~	05	-	-	~~	05	-	05	-00

Percentiles

FOOD SERVICE WORKERS (HOSPITAL)

HOUSEKEEPING AIDES

(N=43)

Job description. D.O.T. 323.887 (Hospital maid). Cleans hospital wards, rooms, baths, laboratories, offices and halls.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	1	2	male	20	46
26 to 35	2	5	female	22	51
36 to 45	11	26	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	17	40	1 year or less	7	16
56 to 65	11	26	2 to 5 years	14	33
66 and over	1	2	6 to 10 years	13	30
Education			11 to 20 years	8	19
less than 12 years	26	60	21 to 30 years	1	2
high school graduate	15	35	31 years and over	0	0
some college	2	5	Employer	•	
college graduate	0	0	Company 1	0	0
			Company 2	0	0
			Company 3	0	0
			Company 4	43	100

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	15.63	5.43	.92	1.51
2. Achievement	17.84	4.54	.87	1.66
3. Activity	19.35	3.28	.86	1.22
4. Advancement	12.54	5.19	.94	1.32
5. Authority	16.70	3.33	.82	1.42
6. Company policies & practices	13.95	4.81	.87	1.77
7. Compensation	15.86	5.19	.92	1.51
8. Co-workers	18.33	3.35	.69	1.85
9. Creativity	15.61	4.35	.82	1.84
10. Independence	18.28	4.22	.85	1.61
11. Moral values		3.18	.79	1.47
12. Recognition	14.54	5.11	.93	1.39
13. Responsibility		3.77	.82	1.60
14. Security		3.85	.74	1.97
15. Social service		2.67	.73	1.39
16. Social status		3.82	.79	1.77
17. Supervision—				****
human relations	14.47	5.14	.89	1.69
18. Supervision—technical		4.78	90	1.51
19. Variety		4.49	.83	1.85
20. Working conditions		4.68	.85	1.79
21. General satisfaction		13.31	.92	3.84

										Per	cen	tiles									
MSQ Scale	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
. 1. Ability utilization		5	7	8	9	10	13	14	15	16			17	18	19			20	21		
2. Achievement	. 6	7	10	12	15		16		17	18		19					20	21	23	24	25
3. Activity	. 6	12		16	17	18													23	24	25
4. Advancement				6	7	8				10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	19	•	20	25
5. Authority		10	11		14				15	16									20	21	24
6. Company policies and practices		5	6	8	10		11		12	13	14		15		16	17	18	19		20	
7. Compensation		5	9	10	11		12	13	14	15	16		17	18	19		20	21	22	24	25
8. Co-workers	. 8	10	13	14	15	16	17		18	*****			19				20		21	22	25
9. Creativity																				21	· 23
10. Independence	. 6	7	12		15		16	17	18	19							20	21	22	23	25
11. Moral values																				24	25
12. Recognition		5	6	*****	9		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19				20	···25
13. Responsibility																			20	23	25
14. Security	. 8	11	13	14	15		*****	16	17		18		19		20		21	22	23	24	25
15. Social service											19		•		20		21	22	23	24	25
16. Social status	. 7	9	10	12	13	14		*****	15	16										22	25
17. Supervision—human relations																				22	24
18. Supervision—technical	. 5	8	9		10	11	12	13	14		15	16	17	18	19	••••		20	21	22	25
19. Variety		5	8	10	14	15	16	17		••••		18	19		*****			20	*****	21	24
20. Working conditions	. 6	7	8	10	11	12	14	15	16		17		18		19				20	21	25
21. General satisfaction	. 33	35	48	51	54	56	61	63		64	67	68	69	70	73	75	77	78	82	83	92
	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99

HOUSEKEEPING AIDES

NURSING ASSISTANTS

(N=45)

Job description. D.O.T. 355.878 (nurse aid, hospital attendant). Assists in care of hospital patients, under direction of nursing and medical staff.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	7	16	male	27	60
26 to 35	5	11	female	18	40
36 to 45	14	31	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	9	20	1 year or less	7	16
56 to 65	9	20	2 to 5 years	10	22
66 and over	0	0	6 to 10 years	8	18
Education			11 to 20 years	19	42
less than 12 years	15	33	21 to 30 years	1	2
high school graduate	25	56	31 years and over	0	0
some college	4	9	Employer		
college graduate	0	0	Company 1	. 0	0
**************************************			Company 2	0	Ō
			Company 3	Õ	Ō
t.			Company 4	45	100

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	20.73	2.91	.86	1.10
2. Achievement	21.31	2.29	.82	.97
3. Activity	21.42	2.62	.86	.97
4. Advancement	18.22	5.20	.96	1.04
5. Authority	18.87	2.85	.85	1.09
6. Company policies & practices	18.89	4.21	.90	1.33
7. Compensation	18.67	4.17	.91	1.26
8. Co-workers	20.89	2.70	.85	1.03
9. Creativity	19.31	2.91	.74	1.48
10. Independence	19.69	3.03	.85	1.17
11. Moral values	21.13	2.94	.80	1.30
12. Recognition	20.09	3.30	.89	1.08
13. Responsibility	20.29	2.56	.85	1.00
14. Security	21.60	2.53	.81	1.10
15. Social service	22.87	2.10	.90	.68
16. Social status	18.56	3.55	.85	1.38
17. Supervision—				
human relations	19.91	3.44	.87	1.25
18. Supervision—technical	20.84	3.03	.90	.98
19. Variety		2.82	.77	1.36
20. Working conditions	20.38	2.91	.85	1.14
21. General satisfaction	80.62	9.52	.91	2.85

MSQ Scale	,		10	15	20	25	30	35	40	Per 45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	9
Mod ocate	1	5	10	19	. 20	45	_ 30	90	40	40	50				10			60		33	9
1. Ability utilization		15	16	17	18	19						•	20	21	22	23	24				2
2. Achievement	17		18	••••	19				•••••		20		21	22			23	24			2
3. Activity		15	19						*****			20	21	22	23	24					2
4. Advancement	6		10	11	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	*****		20	21	22	23	24			2
5. Authority	13			15			16	17	18	19			******			20		21	22	23	2
6. Company policies and practices	9	10	13	14	15		16	17		18		19	20	 .	21	22		23	24		2
7. Compensation	9	10	12	14	15	16		17	18		19				20		21	23	24	,	2
8. Co-workers		15	16	18		19			•••••			20			21	23	24			••••	2
9. Creativity	11	14	15	16	17	•		18		19		••••	•••••		*****	20	21	22	23	24	2
0. Independence	14			15	16	17	18		19						20		21	23	24		2
1. Moral values		14	17	18	19						20		21	22	23		24			****	2
2. Recognition	10	13	15	17	18		••••		19				20			21	22	24			2
3. Responsibility				17	18			19		*****		*****	*****	20		21	22	23	*****	24	2
4. Security		17	18	19	•	•			•••••	20		21	22	23			24				2
5. Social service				•	20				21	22	23	•	24		••••	•••••	•••••	•			2
6. Social status					15		16	17	18				19	•		20	21	22	23	24	2
7. Supervision—human relations		13			17	18	•		19	•••••				20	21	22	23	24			2
8. Supervision—technical		15	16	17	18	19			•	*****	20		21	٠	22		*****	24			2
9. Variety		14	16	17	18	•	19	•••••				20			21	22	••••	23	24		2
0. Working conditions			16		17	18	19		•••••	*****	•••••	•	20	·····	21	22	23	24			2
1. General satisfaction	64	66	67	70	73	74	75	76		77	78	81	•••••	82	84	85	88	90	96	97	10
	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	9
										Das	rcen	tiles									

75

NURSING ASSISTANTS

MINNESOTA STUDIES IN VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION

ASSEMBLERS (TOY)

(N=309)

Job description. D.O.T. 731.884, 731.887. Assembles toys on assembly line.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

N	7 %		N	%
Age		Sex		
18 to 25 31	l 10	male	0	0
26 to 35 53	3 17	female	291	94
36 to 45 108	3 35	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55 73	3 24	1 year or less	40	13
56 to 65 24	8	2 to 5 years	143	46
66 and over	0	6 to 10 years	67	22
Education		11 to 20 years	20	6
less than 12 years 164	53	21 to 30 years	3	1
high school graduate 118		31 years and over	1	0
some college		Employer		
college graduate	0	Company 1	0	0
<u> </u>		Company 2	Õ	Õ
		Company 3	Ó	Ŏ
		Company 4	309	100

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	18.37	3.68	.84	1.46
2. Achievement	19.54	2.62	.77	1.27
3. Activity	20.15	2.44	.79	1.13
4. Advancement	16.66	4.40	.90	1.41
5. Authority		2.78	.75	1.40
6. Company policies & practices	18.70	3.99	.84	1.59
7. Compensation	17.54	4.60	.90	1.45
8. Co-workers	20.19	2.75	.77	1.31
9. Creativity	17.63	3.16	.72	1.67
10. Independence	18.25	3.19	.79	1.46
11. Moral values	20.34	2.23	.62	1.38
12. Recognition	16.79	4.02	.89	1.34
13. Responsibility	18.22	2.69	.74	1.37
14. Security	18.84	3.44	.78	1.62
15. Social service	19.72	2.34	.73	1.21
16. Social status	17.66	2.88	.75	1.45
17. Supervision—				
human relations		3.41	78	1.62
18. Supervision—technical	18.71	3.18	.77	1.53
19. Variety		4.73	.88	1.64
20. Working conditions		4.49	.88	1.57
21. General satisfaction	73.46	9.25	.86	3.51

											Pe	rcen	tuies	,								
MS	Q Scale	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Abi	ility utilization	. 6	10	13	14	15	16	17	18			19					20			21	23	25
2. Ach	nievement	. 10	14	16	17		18		19								20		21	22	24	25
3. Act	tivity	. 13	15	17		18	19	*****			*****					20	21		22	23	24	25
4. Adv	vancement	. 4	8	10	11	12	14		15	16	•	17	18		19			*****	20	21	22	24
5. Aut	thority	. 10	12	14			15			16		17		18		19				20	21	24
6. Cor	mpany policies and practices	. 6	10	12	14	15	16	17	18		19					20		21	22	23	24	25
7. Cor	mpensation	. ·5	9	10	11	13	14	15	16	17	18		19					20	21	22	24	25
8. Co-	workers	. 11	15	16	17	18	*****		19					20			21	22	23	24		25
9. Cre	eativity	. 8	11	13	14		15	16			17		<i></i>	18		19			20	21	22	24
10. Ind	ependence	. 7	12	14		15		16		17	18		19					20		21	22	25
11. Mo	ral values	. 15	16	17	18		19						20				21		22	23	24	25.
12. Rec	cognition	. 6	8	11	12	13	14		15		16	17	18		19					20	21	24
13. Res	sponsibility	. 10	13	14	15		16	••••	17			18			19				20	21	22	24
14. Sec	curity	. 7	12	13	15	16		17	18		19					20		21		22	23	25
15. Soc	cial service	. 12	15	16	17		18		19		•						20		21	22	24	25
16. Soc	cial status	. 9	12	14		15			16		17		18			19				20	21	24
17. Sup	pervision—human relations	. 6	13	14	15		16	17	18		•••••	19			20			21		22	24	25
18. Sup	pervision—technical	. 10	12	14	15	16		17	******	18			19				20		21	22	23	25
19. Var	riety	. 5	8	10	13	15	16	17		18	19				20		21		22	23	24	25
20. Wo	rking conditions	. 5	7	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16		17		18		19			20	22	25
21. Ger	neral satisfaction	. 49	57	60	63	65	67	68	70	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	. 82	84	87	94
		1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
											Pe	rcen	tiles	!								

ASSEMBLERS (TOY)

LABORERS

(N=55)

Job description. Performs general work in warehouse, office building and in construction. Assists skilled and semi-skilled workers, by doing cleanup work, lifting, pulling, pushing, etc.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	17	31	male	55	100
26 to 35	29	53	female	0	0
36 to 45	6	11	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	1	2	1 year or less	14	25
56 to 65	2	4	2 to 5 years	27	49
66 and over	0	0	6 to 10 years	4	7
Education			11 to 20 years	2	4
less than 12 years	20	36	21 to 30 years	0	0
high school graduate	24	44	31 years and over	0	0
some college	. 10	18	Employer		
college graduate	1	2	Company 1	0	. 0
			Company 2	52	95
•			Company 3	3	5

Summary Statistics

١.

	Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1.	Ability utilization	14.98	4.72	.91	1.40
	Achievement		3.40	.83	1.41
3.	Activity	18.87	3.02	.82	1.28
	Advancement		4.59	.91	1.42
5.	Authority	15.66	3.39	.88	1.15
6.	Company policies & practices		4.63	.87	1.70
7.	Compensation		3.43	.82	1.46
8.	Co-workers	18.42	3.79	.89	1.27
9.	Creativity		4.69	.90	1.48
	Independence		4.21	.89	1.42
	Moral values		2.55	.63	1.54
	Recognition		4.07	.92	1.19
	Responsibility		3.34	.80	1.51
	Security		2.88	.75	1.43
	Social service		3.66	.91	1.08
	Social status		3.62	.85	1.42
	Supervision—		4.02	.00	
- • •	human relations	16.76	4.89	88	1.67
18.	Supervision—technical		3.63	82	1.53
	Variety		5.21	.93	1.40
	Working conditions		4.40	.86	1.64
	General satisfaction		12.28	.92	3.41

MSQ Scale	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization		5	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16		17		18		19			20	23
2. Achievement	8	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	•		18		19					20	21	22	23
3. Activity	6	11	15	16	17		18		19			•••••					20		21	22	25
4. Advancement		5		9	10		11	12	13		14	15	*****		16	18	19		20	21	23
5. Authority		9	10	12	13	14			15			16				17	18	19		20	22
6. Company policies and practices		6	8	11	12	13	14		15	16		*****	17		18	19		20	21	22	25
7. Compensation	9	11	13	15	16	18			19	*****	•			••••	20		21		22	23	25
8. Co-workers	6	10	12		15	16	17	18				19		••••	•	•	20	21	22	23	24
9. Creativity		5	8	10	11	12	13		14	15	16	17	18			19		20	21	22	24
0. Independence	6	8	10	13	14	15	16	17	•••••	18	**-*-	19						20	21	23	25
11. Moral values	····	13	15	16	17				18	19		•		*****		20		21	22	23	25
2. Recognition	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14		15	16	17	•	18	19			•	20	21	23
3. Responsibility	6	10	12	13	14		*****	15		16	17		18		*****	19			20	21	22
14. Security	10	14	15	16	18			19	•••••			*****	20			21	22		23	24	25
15. Social service	5	10	11	14	15	16		17		18	19					*****		20	21	22	24
16. Social status		5	12	13		14		•		15		16	17	•••••	18			19	20	21	23
17. Supervision—human relations	5	7	9	10	12	13	14	15	16		17	•	18	19			20	21	22	24	25
8. Supervision—technical		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		18		•		19			20	21	22	25
9. Variety		5	7	9		10	11	12	13	15		16	17		18		19	20	21	22	25
20. Working conditions	6	7	10	12		13	14	15	16	17			18	••••	19	*****		20	21	23	25
21. General satisfaction	39	41.	50	54	58	61	63	64	67	68	70	72	73	74	75	76	78	79	81	84	93
	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
										Pe	rcen	tiles	;								

LABORERS

PACKERS

(N=102)

Job description. Packs finished or wrapped products in cardboard or wooden boxes, cartons, kegs, or other containers preparatory to shipment or storage.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	25	24	male	68	67
26 to 35	26	25	female	34	33
36 to 45	26	25	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	18	18	1 year or less	10	10
56 to 65	6	6	2 to 5 years	39	38
66 and over	0	0	6 to 10 years	28	27
Education			11 to 20 years	15	15
less than 12 years	47	46	21 to 30 years	1	1
high school graduate	48	47	31 years and over	0	0
some college	6	6	Employer		
college graduate	0	0	Company 1	0	0
			Company 2	102	100
			Company 3	0	0

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	17.71	3.97	.89	1.33
2. Achievement	. 19.16	2.96	.80	1.32
3. Activity	. 19.85	2.38	.73	1.23
4. Advancement	. 15.27	4.94	.92	1.40
5. Authority	. 16.81	3.19	.81	1.41
6. Company policies & practices	17.08	5.06	.90	1.64
7. Compensation	18.95	3.93	.82	1.68
8. Co-workers	. 18.59	4.14	.89	1.37
9. Creativity	. 17.29	3.85	.82	1.62
10. Independence	. 18.86	3.04	.75	1.51
11. Moral values	19.96	2.68	.72	1.43
12. Recognition	16,84	4.46	.92	1.28
13. Responsibility	17.98	2.78	.69	1.53
14. Security	20.38	3.32	.80	1.49
15. Social service	19.66	2.55	.80	1.15
16. Social status	17.52	2.87	.77	1.39
17. Supervision— .				
human relations	17.05	5.15	.88	1.75
18. Supervision—technical	17.71	4.13	· .86	1.55
19 Variety	18.11	4.26	.85	1.68
20. Working conditions	17.42	4.16	.80	1.86
21. General satisfaction	72.47	10.40	.87	3.72

										Per	cen	tiles									
MSQ Scale	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
I. Ability utilization	. 7	9	10	12	13	15	16	17	18		19						20		21	22	25
2. Achievement	. 10	12	15	16	17			18		19						20		21	22	24	25
3. Activity	. 10	14	16	17	18	19							•••••		20	•		21	22	24	25
4. Advancement		5	7	8	10	11	12	13	14		15	16	17	18	19	*****			20	21	23
5. Authority	. 7	11	12	14			*****	•••••	15	16			17	18	19	*****			20	21	23
6. Company policies and practices	. 5	7	9	10	11	13	14	15	16	17	18	19				20		21	22	24	25
7. Compensation	. 7	11	12	14	15	16	17	18		19				20		21		22	23	24	25
8. Co-workers	. 5	9	13	14	16	17			18	19					20			21	23	24	25
9. Creativity	. 9	10	11	12	13	14	15		16		17	18		19			20	21		22	24
10. Independence	. 10	12	14	15	16	17			18		19					20		21	22	23	24
11. Moral values	. 7	15	16	17	18			19						20		21		22	23	24	25
12. Recognition	. 5	8	9	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		19					20	21	22	24
13. Responsibility	. 11	12	13	14	15		16	17			•••••	18			19		*****	20	21	22	23
14. Security	. 8	12	16	17	18	19		•			20			21	*****	22		23	24		25
15. Social service	. 10	14	16	17		18	19						*****			20		21	22	23	25
16. Social status	. 9	11	14		15			16		17	•	18			19				20	21	25
17. Supervision—human relations	. 5	7	9	10	11	13	14	15	16	17	18	••-	19	******		20	21	22	23	24	25
18. Supervision—technical	. 6	9	11	12	14	15	16	17		18		19				20		21		22	24
19. Variety	. 5	9	10	13	14	15	16	17		18	19					20	21	22	****	23	24
20. Working conditions	. 5	8	10	13		14	15	16	17		18	****	19				20	21		22	24
21. General satisfaction	. 45	54	58	60	63	65	67	69	70	71	73	74	75	77	79	80	81	83	84	86	93
	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99

PACKERS

SMALL EQUIPMENT OPERATORS

(N=46)

Job description. D.O.T. 922.883 (Industrial truck operator). Drives gasoline- or electric-powered industrial truck or tractor, equipped with forklift, elevating platform, or trailer hitch.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	1	. 2	male	46	100
26 to 35	20	43	female	0	0
36 to 45	14	30	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	9	20	1 year or less	4	9
56 to 65	1	2	2 to 5 years	18	39
66 and over	0	Ö	6 to 10 years	15	33
Education			11 to 20 years	9	20
less than 12 years	23	50	21 to 30 years	0	0
high school graduate	16	35	31 years and over	0	0
some college	6	13	Employer		
college graduate	ŏ	0	Company 1	0	0
Corrego Bracado	•	v	Company 2	44	96
			Company 3	2	4

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	17.87	3.60	.79	1.66
2. Achievement		3.60	.87	1.31
3. Activity		3.42	.87	1.24
4. Advancement		4.16	.87	1.51
5. Authority		2.89	.66	1.69
6. Company policies & practice		4.14	.83	1.73
7. Compensation		4.02	.88	1.40
8. Co-workers		3.93	.82	1.67
9. Creativity		3.57	.73	1.86
10. Independence		3.54	.84	1.42
11. Moral values		3.21	.74	1.65
12. Recognition		4.04	.86	1.53
13. Responsibility		3.39	.81	1.47
14. Security		3.60	.87	1.32
15. Social service		3.76	.88	1.30
16. Social status		3.05	.72	1.61
17. Supervision—	10.40	3.00	.12	1.01
human relations	16 70	3.69	75	1.86
18. Supervision—technical		3.69	79	1.69
19. Variety		4.32	.87	1.53
20. Working conditions		4.46	.86	1.67
21. General satisfaction	69.94	10.64	.88	3.72

Percentiles MSQ Scale 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 5 11 13 14 17 18 1. Ability utilization 5 11 14 16 2. Achievement ****** ****** ****** 3. Activity 13 16 4. Advancement 13 5. Authority 6. Company policies and practices 10 7. Compensation 16 18 8. Co-workers 5 10 13 7 8 11 12 13 9. Creativity 15 5 13 14 15 18 10. Independence 19 11. Moral values 7 14 16 18 11 10 12 13 15 16 12. Recognition 13. Responsibility ______ 10 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 14. Security _____ 5 12 16 17 18 19 20 15. Social service 14 15 16 16. Social status 8 14 15 16 17. Supervision—human relations 10 11 12 13 15 18 19 18. Supervision—technical 11 12 13 16 6 12 13 19. Variety _____ 5 11 20. Working conditions 7 10 13 16 17 18 19 21. General satisfaction 32 59 61 67 30 35 60 65 70 75

Percentiles

SMALL EQUIPMENT OPERATORS

MINNESOTA STUDIES IN VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION

TRUCK DRIVERS

(N=118)

Job description. D.O.T. 906.883. Drives truck to destination. May load and unload truck.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	5	4	male	118	100
26 to 35	48	41	female	0	0
36 to 45	48	41	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	15	13	1 year or less	2	2
56 to 65	2	2	2 to 5 years	27	23
66 and over	0	0	6 to 10 years	30	25
Education			11 to 20 years	45	38
less than 12 years	67	57	21 to 30 years	12	10
high school graduate	46	39	31 years and over	2	2
some college	5	4	Employer		
college graduate	0	0	Company 1	0	0
			Company 2	100	85
			Company 3	18	15

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	20.22	3.74	.90	1.18
2. Achievement	. 20.34	3.20	.85	1.26
3. Activity	. 21.21	2.39	.81	1.03
4. Advancement	. 16.78	4.70	.92	1.32
5. Authority	17.42	2.76	.76	1.35
6. Company policies & practices	18.86	4.61	.88	1.63
7. Compensation		4.60	.91	1.37
8. Co-workers		2.76	.78	1.30
9. Creativity		3.80	.84	1.52
10. Independence		2.85	.85	1.12
11. Moral values		2.32	.66	1.35
12 Recognition		4.91	.91	1.45
13. Responsibility		2.71	.66	1.58
14. Security		3.07	.81	1.38
15. Social service		2.30	.80	1.03
16. Social status		3.07	.78	1.46
17. Supervision—		0.01	.,,	1.70
human relations	17.92	5.69	.92	1.60
18. Supervision—technical		4.61	90	1.49
19. Variety		2.70	.76	1.33
0. Working conditions		3.29	.87	1.20
21. General satisfaction		10.41	.90	3.36

	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2	z
•	25	ž
	20	ਟੋ
	20	2
	25	Į
	20	ä
	23	크
	20	E
	20	3
	25	7
	20	Z
	25	S
	25	ĭ
	20	70
•	24	Š
	25	S
	20	FA
	25	G
	25	5
	20	Z
	20	ဥ
	23	7
_	91	1
	99	MANUAL FOR THE MINNESOTA SATISFACTION QUESTIONNAIRE
		Z
		É

MSQ Scale	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization	5	12	15	17	18	19					20			21		22		23	24		25
2. Achievement	10	13	15	17	18	19						20		21	22			23	24		25
3. Activity	14	17	18	19					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		20	*****	21		22		23	24			25
4. Advancement	5	6	9	10	12	14	15	16		17		18		19				20	21	23	25
5. Authority	9	13	14			15		16			17		18	•••••		19			20	21	23
6. Company policies and practices	5	8	11	14	15	16	17		18	19		20			21		22	23	24		25
7. Compensation			12	14	16	18		19		.,		20		21		22	23	24			25
8. Co-workers	12	15	16	17	18	19						20		21			22	23	••••	24	25
9. Creativity	5	10	13	15		16			17		18		19				20		21	23	25
10. Independence	12	15	17	18	19					20			21		22	23		24			25
11. Moral values	14	17	18	19				20	*****				21		22		23		24		25
12. Recognition	. 5	7	9	10	12	13	14	15		16	17	18		19	•		20	21	22	24	25
13. Responsibility		13	15	16	17			18	••••	*****	19				20		*****	21	22	23	24
14. Security	. 9	16	18		19		20		•	21		22		23		24					25
15. Social service	14	17	18	19		•					20			21			22	23	24		25
16. Social status	10	12	14		15	16	17	18	** ***		19					20		21		22	25
17. Supervision—human relations		6	8	11	12	14	15	16	17	18	19			20	21	22	23	24			25
18. Supervision—technical	. 5	9	11	12	14	15	16	17	18	*	19				20	21	22	23		24	25
19. Variety	. 8	15	17		18		19	*****				20	••-		21	*****	22		23	24	25
20. Working conditions	. 6	13	16	17	18	19	•			••••	20		21		22		23		24		25 -
21. General satisfaction	47	60	64	67	70	71	72	75	76	78	79	80	82	83	84	85	86	88	90	96	97
	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99

TRUCK DRIVERS

WAREHOUSEMEN

(N=205)

Job description. D.O.T. 922.887 (Laborer, stores; stock boy). Receives, stores, ships, and distributes materials, tools, equipment, and products.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Sex		
18 to 25	71	35	male	205	100
26 to 35	70	34	female	0	0
36 to 45	40	20	Tenure in present occupation		
46 to 55	11	5	1 year or less	49	24
56 to 65	10	5	2 to 5 years	94	46
66 and over	0	ō	6 to 10 years	21	10
Education	-	_	11 to 20 years	21	10
less than 12 years	61	30	21 to 30 years	3	1
high school graduate		56	31 years and over	2	1
some college	19	9	Employer		
college graduate	4	2	Company 1	0	0
correge Bradada	-	_	Company 2	133	65
			Company 3	72	35

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	16.13	4.76	.89	1.58
2. Achievement	18.90	3.12	.77	1.50
3. Activity		2.31	.81	1.00
4. Advancement		5.13	.93	1.33
5. Authority		3.20	.80	1.44
6. Company policies & practic		4.78	.87	1,74
7. Compensation		4.05	.89	1.34
8. Co-workers		3 52	.81	1.54
9. Creativity		4.23	.86	1.61
10. Independence		3.24	.83	1.36
11. Moral values		2.82	.77	1.37
12. Recognition		4.85	.93	1.27
13. Responsibility		3.20	.76	1.56
14. Security		2.92	.80	1.32
15. Social service		3.04	.87	1.11
16. Social status		3.28	.79	1.52
17. Supervision—				
human relations	16.60	5.18	.90	1.61
18. Supervision—technical		4.42	· .88	1.56
19. Variety		4.92	.90	1.55
20. Working conditions		4.48	.86	1.68
21. General satisfaction		11.11	.89	3.67

										Per	cen	tiles									
MSQ Scale	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization	. 5	7	9	10	11	12	13		14	15	16	17	18	19			20		21	23	25
2. Achievement	. 7	13	15		16	17		18		19							20	21	22	24	25
3. Activity	. 11	16	18		19		••••								20		21	22	23	24	25
4. Advancement			6	8	9		10	11	12		13	14	15	16	17	18		19	20	21	24
5. Authority	7	10	12	13	14			15		16			17	•	18	19				20	24
6. Company policies and practices	. 5	8	*****	11	12	13	14	15		16	17		18	19			20	21	22	23	25
7. Compensation	6	9	12	14	17	*****	. 18		19			*****		20			21	22	23	24	25
8. Co-workers	9	11	13	14	15	16	17		18			19					20		22	24	25
9. Creativity	5	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	•	15		16	17		18		19		20	21	24
10. Independence	9	12	14	15	16	17		18		19				*****		20		21	22	24	25
11. Moral values	9	14	16	17	18	19						20			21		22	23		24	25
12. Recognition	5	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		14	15	16	17	18	*****	19			20	22	24
13. Responsibility	9	11	12	14		15		16		17		18			19	*****	••••		20	21	24
14. Security	8	16	17	18		19	*****		20			21			22		23	••••	24		25
15. Social service	8	12	14	16	17		18		19		••••					*****		20	21	23	25
16. Social status	6	10	12	13	14		15		16		17		18		•••••	19			20	21	24
17. Supervision—human relations	5	7	9	10	11	12	13	15	16		17	18	19	••••			20	21	22	24	25
18. Supervision—technical			10	12	13	14	15	16	4	17		18	19		•••••		*****	20	21	23	25
19. Variety	4	6	9	10	12	13	14	15	16	17		18		19	·		*****	20	21	22	24
20. Working conditions	5	8	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		18	19			••	20		21	22	24
21. General satisfaction	4 0	52	55	58	61	62	64	67	68	69	70	71	73	75	77	78	79	81	83	88	94

WAREHOUSEMEN

30

5 10 15

MINNESOTA STUDIES IN VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION

EMPLOYED DISABLED

(N=355)

Sample Characteristics

	N	%		N	%
Age			Education		
18 to 25	23	6	less than 12 years	98	28
26 to 35	122	34	high school graduate	136	38
36 to 45	107	30	some college		20
46 to 55	72	20	college graduate		13
56 to 65	31	9			-
66 and over	Ō	ŏ	Occupation		
	·	•	unskilled blue collar		23
Disability			skilled blue collar		19
Cardiovascular and			unskilled white collar	77	22
systemic	57	16	skilled white collar	87	24
Orthopedic	117	33	professional	38	11
Neurological	43	12	Tonum in massest assumption		
Neuropsychiatric and			Tenure in present occupation	01	
mental retardation	53	15	1 year or less	21	6
Visual and hearing	32	9	2 to 5 years	72	20
Respiratory	39	11	6 to 10 years		34
Others	14	- 4	11 to 20 years		25
	••	-	21 to 30 years		11
Sex			31 years and over	11	3
	289	81			
female	65	18			

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	18.94	4.31	.93	1.17
2. Achievement	20.14	3.13	.85	1.22
3. Activity		2.72	.86	1.03
4. Advancement		5.14	.94	1.27
5. Authority		3.33	.88	1.17
6. Company policies & practice	s 17.52	4.67	.92	1.32
7. Compensation		4.66	.92	1.34
8. Co-workers		2.87	.86	1.08
9. Creativity	18.52	4.33	.92	1.26
10. Independence	19.28	3.41	.89	1.15
11. Moral values	20.99	2.74	.82	1.15
12. Recognition	18.12	4.26	.93	1.10
13. Responsibility	19.27	3.32	.83	1.36
14. Security	19.85	3.86	.85	1.49
15. Social service	20.25	3.04	.89	.99
16. Social status	17.45	3.37	.84	1.33
17. Supervision—				2,00
human relations	18.36	4.55	91	1.40
18. Supervision—technical	18.33	4.35	.91	1.34
19. Variety	19.46	3.68	.87	1.35
20. Working conditions		4.47	.93	1.14
21. General satisfaction	75.85	11.06	.91	3.30

										Per	cen'	tiles									
MSQ Scale	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization	5	9	12	14	16	17		19								21		23	24		_
2. Achievement	10	14	15	17	18	••••	19						20		21	•	22	23	24		25
3. Activity	. 12	15	17	18	19									20	21	22	23	24		·····•	25
4. Advancement					11		13	14	15	16	17	18		19				20	22	24	25
5. Authority	. 9	11	13	14		15	16		17		18	19	*****				20		21	23	25
6. Company policies and practices	6	8	10	11	13	14	15	16	17	18	··· •-	19	•				20	21	23	24	25
7. Compensation	5	9	10	11	13	15		17	18		19		•••••			20	21	22	23	24	25
8. Co-workers	9	14	16	17	18		19										22	•	23	24	25
9. Creativity	8	9	11	13	14	16	17		18		19				20	*****	21	22	24		25
10. Independence	9	13	15		16	17		18								*****	21	22	23	24	25
11. Moral values						19			*****	20			21		22		23	24		••••	25
12. Recognition	_ 6	9	11	13	14	15	16	17	18		19					<i></i>	20	21	23	24	25
13. Responsibility	10	12	14	15	16	17	18			19			••••	20			21	22	23	24	25
14. Security	7	12	14	15	17	18		19	•••••	•			20	21		22	23	*****	24		25
15. Social service	10	14	15	17	18	19							20			21	22	23	24		25
16. Social status	7	11	13	14			15		16	••••	17		18		19			20	21	22	25
17. Supervision—human relations	6	9	11	13	14	15	16	17		18	19				20	21	22		23	24	25
18. Supervision—technical	5	9	11	13	14	15	16	17	18		19				20		21	22	23	24	25
19. Variety	_ 7	11	14	15	17	18		•••••	19					20		21	22		23	24	25
20. Working conditions															20	21	22	23	24		25
21. General satisfaction	47	55	59	63	68	69	71	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	82	84	86	90	93	98
	_	_							4.0	4.5			~~	00	70	Pr #	00	05	00	۸E	00

EMPLOYED DISABLED

MINNESOTA STUDIES IN VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION

EMPLOYED NON-DISABLED

(N=380)

Sample Characteristics

N	%		N	01,
Age		Occupation		
18 to 25 29	8	unskilled blue collar	60	16
26 to 35 103	27	skilled blue collar	109	29
36 to 45 122	32	unskilled white collar	79	21
46 to 55	20	skilled white collar	102	27
56 to 65 42	11	professional	25	7
65 and over 6	2	Tenure in present occupation		
Education		1 year or less	9	2
less than 12 years 107	28	2 to 5 years	65	17
high school graduate 165	43	6 to 10 years	85	22
some college61	16	11 to 20 years	151	40
college graduate46	12	21 to 30 years	49	13
Sex		31 years and over	21	6
male304	80			
female 72	19			

Scale	Mean	Standard Deviation	Hoyt Reliability Coefficient	Standard Error of Measurement
1. Ability utilization	19.78	3.59	.91	. 1.08
2. Achievement	20.67	2.81	.86	1.05
3. Activity	20.86	2.65	.87	.96
4. Advancement	16.80	4.68	.94	1.10
5 Authority	18.50	3.16	.89	. 1.05
6. Company policies & practices	17.74	4.45	.92	1.28
7. Compensation		3.92	.92	1.13
8. Co-workers	20.42	2.80	.87	1.02
9. Creativity	19.29	3.78	.91	1.12
10. Independence	19.57	3.95	.87	1.11
11. Moral values		2.57	.81	1.13
12. Recognition	18.78	3.81	.93	1.04
13. Responsibility		2.90	.83	1.20
14. Security		3.45	.84	1.37
15. Social service		3.24	.91	.97
16. Social status		2.82	.79	1.29
17. Supervision—				
human relations	18.81	4.28	.91	1.31
18. Supervision—technical	18.90	3.98	.89	1.35
19. Variety		3.40	.87	1.22
20. Working conditions		4.28	.93	1.13
21. General satisfaction		9.91	.91	3.05

									Pe	rcen	tiles	;								
MSQ Scale 1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	99
1. Ability utilization 9	11	14	16	17	.18	. 19.							20		21	. 22	23	24		25
2. Achievement10	15	17	18	19			•	•	•		20			21	22	23		24		25
3. Activity 11	16	18			19					*****		20	21	22		23	24	*****		25
4. Advancement 5	8	9	10	12	13	14		15	17	18	••••	19	*****	*****	*****	*****	20	21	23	25
5. Authority8	14	•		15		16	17		18	******	19	•			*****	20	21	22	24	25
6. Company policies and practices 5	9	10	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		19	••••		*****	20		21	23	24	25
7. Compensation 8	10	12	14	15	16	17	18		19						20	21	22	23	24	25
8. Co-workers 10	15	17	18	19			••••	*****			*****	20	*****	21		22	23	24	******	25
9. Creativity9	11	14	15	16	17		18	19	*****	*****	*****		20	*****	21	22	23	24		25
10. Independence 10	14	15	16	17		18		19		•				20	•••••	21	22	23	24	25
11. Moral values14	17	18	19					20				21	22		23		24			25
12. Recognition 8	10	14		15	16	17	18		19						20	21	22	23	24	25
13. Responsibility 10	14	16	17		18			19			*****		20		21	22	*****	23	24	25
14. Security 9	13	15	17	18		19	•••••	•••••		•••••	20		21		22	23	•••••	24		25
15. Social service9	14	16	17	18	19		•					20		21	22	23	24			25
16. Social status 10	13	14	*****		15	*****	16	17	•••••	18		*****	19				20	21	22	25
17. Supervision—human relations6	9	12	14	15	16	17	18		19					20	21	22	23	24		25
18. Supervision—technical 7	10	13	14	15	16	17	18		19					20	21		22	23	24	25
19. Variety 9	12	16	17	18			19	*****				20		21	22	••••	23		24	25
20. Working conditions6	10	12	14	16	17	18	19		•				20		21	22	23	24		25
21. General satisfaction 52	61	65	68	70	71	73	74	75	76	78	79	80	81	82	84	85	87	90	94	99
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	05	00	05	-00

Section III-C MSQ Scale Intercorrelations

MIQ Scale	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1. Ability utilization		68	39	29	43	22	10	22	49	37	36	42	48	24	56	26	21	23	55	17	64
2. Achievement	64		45	20	36	26	80	28	46	34	44	43	4 6	29	72	29	22	25	53	23	6
3. Activity	41	55		09	32	13	05	29	27	30	30	14	34	29	46	16	13	18	39	18	4
4. Advancement	41	34	24		26	41	31	07	38	26	07	42	35	29	07	32	36	39	29	14	5
5. Authority	38	33	30	29		18	00	26	50	42	23	33	61	14	23	35	21	20	37	04	5
· 6. Company policies and practices	36	32	20	4 6	28		41	12	32	21	27	40	27	37	12	16	41	42	21	32	5
7. Compensation	21	24	07	43	15	42		-10	14	04	09	12	10	29	03	12	14	14	05	12	3
8. Co-workers	31	33	36	20	31	28	12		28	09	17	27	38	20	21	11	27	26	24	14	3
.9. Creativity	45	41	29	41	38	42	23	28		42	33	57	63	20	33	29	36	38	54	08	7
10. Independence	29	36	31	17	42	14	80	34	40		28	33	48	17	24	27	21	18	32	07	5
11. Moral Values	49	63	50	28	32	32	23	37	34	40		25	32	33	41	18	16	18	27	26	4
12. Recognition	47	45	32	53	43	49	27	34	50	29	42		50	23	22	27	49	47	41	22	6
13. Responsibility	57	54	38	38	64	38	24	48	57	46	47	51		28	30	33	36	34	48	18	7
14. Security	36	40	32	44	33	55	37	33	37	18	39	41	49		29	31	23	28	19	18	4
15. Social service	53	76	52	24	25	25	17	31	34	33	57	28	44	32		23	13	16	46	16	4
16. Social status	26	30	26	37	39	38	18	32	39	35	33	47	40	40	20		13	12	29	11	4
17. Supervision—human relations	43	35	25	39	36	48	23	38	41	20	35	55	43	35	19	31		80	20	23	6
18. Supervision—technical		37	26	42	33	42	23	43	45	25	37	53	43	36	26	29	84		19	21	ŧ
19. Variety		50	53	32	31	22	13	26	48	34	41	30	38	33	47	26	28	36		11	ŧ
20. Working conditions		24	24	24	24	37	25	30	24	23	37	29	37	29	23	19	19	25	12		:
21. General Satisfaction	65	67	54	60	56	63	45	56	68	50	65	70	74	59	57	56	66	69	54	47	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	2
	64	40	35	56	25	18	31	51	45	50	40	64	42	46	27	20	25	54	24	6:
. 67		47	28	55	48	33	34	55	40	70	47	69	58	59	27	38	38	54	36	7
. 51	75		22	43	28	20	33	29	26	44	25	42	29	4 8	31	11	18	48	12	5
. 50	53	46		26	37	31	10	28	25	27	38	30	34	17	34	46	47	28	24	5
. 56	56	48	30		22	14	34	48	43	52	38	61	35	36	42	23	25	47	19	6
. 52	64	55	60	42		42	25	32	20	37	38	37	56	27	17	54	54	26	42	6
. 52	44	42	56	34	61		80	19	23	24	35	24	53	21	28	25	31	15	40	5
. 37	52	46	36	51	49	24		16	27	31	18	38	23	31	22	24	25	25	26	4
. 51	65	57	40	51	52	36	43		38	43	51	68	38	27	17	38	41	45	26	6
45	61	60	34	47	40	36	47	56	•	37	33	46	36	20	22	20	25	46	32	5
. 50	66	66	36	51	45	40	45	64	52		32	58	50	55	31	19	27	41	31	6
49	63	51	58	32	65	51	43	50	46	38		43	44	19	31	45	42	26	33	•
	75	67	39	72	57	49	51	62	60	62	49		47	46	27	40	43	51	35	•
. 53	56	50	46	58	58	43	56	38	44	47	48	59		37	31	39	41	33	40	•
. 60	72	59	31	52	49	47	38	54	49	68	39	64	43		29	17	21	39	28	:
. 50	61	55	50	47	58	43	47	48	46	56	54	47	45	61		16	19	22	20	4
. 36	51	42	35	36	57	38	42	39	40	32	61	55	53	32	36		88	30	40	(
. 4 6	54	39	38	33	55	46	36	29	35	33	58	51	46	42	39	79		35	47	
. 42	54	61	35	31	38	34	39	60	51	56	40	42	24	55	49	28	31		16	:
. 18	31	33	23	17	30	26	21	40	30	36	21	28	21	32	29	33	27	36		
. 71	84	74	64	66	78	66	62	72	67	71	71	80	66	71	71	66	67	63	45	
	51 50 56 52 52 37 51 45 50 49 62 53 60 50 46 46 42 18	64 67 51 75 50 53 56 56 51 65 61 62 75 53 56 60 72 50 61 36 51 46 54 42 54 18 31	64 40 67 47 51 75 50 53 46 56 56 48 52 64 55 52 44 42 37 52 46 51 65 57 45 61 60 50 66 66 49 63 51 62 75 67 53 56 50 60 72 59 50 61 55 36 51 42 46 54 39 42 54 61 18 31 33	64 40 35 67 47 28 51 75 22 50 53 46 56 56 48 30 52 64 55 60 52 44 42 56 37 52 46 36 51 65 57 40 45 61 60 34 45 61 60 34 49 63 51 58 49 63 51 58 62 75 67 39 53 56 50 46 60 72 59 31 50 61 55 50 60 72 59 31 50 61 55 50 36 51 42 35 46 54 39 38 42 54 61 35 18 31 33 23	64 40 35 56 67 47 28 55 51 75 22 43 50 53 46 26 56 56 48 30 52 64 55 60 42 52 44 42 56 34 37 52 46 36 51 51 65 57 40 51 45 61 60 34 47 50 66 66 36 51 49 63 51 58 32 62 75 67 39 72 53 56 50 46 58 60 72 59 31 52 50 61 55 50 47 36 51 42 35 36 46 54 39 38 33 42 54 61 35 31 18 31 33 23 17	64 40 35 56 25 67 47 28 55 48 51 75 22 43 28 50 53 46 26 37 56 56 48 30 22 52 64 55 60 42 52 44 42 56 34 61 37 52 46 36 51 49 51 65 67 40 51 52 45 61 60 34 47 40 50 66 66 36 51 45 49 63 51 58 32 65 62 75 67 39 72 57 53 56 50 46 58 58 60 72 59 31 52 49 50 61 55 50 47 58	64 40 35 56 25 18 67 47 28 55 48 33 51 75 22 43 28 20 50 53 46 26 37 31 56 56 48 30 22 14 52 64 55 60 42 42 52 44 42 56 34 61 37 52 46 36 51 49 24 51 65 57 40 51 52 36 45 61 60 34 47 40 36 50 66 66 36 51 45 40 49 63 51 58 32 65 51 62 75 67 39 72 57 49 53 56 50 46 58 58 43 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 50 61 55 50 47 58 43 36 51 42 35 36 57 38 46 54 39 38 33 55 46 42 54 61 35 31 38 34 18 31 33 23 17 30 26	64 40 35 56 25 18 31 67 47 28 55 48 33 34 51 75 22 43 28 20 33 50 53 46 26 37 31 10 56 56 48 30 22 14 34 52 64 55 60 42 42 25 52 44 42 56 34 61 08 37 52 46 36 51 49 24 51 65 57 40 51 52 36 47 50 66 66 36 51 45 40 36 47 50 66 66 36 51 45 40 45 49 63 51 58 32 65 51 43 62 75 67 39 72 57 49 51 53 56 50 46 58 58 43 56 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 50 61 55 50 47 58 43 47 36 51 42 35 36 57 38 42 46 54 39 38 33 55 46 36 42 54 61 35 31 38 34 39 18 31 33 23 17 30 26 21	64 40 35 56 25 18 31 51 67 47 28 55 48 33 34 55 51 75 22 43 28 20 33 29 50 53 46 26 37 31 10 28 56 56 48 30 22 14 34 48 52 64 55 60 42 42 25 32 52 44 42 56 34 61 08 19 37 52 46 36 51 49 24 16 51 65 57 40 51 52 36 43 45 61 60 34 47 40 36 47 56 49 63 51 58 32 65 51 43 50 62 75 67 39 72 57 49 51 62 53 56 50 46 58 58 43 56 38 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 54 50 61 55 50 47 58 43 47 48 36 51 42 35 36 57 38 42 39 46 54 39 38 33 55 46 36 29 42 54 61 35 31 38 34 39 60 18 31 33 23 17 30 26 21 40	64 40 35 56 25 18 31 51 45 67 47 28 55 48 33 34 55 40 51 75 22 43 28 20 33 29 26 50 53 46 26 37 31 10 28 25 56 56 48 30 22 14 34 48 43 52 64 55 60 42 42 25 32 20 52 44 42 56 34 61 08 19 23 37 52 44 42 56 34 61 08 19 23 37 52 66 36 51 49 24 16 27 51 65 57 40 51 52 36 43 45 61 60 34 47 40 36 47 56 50 66 66 36 51 45 40 45 64 52 49 63 51 58 32 65 51 43 50 46 62 75 67 39 72 57 49 51 62 60 53 56 50 46 58 58 43 56 38 44 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 54 49 50 61 55 50 47 58 43 47 48 46 36 51 42 35 36 57 38 42 39 40 46 54 39 38 33 55 46 36 29 35 42 54 61 35 31 38 34 39 60 51 18 31 33 23 17 30 26 21 40 30	64 40 35 56 25 18 31 51 45 50 67 47 28 55 48 33 34 55 40 70 51 75 22 43 28 20 33 29 26 44 55 56 56 48 30 22 14 34 48 43 52 52 52 64 55 60 42 42 25 32 20 37 52 44 42 56 34 61 08 19 23 24 16 27 31 51 65 57 40 51 52 36 43 38 43 38 43 56 38 45 50 66 66 36 36 51 49 24 16 27 31 50 66 66 36 36 51 47 40 36 47 56 37 52 49 63 51 58 32 65 51 43 50 46 38 62 75 67 39 72 57 49 51 62 60 62 53 56 50 46 58 58 43 56 38 44 47 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 54 49 68 50 61 55 50 47 58 43 47 48 46 56 36 51 42 35 36 57 38 42 39 40 32 46 54 39 38 33 55 46 36 29 35 33 42 54 61 35 31 33 23 17 30 26 21 40 30 36	64 40 35 56 25 18 31 51 45 50 40 67 47 28 55 48 33 34 55 40 70 47 51 75 22 43 28 20 33 29 26 44 25 50 53 46 26 37 31 10 28 25 27 38 56 56 48 30 22 14 34 48 43 52 38 52 64 55 60 42 42 25 32 20 37 38 52 44 42 56 34 61 08 19 23 24 35 37 52 46 36 51 49 24 16 27 31 18 51 65 57 40 51 52 36 43 38 43 51 45 61 60 34 47 40 36 47 56 37 33 50 66 66 36 51 45 40 45 64 52 32 49 63 51 58 32 65 51 43 50 46 38 62 75 67 39 72 57 49 51 62 60 62 49 53 56 50 46 58 58 43 56 38 44 47 48 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 54 49 68 39 50 61 55 50 47 58 43 47 48 46 56 54 36 51 42 35 36 57 38 42 39 40 32 61 46 54 39 38 33 55 46 36 29 35 33 58 42 54 61 35 31 38 34 39 60 51 56 40 18 31 33 23 17 30 26 21 40 30 36 21	64 40 35 56 25 18 31 51 45 50 40 64 67 47 28 55 48 33 34 55 40 70 47 69 51 75 22 43 28 20 33 29 26 44 25 42 50 53 46 26 37 31 10 28 25 27 38 30 56 56 48 30 22 14 34 48 43 52 38 61 52 64 55 60 42 42 25 32 20 37 38 37 52 44 42 56 34 61 08 19 23 24 35 24 37 52 46 36 51 49 24 16 27 31 18 38 51 65 57 40 51 52 36 43 38 43 51 68 45 61 60 34 47 40 36 47 56 37 33 46 50 66 66 36 51 45 40 45 64 52 32 58 49 63 51 58 32 65 51 43 50 46 38 43 62 75 67 39 72 57 49 51 62 60 62 49 53 56 50 46 58 58 43 56 38 44 47 48 59 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 54 49 68 39 64 50 61 55 50 47 58 43 47 48 46 56 54 47 36 51 42 35 36 57 38 42 39 40 32 61 55 46 54 39 38 33 55 46 36 29 35 33 58 51 42 54 61 35 31 38 34 39 60 51 56 40 42 18 31 33 23 17 30 26 21 40 30 36 21 28	64 40 35 56 25 18 31 51 45 50 40 64 42 67 47 28 55 48 33 34 55 40 70 47 69 58 51 75 22 43 28 20 33 29 26 44 25 42 29 50 53 46 26 37 31 10 28 25 27 38 30 34 56 56 56 48 30 22 14 34 48 43 52 38 61 35 52 64 55 60 42 42 25 32 20 37 38 37 56 52 44 42 56 34 61 08 19 23 24 35 24 53 37 52 46 36 51 49 24 16 27 31 18 38 23 51 65 57 40 51 52 36 43 38 43 51 68 38 43 51 68 38 45 61 60 34 47 40 36 47 56 37 33 46 38 50 50 66 66 36 51 45 40 45 64 52 32 58 50 49 63 51 58 32 65 51 43 50 46 38 43 44 47 48 59 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 54 49 68 39 64 43 50 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 54 49 68 39 64 43 50 61 55 50 46 58 58 43 56 38 44 47 48 59 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 54 49 68 39 64 43 50 61 55 50 47 58 43 47 48 46 56 54 47 45 36 51 42 35 36 57 38 42 39 40 32 61 55 53 46 54 39 38 33 55 46 36 29 35 33 58 51 46 42 54 61 35 31 38 34 39 60 51 56 40 42 24 18 31 33 33 23 17 30 26 21 40 30 36 21 28 21	64 40 35 56 25 18 31 51 45 50 40 64 42 46 67 47 28 55 48 33 34 55 40 70 47 69 58 59 51 75 22 43 28 20 33 29 26 44 25 42 29 48 50 53 46 26 37 31 10 28 25 27 38 30 34 17 56 56 56 48 30 22 14 34 48 43 52 38 61 35 36 52 64 55 60 42 42 25 32 20 37 38 37 56 27 52 44 42 56 34 61 08 19 23 24 35 24 53 21 37 52 46 36 51 49 24 16 27 31 18 38 23 31 51 65 57 40 51 52 36 43 38 43 51 68 38 27 45 61 60 34 47 40 36 47 56 37 33 46 36 20 50 66 66 36 51 45 40 45 64 52 32 58 50 55 49 63 51 58 32 65 51 43 50 46 38 43 44 19 62 75 67 39 72 57 49 51 62 60 62 49 47 46 53 56 50 46 58 58 43 56 38 44 47 48 59 37 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 54 49 68 39 64 43 50 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 58 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	64 40 35 56 25 18 31 51 45 50 40 64 42 46 27 67 47 28 55 48 33 34 55 40 70 47 69 58 59 27 51 75 22 43 28 20 33 29 26 44 25 42 29 48 31 50 53 46 26 37 31 10 28 25 27 38 30 34 17 34 56 56 48 30 22 14 34 48 43 52 38 61 35 36 42 52 64 55 60 42 42 25 32 20 37 38 37 56 27 17 52 44 42 56 34 61 08 19 23 24 35 24 53 21 28 37 52 46 36 51 49 24 16 27 31 18 38 23 31 22 51 65 57 40 51 52 36 43 38 43 51 68 38 27 17 45 61 60 34 47 40 36 47 56 37 33 46 82 20 22 50 66 66 36 51 45 40 45 64 52 32 58 50 55 31 49 63 51 58 32 65 51 43 50 46 38 43 44 19 31 62 75 67 39 72 57 49 51 62 60 62 49 47 46 27 53 56 50 46 58 58 43 56 38 44 47 48 59 37 31 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 54 49 68 39 64 43 29 50 61 55 50 47 58 43 47 48 46 56 54 47 45 61 36 51 42 35 36 57 38 42 39 40 32 61 55 53 32 36 46 54 39 38 33 55 46 36 29 35 33 58 51 46 42 39 42 54 61 35 31 38 34 39 60 51 56 40 42 24 55 49 18 31 33 33 23 17 30 26 21 40 30 36 21 28 21 32 29	64 40 35 56 25 18 31 51 45 50 40 64 42 46 27 20 67 47 56 48 30 22 14 34 48 43 52 38 61 35 36 42 23 55 44 42 56 34 61 62 56 57 40 51 52 36 43 51 19 23 24 35 56 56 48 30 22 14 34 48 43 52 38 61 35 36 42 23 52 44 42 56 34 61 62 52 44 42 56 34 61 62 52 44 42 56 34 61 62 52 44 42 56 34 61 62 56 56 66 66 36 51 49 36 55 51 49 36 56 56 66 66 36 51 45 40 45 61 62 60 62 49 47 46 27 40 53 56 56 56 56 46 58 58 43 56 57 40 51 52 57 49 51 62 60 62 49 47 46 62 74 60 75 50 61 55 50 46 58 58 43 56 38 44 47 48 59 37 31 39 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 44 47 48 59 37 31 39 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 43 54 47 48 59 37 31 39 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 44 47 48 59 37 31 39 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 44 47 48 59 37 31 39 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 54 49 68 39 64 43 29 17 50 66 51 55 50 47 58 43 47 48 46 56 54 47 45 61 61 55 50 47 58 43 47 48 46 56 55 47 47 45 61 61 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 54 49 68 39 64 43 29 17 50 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 54 49 68 39 64 43 29 17 50 61 55 50 47 58 43 47 48 46 56 54 47 45 61 61 60 36 51 42 35 36 57 38 42 39 40 32 61 55 53 32 36 46 51 42 35 36 57 38 42 39 40 32 61 55 53 32 36 46 54 39 38 33 55 46 36 29 35 33 58 51 46 42 39 79 42 54 54 61 35 31 38 34 39 60 51 56 40 42 24 55 49 28 18 31 33 33 23 17 30 26 21 40 30 36 21 28 21 32 29 33	64 40 35 56 25 18 31 51 45 50 40 64 42 46 27 20 25 67 47 28 55 48 33 34 55 40 70 47 69 58 59 27 38 38 51 75 22 43 28 20 33 29 26 44 25 42 29 48 31 11 18 50 53 46 26 37 31 10 28 25 27 38 30 34 17 34 46 47 56 56 56 48 30 22 14 34 48 43 52 38 61 35 36 42 23 25 52 64 55 60 42 42 25 32 20 37 38 37 56 27 17 54 54 54 52 44 42 56 34 61 08 19 23 24 35 24 53 21 28 25 31 37 52 46 36 51 49 24 16 27 31 18 38 23 31 22 24 25 51 65 57 40 51 52 36 43 38 43 51 68 38 27 17 38 41 45 61 60 34 47 40 36 47 56 37 33 46 36 20 22 20 25 50 66 66 36 51 45 40 45 64 52 32 56 56 46 15 83 26 55 14 45 50 66 66 36 51 45 40 45 64 52 32 56 55 31 45 40 45 64 52 32 56 55 31 45 40 45 64 52 32 56 55 31 31 45 42 62 75 67 39 72 57 49 51 62 60 62 49 47 46 27 40 43 53 56 56 50 46 58 58 43 56 38 44 47 48 59 47 46 27 40 43 53 56 50 56 50 46 58 58 43 56 38 44 47 48 59 47 46 27 40 43 53 56 51 42 35 36 57 38 42 39 40 32 61 55 53 32 36 88 46 54 39 38 33 55 46 36 29 35 33 58 51 46 42 39 79 42 54 54 61 35 31 33 33 31 33 34 39 60 51 56 60 42 24 55 49 28 31 18 31 33 33 23 17 30 26 21 40 30 36 21 28 21 32 29 33 27	64 40 35 56 25 18 31 51 45 50 40 64 42 46 27 20 25 54 67 47 28 55 48 33 34 55 40 70 47 69 58 59 27 38 38 54 51 75 22 43 28 20 33 29 26 44 25 42 29 48 31 11 18 48 50 53 46 26 37 31 10 28 25 27 38 30 34 17 34 46 47 28 56 56 48 30 22 14 34 48 43 52 38 61 35 36 42 23 25 47 52 64 55 60 42 42 25	64 40 35 56 25 18 31 51 45 50 40 64 42 46 27 20 25 54 24 55 17 5 22 43 28 20 33 29 26 44 25 42 29 48 31 11 18 48 12 50 53 46 26 37 31 10 28 25 27 38 30 34 17 34 46 47 28 24 56 56 48 30 22 14 34 48 43 52 38 61 35 36 42 23 25 47 19 52 44 25 64 55 60 42 42 25 32 20 37 38 38 54 36 31 15 40 37 52 46 36 51 49 24 16 27 31 18 38 23 31 22 24 25 26 26 26 27 17 54 54 26 42 25 26 26 26 36 36 43 38 47 48 48 52 38 43 51 68 38 27 17 38 41 45 26 45 61 60 34 47 40 36 47 56 37 33 46 36 20 22 20 25 46 32 50 66 66 36 51 45 40 45 64 52 32 58 50 66 66 36 51 45 40 45 64 52 32 58 50 66 66 36 51 45 40 45 64 52 32 58 50 50 46 38 32 65 51 43 50 46 38 43 47 19 31 45 42 26 33 62 75 67 39 72 57 49 51 62 60 62 49 47 46 27 40 43 51 35 53 62 75 67 39 72 57 49 51 62 60 62 49 47 46 27 40 43 51 35 53 66 27 5 67 39 72 57 49 51 62 60 62 49 47 46 27 40 43 51 35 53 56 50 46 58 58 43 56 38 44 47 48 59 37 31 39 41 33 40 60 72 59 31 52 49 47 38 54 49 68 39 64 43 29 17 21 39 28 50 61 55 50 47 58 43 47 48 46 56 54 47 45 61 61 61 55 50 47 58 43 47 48 46 56 54 47 45 61 61 61 9 22 20 36 51 42 35 36 57 38 42 39 40 32 61 55 53 32 36 88 30 40 46 54 39 38 33 55 46 36 29 35 33 58 51 46 42 39 79 35 47 42 54 61 35 31 38 34 39 60 51 56 40 42 24 55 49 28 31 16 18 31 33 33 23 17 30 26 21 40 30 36 21 28 21 32 29 33 27 36

MIQ Scale	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1. Ability utilization		71	37	38	28	14	12	16	53	16	30	37	46	20	48	29	29	32	40	26	60
2. Achievement	72		50	42	18	17	10	11	57	30	40	48	56	23	55	35	30	44	44	22	65
3. Activity	61	68		18	24	20	09	24	39	45	36	30	45	31	36	29	20	29	58	19	54
4. Advancement	38	40	33		23	23	25	20	50	22	24	46	49	25	26	35	24	33	30	35	64
5. Authority	45	44	43	36		80	26	12	28	32	21	13	41	10	09	56	-02	05	30	10	37
6. Company policies and practices	49	44	41	33	38		39	26	20	21	30	36	22	46	25	21	45	38	24	44	55
7. Compensation	30	34	33	36	22	38		24	07	01	11	29	20	39	06	23	26	17	06	30	43
8. Co-workers	29	42	26	30	31	22	20		08	14	10	37	25	27	12	20	39	30	19	15	46
9. Creativity	55	.60	47	36	41	50	24	21		37	39	31	63	22	33	41	21	31	53	22	62
10. Independence	40	49	47	32	54	29	25	30	52		31	24	47	23	15	49	13	25	46	15	50
11. Moral values	52	58	59	27	45	35	32	30	51	53		21	41	41	47	30	16	14	26	24	51
12. Recognition	37	50	33	32	48	41	25	39	42	41	42		43	21	27	35	56	47	31	28	63
13. Responsibility	53	60	54	32	54	52	30	42	68	58	64	55		26	33	52	34	38	49	24	72
14. Security	49	50	42	38	41	50	33	26	50	38	47	46	55		29	23	28	17	19	23	51
15. Social service	61	63	57	24	39	38	23	29	53	39	62	36	54	43		15	20	22	31	17	49
16. Social status	40	46	46	46	62	37	39	35	32	40	42	43	47	37	37		16	25	31	20	57
17. Supervision—human relations	25	30	19	23	24	27	16	48	27	24	23	49	35	29	20	26		67	19	28	57
18. Supervision—technical	34	40	25	40	37	35	22	52	29	29	28	50	45	29	29	32	80		37	29	62
19. Variety	54	53	57	33	47	39	24	27	60	53	45	34	59	38	46	37	20	25		23	59
20. Working conditions	43	38	. 38	20	24	41	40	18	40	31	38	32	44	36	27	20	25	26	35		52
21. General Satisfaction	72	77	. 69	53	62	66	52	49	70	63	68	64	79	62	65	62	52	59	64	55	

51

Upper triangle: Toy Assemblers Lower triangle: Packers

7. Compensation 8. Co-workers 9. Creativity 10. Independence 11. Moral values 12. Recognition 13. Responsibility 14. Security 15. Social service 16. Social status 17. Supervision—human relations 18. Supervision—technical 19. Variety 20. Working conditions 21. General Satisfaction Note: Decimal points omitted.

MIQ Scale

45

42

FOR

MIQ Scale	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1. Ability utilization		68	32	53	37	27	14	19	42	28	30	48	52	46	44	57	28	40	53	14	66
2. Achievement	65		59	39	47	18	80	34	58	42	55	59	69	63	53	57	38	49	52	21	74
3. Activity	35	49		25	58	22	01	33	51	42	37	33	59	40	47	39	35	45	41	14	60
4. Advancement	52	51	27		37	52	45	25	41	26	25	53	39	54	39	56	43	51	43	41	70
5. Authority	42	36	37	47		25	-03	26	64	50	31	31	66	46	54	55	24	35	49	11	58
6. Company policies and practices	40	41	30	46	33		34	25	32	25	25	40	32	34	22	32	57	55	37	43	61
7. Compensation	40	44	21	61	29	67		19	01	-04	16	32	05	25	-03	22	24	16	15	25	37
8. Co-workers	32	37	25	28	35	41	35		25	34	42	36	28	34	21	28	48	45	18	35	51
9. Creativity	63	70	51	55	54	43	39	45		61	44	29	78	50	67	42	38	49	47	11	66
10. Independence	49	52	37	50	42	37	40	36	60		38	19	58	40	71	36	41	47	16	16	54
11. Moral values	30	42	24	29	34	24	23	31	49	46		28	36	62	34	44	30	40	28	33	5
12. Recognition	46	58	23	49	27	37	48	31	56	33	27		39	41	23	50	55	49	27	52	6
13. Responsibility	68	66	43	59	56	48	44	51	75	65	41	50		56	66	49	38	42	51	13	7.
14. Security	59	64	40	57	37	47	57	22	55	45	49	57	56		43	57	40	48	38	26	7
15. Social service	57	65	51	51	47	37	37	39	61	50	45	42	69	55		42	30	34	37	04	5
16. Social status	58	48	32	55	60	33	42	25	51	38	26	41	51	55	44		31	43	43	31	6
17. Supervision—human relations	29	49	31	35	23	22	26	20	35	28	30	52	40	46	3 2	21		85	19	42	7
18. Supervision—technical	40	57	35	45	27	28	41	27	47	37	39	61	45	55	40	32	84		32	33	7
19. Variety	66	56	56	55	40	43	40	30	60	52	24	33	62	53	51	52	27	37		13	5
20. Working conditions	22	19	14	30	36	15	20	11	17	12	25	12	19	11	22	12	11	11	24		4
21. General Satisfaction	72	77	52	76	59	62	64	51	79	69	56	65	79	71	71	63	55	66	69	35	

98

Upper triangle: Truck Drivers Lower triangle: Warehousemen

MIQ Scale	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1. Ability utilization		63	36	62	39	44	50	43	59	17	32	44	50	37	26	52	46	43	42	60	68
2. Achievement	55		57	53	46	56	40	48	62	40	54	58	66	48	50	54	49	51	55	60	77
3. Activity	26	43		25	27	29	34	50	28	47	50	29	44	47	56	34	30	39	50	49	58
4. Advancement	57	48	27		52	55	38	34	68	18	31	57	48	39	27	56	50	50	33	44	6
5. Authority	46	46	36	44		30	30	39	60	30	38	54	54	16	31	72	28	30	28	28	6
6. Company policies and practices	34	40	37	52	35		50	35	58	23	36	62	52	50	26	37	76	79	44	62	7
7. Compensation	24	29	37	36	24	37		34	40	21	30	33	36	48	41	48	43	49	42	59	6
8. Co-workers	28	42	24	29	35	32	29		38	32	45	33	52	32	48	32	42	44	29	52	5
9. Creativity	64	57	28	66	53	50	27	32		· 26	32	65	66	24	41	64	50	47	49	44	7
10. Independence	32	43	51	33	34	35	36	26	35		46	37	37	30	36	29	36	35	53	33	4
11. Moral values	17	53	52	20	31	42	34	45	29	46		38	43	48	41	29	31	36	47	45	5
12. Recognition	45	57	32	64	51	61	28	35	60	26	32		66	35	24	45	67	62	46	40	7
13. Responsibility	63	62	36	57	60	49	25	37	78	43	38	60		49	38	54	53	50	58	49	7
14. Security	18	35	32	29	24	34	43	30	22	36	40	28	31		32	32	46	53	48	55	6
15. Social service	44	65	43	31	44	27	18	31	45	37	40	37	51	25		33	17	25	39	43	4
16. Social status	52	54	32	47	61	37	28	39	54	29	32	48	60	23	57		31	32	43	42	6
17. Supervision—human relations	24	29	28	56	39	61	29	35	43	16	24	68	38	31	14	33		90	43	57	7
18. Supervision—technical	29	41	32	55	39	49	33	37	47	26	33	61	39	29	26	40	82		41	66	7
19. Variety	62	57	31	57	40	40	24	24	62	37	20	47	56	25	41	45	31	34		50	6
20. Working conditions	35	42	34	52	31	55	36	27	45	34	29	49	43	35	30	39	48	51	41		. 7
21. General satisfaction	66	69	48	77	62	70	51	52	78	50	49	77	75	46	56	66	69	70	68	66	

Upper triangle: Disabled
Lower triangle: Non-Disabled

MIQ Scale	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1. Ability utilization		73	46	63	53	52	47	25	69	36	40	58	66	35	53	53	44	39	67	35	76
2. Achievement	77		62	58	48	53	37	37	69	48	55	68	69	43	69	57	47	45	69	48	81
3. Activity	65	75		36	33	28	19	34	48	39	53	37	54	36	55	38	34	31	58	38	58
4. Advancement	56	51	42		52	65	50	32	62	41	32	60	62	43	44	56	56	53	54	51	70
5. Authority	56	53	43	45		40	35	24	65	39	34	48	67	35	48	62	42	36	55	30	6
6. Company policies and practices	47	45	38	59	27		54	40	53	39	37	66	54	47	35	51	62	58	43	54	7
7. Compensation	38	36	26	60	31	49		21	33	25	26	46	36	37	26	38	33	30	35	38	5
8. Co-workers	44	46	43	39	36	42	24		33	25	33	39	37	35	25	32	45	44	36	37	5
9. Creativity	69	66	52	57	57	42	36	37		47	45	66	83	34	58	58	47	39	71	39	7
10. Independence	53	54	47	33	51	24	23	35	52		39	48	50	36	38	43	37	38	47	38	6
11. Moral values	45	60	58	31	36	30	25	52	40	47		42	46	41	50	40	33	36	45	34	5
12. Recognition	66	70	51	56	41	55	41	42	61	47	43		64	40	43	64	62	58	50	52	7
13. Responsibility	71	72	59	56	72	45	37	47	77	63	49	61		43	57	54	50	46	64	41	8
14. Security	39	44	40	40	31	46	32	37	27	22	37	42	40		33	35	40	39	32	42	5
15. Social service	61	70	62	36	54	34	20	37	50	40	54	50	57	35		49	35	30	59	34	6
16. Social status	50	48	42	44	54	43	32	37	45	46	41	48	51	30	48		52	46	50	41	7
17. Supervision—human relations	43	45	40	48	29	67	34	50	42	26	31	56	46	43	29	27		88	39	45	7
18. Supervision—technical	49	50	43	54	37	64	40	51	45	27	36	60	53	45	34	34	83		39	45	6
19. Variety	68	68	62	50	60	37	28	46	64	62	53	53	72	33	60	52	35	43		37	7
20. Working conditions	37	42	37	41	27	47	33	41	32	33	46	41	37	33	37	42	34	40	39		6
21. General Satisfaction	80	82	71	72	63	69	55	62	74	61	62	78	82	57	64	62	67	72	75	58	_

Section III-D MSQ Factor Analyses

MINNESOTA STUDIES IN VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION

Disabled (N == 277)

MSO Seele	Fa	etor	Communality	SMC
MSQ Scale —	I	II	Communality	SMC
1. Ability utilization7	1	35	62	68
	8	37	75	78
	4	19	45	55
	0	59	59	63
	1	33	47	60
6. Company policies and practices 3	4	71	62	64
7. Compensation 3	0	44	29	42
8. Co-workers	4	46	27	32
9. Creativity	9	34	74	80
10. Independence	16	35	34	37
	3	29	36	45
	0	64	66	71
	5	40	72	78
	3	46	32	37
15. Social service	2	19	55	58
16. Social status	4	48	52	58
	20	85	76	83
	6	84	73	81
	6	27	65	67
20. Working conditions 3	12	54	40	44
Contribution of factor5.9		4.82	10.79	
Proportion of common Variance5		.45	1.00	

Non-Disabled (N == 317)

MSQ Scale -	Fa	actor	Communality	SMC
Mad acate -	I	II	Communality	SMC.
1. Ability utilization	73	39	69	70
2. Achievement	78	38	76	79
3. Activity	68	32	56	63
4. Advancement	40	62	54	61
5. Authority	69	20	51	62
6. Company policies and practices	21	78	65	64
7. Compensation	22	52	32	43
8. Co-workers	40	47	38	44
9. Creativity	69	35	60	68
10. Independence	83	14	48	53
11. Moral values	59	27	42	54
12. Recognition	53	57	60	64
	78	38	75	79
	29	49	32	35
15. Social service	71	21	55	60
	56	31	41	48
	16	82	71	75
18. Supervision—technical		82	73	75
19. Variety	78	27	68	67
20. Working conditions		44	31	38
Contribution of factor 6		4.59	10.98	
Proportion of common variance		.42	1.00	

[•] Estimated communalities: squared multiple correlation coefficients.

Social Workers (N = 166)

		Factor		Ga	CMC	
MSQ Scale	I	II	III	Communality	SMC	
1. Ability utilization	71	-15	-14	55	61	
2. Achievement	84	15	-14	74	71	
3. Activity	51	-15	-34	40	50	
4. Advancement	39	-34	-27	34	45	
5. Authority	10	05	_65	44	45	
6. Company policies and						
practices	09	_66	-13	47	47	
7. Compensation	-09	_53	-23	35	37	
8. Co-workers	09	_47	-11	24	28	
9. Creativity	63	-10	-39	56	58	
10. Independence	29	_12	_54	38	44	
11. Moral values	39	_19	_33	29	42	
12. Recognition	40	-56	-09	49	53	
13. Responsibility	55	-26	_50	61	61	
14. Security	13	-47	-26	30	42	
15. Social service	57	-15	06	36	43	
16. Social status	22	-23	65	53	52	
17. Supervision—human				-		
relations	30	-72	15	62	62	
18. Supervision—technical	43	-56	05	50	57	
19. Variety	53	-14	_37	44	53	
20. Working conditions	17	-45	-14	25	31	
Contribution of factor		2.91	2.21	8.83		
Proportion of common				2.30		
Variance	.42	.33	.25	1.00		

Managers (N == 135)

3. Activity	F	actor	G	C) (C)
MISW Scale	I	II	Communality	SMC
1. Ability utilization	57	45	52	61
2. Achievement	74	49	78	82
3. Activity	72	35	65	69
4. Advancement	34	55	42	55
5. Authority	61	31	46	63
6. Company policies and practices	41	69	65	67
7. Compensation	35	55	42	57
8. Co-workers	48	39	38	49
9. Creativity	72	26	59	65
10. Independence	63	30	49	52
11. Moral values	78	21	65	64
12. Recognition	34	71	61	65
13. Responsibility	68	47	69	78
14. Security	42	57	50	60
15. Social service	75	26	63	71
16. Social status	59	40	51	58
17. Supervision—human relations	18	79	66	75
18. Supervision—technical	18	78	64	72
19. Variety		17	48	58
20. Working conditions		21	17	28
Contribution of factor	6.23	4.68	10.91	20
Proportion of common Variance	.57	.43	1.00	

^{*} Estimated communalities: squared multiple correlation coefficients.

Teachers (N = 191)

6. Company policies and practices	F	actor	Communality	SMC*
MISQ Scale	I	II	Communancy	
1. Ability utilization	74	19	59	64
	76	-28	66	71
3. Activity	75	_11	58	60
4. Advancement	40	_34	28	42
5. Authority	57	_32	43	57
	54	-28	38	45
	40	20	20	33
	25	55	37	40
9. Creativity	72	_19	55	64
10. Independence	B1	_23	43	49
	71	-18	54	58
	44	-53	47	49 ·
13. Responsibility	73	-36	67	71
14. Security	59	-28	42	46
	69	-14	50	56
	53	-34	39	55
	09	84	71	71
	18	86	78	76
	69	_14	50	53
20. Working conditions	47	-20	27	36
Contribution of factor 6.		3.02	9.69	
Proportion of common Variance		.32	1.00	

Supervisor Nurses (N = 197)

MSQ Scale -	F	actor	Communality	SMC
MISW Scale	I	II	Communanty	SMC
1. Ability utilization	74	-18	58	60
2. Achievement	77	-36	72	74
3. Activity	60	-09	37	43
	24	50	31	39
	70	_16	52	54
	25	64	47	51
7. Compensation	19	_47	26	40
8. Co-workers	40	. –18	19	28
9. Creativity	55	_37	44	57
10. Independence	50	_23	30	38
	72	- 22	56	61
12. Recognition	37	-51	39	47
	74	-35	67	70
14. Security	46	-52	48	55
15. Social service	61	13	39	48
	38	_21	19	32
17. Supervision—human relations (07	_87	76	82
18. Supervision—technical	13	-87	77	81
	62	21	43	50
20. Working conditions	23	_52	32	39
Contribution of factor	23	3 .88	9.11	
Proportion of common Variance	57	.43	1.00	

Estimated communalities: squared multiple correlation coefficients.

Full-Time Nurses (N == 419)

MSQ Scale 1. Ability utilization 2. Achievement 3. Activity	Fa	ctor	Communality	SMC
MSQ Scale	I	II	Communality	SMC
1. Ability utilization	74	-17	57	57
	80	_14	66	69
	56	-05	32	37
4. Advancement	20	_57	36	40
	55	-22	35	47
	17	61	40	44
	01	_3 5	12	27
8. Co-workers	34	-20	15	26
	58	_43	52	58
10. Independence	47	_22	27	34
	48	-16	26	32
12. Recognition	41	-57	49	51
13. Responsibility	63	_40	55	61
14. Security	30	-35	21	34
15. Social service	72	02	51	59
16. Social status	37	-21	18	27
17. Supervision—human relations	11	-77	61	67
18. Supervision—technical	13	-77	60	68
19. Variety	66	-17	46	47
20. Working conditions		-28	10	20
Contribution of factor 4	57	3.14	7.71	
Proportion of common Variance		.41	1.00	

Part-Time Nurses (N = 293)

NGO 61-	Fa	ctor	Communality	CMO
MSQ Scale —	I	II	Communality	SMC-
1. Ability utilization	39	60	51	55
	25	80	70	. 71
3. Activity	16	65	45	46
4. Advancement	60	21	40	45
5. Authority	44	38	33	47
	67	16	48	52
	43	10	19	29
8. Co-workers	38	37	28	37
9. Creativity	52	41	44	51
10. Independence	22	48	28	37
11. Moral values	30	65	52	53
	67	31	55	55
13. Responsibility	52	56	59	- 68
	54	32	40	47
	09	79	63	64
16. Social status	47	27	30	37
	76	15	60	75
	74	21	58	74
	24	60	42	48
20. Working conditions	34	25	18	29
Contribution of factor4.	52	4.30	8.82	
Proportion of common Variance		.49	1.00	

^{*} Estimated communalities: squared multiple correlation coefficients.

Secretaries (N = 118)

2500 C 1	Factor		G3.5G
MSQ Scale	II	Communality	SMC
1. Ability utilization71	28	58	66
2. Achievement 61	53	66	69
3. Activity 49	25	31	50
4. Advancement 64	35	53	62
5. Authority 66	10	44	59
6. Company policies and practices 55	23	36	54
7. Compensation 52	34	39	65
8. Co-workers 47	18	25	37
9. Creativity 75	36	69	75
10. Independence 63	26	47	53
11. Moral values 40	33	27	51
12. Recognition 38	62	52	58
13. Responsibility 78	34	73	76
14. Security 56	54	60	69
15. Social service 68	31	56	62
16. Social status 65	19	46	59
17. Supervision—human relations 09	85	74	75
18. Supervision—technical 22	88	82	80
19. Variety	21	56	65
20. Working conditions 31	04	10	33
Contribution of factor 6.51	3.52	10.03	-
Proportion of common Variance	.36	1.00	

Office Clerks (N = 99)

MSQ Scale		Factor		a	
MSQ Scale	I	II	III	Communality	SMC•
1. Ability utilization	36	-65	14	57	63
2. Achievement	60	-55	19	70	82
3. Activity	62	-21	19	46	53
4. Advancement	17	-61	47	62	70
5. Authority	68	-32	07	57	64
6. Company policies and					
practices	13	-25	61	45	56
7. Compensation	-20	-36	38	31	39
8. Co-workers	27	-09	48	31	37
9. Creativity	79	-22	17	70	73
10. Independence	74	07	27	63	66
11. Moral values	41	-26	33	34	61
12. Recognition	14	-51	55	58	68
13. Responsibility	78	-35	14	75	78
14. Security	45	-47	34	54	64
15. Social service	77	-18	07	63	69
16. Social status	38	-59	26	56	59
17. Supervision—human		-1		55	00
relations	30	-01	84	80	82
	43	-11	75	75	83
19. Variety	38	-55	07	45	55
20. Working conditions		-24	59	40	49
Contribution of factor4		2.90	3.40	11.12	13
Proportion of common				**	
	.43	.26	.31	1.00	

Estimated communalities: squared multiple correlation coefficients.

Toy Assemblers (N = 309)

MCO Coolo	Fa	ctor	C1!1	CMC
MSQ Scale -	I	II	Communality	SMC•
1. Ability utilization	71	-33	62	63
	65	-49	66	67
3. Activity	50	-43	43	53
4. Advancement	50	-55	54	62
	63	-13	42	45
6. Company policies and practices	21	-73	58	59
	29	-62	47	54
8. Co-workers	07	-51	27	34
	72	-31	61	65
10. Independence	52	-17	30	29
	34	-36	25	42
	38	-58	48	58
	77	-29	68	68
	31	-61	47	52
	68	-22	51	54
	61	-20	41	46
	25	-77	65	68
	33	-72	63	69
	49	-41	41	51
20. Working conditions		-56	37	41
Contribution of factor 4.		4.76	9.74	
Proportion of common Variance		.49	1.00	

Truck Drivers (N = 118)

MSO Soals		Factor		Communality	CMC.
MSQ Scale	1	II	III	Communality	SMC
1. Ability utilization	36	29	-54	51	67
2. Achievement	33	57	-49	68	71
3. Activity	15	75	-13	61	58
4. Advancement	41	13	-65	60	63
5. Authority	04	22	-77	65	69
6. Company policies and					
practices	77	21	-32	74	74
7. Compensation	40	38	-27	38	55
8. Co-workers	24	53	-27	41	54
9. Creativity	33	20	-78	. 75	76
10. Independence	15	56	-14	35	47
11. Moral values	19	62	-21	47	50
12. Recognition	52	19	-56	62	69
13. Responsibility	34	45	-55	62 •	70
14. Security	47	52	-08	50	59
15. Social service	02	66	-24	49	56
16. Social status	11	29	-74	65	70
17. Supervision—human					
relations	87	18	-23	84	86
18. Supervision—technical	87	27	-18	87	88
19. Variety	30	57	-28	50	59
20. Working conditions	54	53	-22	63	69
Contribution of factor3	.93	3.99	3.92	11.84	
Proportion of common			_		
	.33	.34	.33	1.00	

[•] Estimated communalities: squared multiple correlation coefficients.

Packers (N == 102)

	Fact	tor	Communality	SMC
MSQ Scale -	I	II	Communality	SMC
1. Ability utilization	. 28	70	57	66
2. Achievement	. 50	61	63	73
3. Activity	. 26	51	33	60
4. Advancement		40	52	71
5. Authority		72	53	69
6. Company policies and practices		36	74	80
7. Compensation		38	40	55
8. Co-workers		00	24	40
9. Creativity		66	62	69
10. Independence		57	33	50
11. Moral values		34	36	50
12. Recognition		36	55	66
13. Responsibility		73	63	71
14. Security		39	37	64
15. Social service		49	36	46
16. Social status		67	52	67
17. Supervision—human relations		25	83	86
18. Supervision—technical		27	80	84
19. Variety		66	50	67
20. Working conditions		24	53	57
Contribution of factor		5.07	10.35	٠.
Proportion of common Variance		.49	1.00	

Warehousemen (N	==	205)
----------------	---	----	-----	---

MCO Cools	F	actor		G	a	
MSQ Scale	I	II	III	Communality	SMC	
1. Ability utilization	75	17	11	60	58	
2. Achievement	62	16	50	66	69	
3. Activity	21	15	62	45	45	
4. Advancement		55	12	63	63	
5. Authority	56 [°]	26	28	45	51	
6. Company policies and						
practices	28	- 58	36	54	59	
7. Compensation	11	29	46	31	34	
8. Co-workers	24	25	41	29	34	
9. Creativity	75	37	14	72	72	
10. Independence		08	57	42	43	
11. Moral values		13	74	58	55	
12. Recognition		63	20	67	69	
13. Responsibility		26	28	7i	72	
14. Security		25	51	33	34	
15. Social service		-02	44	52	54	
le. Social status		21	29	52	57	
17. Supervision—human				-		
relations	13	89	15	84	81	
18. Supervision—technical		77	25	70	75	
19. Variety		23	17	52	55	
20. Working conditions		49	32	43	45	
Contribution of factor		3.35	3.02	10.90	7.0	
Proportion of common				-4.50		
Variance	42	.30	.27	1.00		

[•] Estimated communalities: squared multiple correlation coefficients.

Section IV

The Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire Short-Form

Subsequent to publication of this volume, MSQ items have been edited to remove gender-specific references. The items on the following pages reflect that editing.

Section IV-A

minnesota satisfaction questionnaire

The purpose of this questionnaire is to give you a chance to tell how you feel about your present job, what things you are satisfied with and what things you are not satisfied with.

On the basis of your answers and those of people like you, we hope to get a better understanding of the things people like and dislike about their jobs.

On the next page you will find statements about your present job.

- · Read each statement carefully.
- · Decide how satisfied you feel about the aspect of your job described by the statement.

Keeping the statement in mind:

- —if you feel that your job gives you more than you expected, check the box under "Very Sat."

 (Very Satisfied);
- -if you feel that your job gives you what you expected, check the box under "Sat." (Satisfied);
- —if you cannot make up your mind whether or not the job gives you what you expected, check the box under "N" (Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied);
- if you feel that your job gives you less than you expected, check the box under "Dissat."
 (Dissatisfied);
- —if you feel that your job gives you much less than you expected, check the box under "Very Dissat." (Very Dissatisfied).
- Remember: Keep the statement in mind when deciding how satisfied you feel about that aspect of your job.
- Do this for all statements. Please answer every item.

Be frank and honest. Give a true picture of your feelings about your present job.

Ask yourself: How satisfied am I with this aspect of my job?

Very Sat. means I am very satisfied with this aspect of my job.

Sat. means I am satisfied with this aspect of my job.

N means I can't decide whether I am satisfied or not with this aspect of my job.

Dissat. means I am dissatisfied with this aspect of my job.

Very Dissat. means I am very dissatisfied with this aspect of my job.

On my present job, this is how I feel about 1. Being able to keep busy all the time	Very Dissat.	Dissol.	N []	Sat.	Very Sat.
2. The chance to work alone on the job					0
3. The chance to do different things from time to time					
4. The chance to be "somebody" in the community					
5. The way my boss handles his/her workers					
6. The competence of my supervisor in making decisions					
7. Being able to do things that don't go against my conscience					
8. The way my job provides for steady employment					
9. The chance to do things for other people			0		
10. The chance to tell people what to do					
11. The chance to do something that makes use of my abilities					
12. The way company policies are put into practice					
13. My pay and the amount of work I do					0
14. The chances for advancement on this job					
15. The freedom to use my own judgment					
16. The chance to try my own methods of doing the job	D				
17. The working conditions					
18. The way my co-workers get along with each other				D	
19. The praise I get for doing a good job					
20. The feeling of accomplishment I get from the job	Very Dissol.	Dissel.	п	C Sot.	Very Sat.

Section IV-B

Normative Data for the Short-Form MSQ

Details of data collection and questionnaire administration for the following groups:

Assemblers
Clerks
Engineers
Janitors and Maintenancemen
Machinists
Salesmen

These groups were developed by choosing individuals from the Minneapolis and St. Paul city directories who were listed as being employed in one of the six occupational groups. Each individual was telephoned by a member of the Work Adjustment Project staff to verify his job title (in the case of engineers, to determine also whether he was a graduate professional engineer) and to obtain telephone number and address. When lists of names with verified occupation and address information were developed, letters were sent to the listed individuals soliciting their cooperation and describing the Work Adjustment Project and its goals.

Within one week, each listed individual was contacted by telephone to arrange for an interview by a member of the Work Adjustment Project staff. A total of 4,191 individuals were contacted, of which 3,074 (or approximately 75%) agreed to be interviewed, while the remaining 25% refused to participate. A total of 2,858 interviews

were completed.

At the completion of an interview, each respondent was asked to participate further in the study by taking a test battery at the University. Those who participated in the testing program were given written interpretations of their test results. Of the 2,858 persons interviewed, 757 (or about 37%) refused to participate in the testing program. Of the remaining 2,101 individuals, complete sets of test data were obtained on 1,460, or approximately two-thirds of the group volunteering to take the tests. The full test battery included measures of abilities, needs, and satisfaction.

As a result of this method of data collection, only job titles are available as descriptions of the groups. An individual was classified in a given group if the job title he gave included the titles listed

above.

ENGINEERS (N=387)

Sample Characteristics

Data source. See page 112.

N	%		N	%
Age		Tenure in present occupation		
18 to 25 12	3	1 year or less	4	1
26 to 35 124	32	2 to 5 years	80	21
36 to 4540	10	6 to 10	81	21
46 to 55	20	11 to 20	32	8
56 to 65	10	21 to 30	69	18
65 and over 0	0	31 years and over	21	5
		Training for present occupation	n	
Di. 130		on the job training	62	16
Disabling condition	01	company training program	84	22
none	91	apprenticeship	15	4
single disabling condition 30	8	trade, technical, or busi-		
multiple disabling		ness school	49	13
condition 4	1	college degree 3	376	97
		Years of full-time experience		
Number of previous jobs		1 year or less	3	1
0	69		68	18
1 or 2 87	22		65	17
3 to 525	6	11 to 20 years 1	129	33
6 to 109	2		84	22
11 and over 0	0		38	10

		Scale	
·	Intrinsic	Extrinsic	General
Mean	. 48.53	21.32	77.88
Standard Deviation		4.38	11.92
Hoyt reliability coefficient		.82	.92
Standard error of measurement		1.86	3.29
Percentiles			
1	. 16	8	29
5	. 36	13	59
10	. 40	14	64
15	. 42	16	68
20	. 44	17	70
25	. 45	18	72
30	. 46	19	73
35	. 47	20	75
40	. 48	*****	77
45		21	78
50	. 49		79
55	. 50	22	80
60	51		81
65		23	82
70	. 52	*****	83
75	. 53	24	85
80	. 54		86
85		25	88
90	. 55	26	90
95	. 58	27	93
99	. 60	29	98

OFFICE CLERKS (N=227)

Sample Characteristics

Data source. See page 112.

	N	%		N	%
Age			Tenure in present occupation		
18 to 25	17	7	1 year or less	7	3
26 to 35	65	29	2 to 5 years	54	24
36 to 45	55	24	6 to 10	43	19
46 to 55	50	22	11 to 20	70	31
56 to 65	35	15	21 to 30	26	11
65 and over	5	2	31 years and over	27	12
			Training for present occupation	on	
DI 131 1141			on the job training	74	32
Disabling condition			company training program	22	10
none	185	81	apprenticeship	24	11
single disabling condition	38	17	trade, technical, or busi-		
multiple disabling		_	ness school	89	39
condition	4	2	college degree	21	
			Years of full-time experience		
Number of previous jobs			1 year or less	0	0
0	67	29	2 to 5 years	24	- 11
1 or 2	96	42	6 to 10 years	34	15
3 to 5	50	22	11 to 20	57	25
6 to 10	13	6	21 to 30 years	68	30
11 and over	1	4	31 years and over	54	24

		Scale	
	Intrinsic	Extrinsic	General
Mean	47.32	19.37	74.48
Standard Deviation	7.67	4.95	12.45
Hoyt reliability coefficient	88	.79	.90
Standard error of measuremen		2.28	3.89
Percentiles			
1	21	6	38
5		11	52
10		12	57
15		13	60
20	41	14	64
25	40	15	66
30		16	69
35	4.0	17	72
40	45	18	73
45	40		74
50		19	75
55		20	77
60		21	79
65			80
70		22	82
75		23	84
80		_ 	85
O.E.		24	86
00		25 25	88
^-		25 26	
00			91
99	59	28	95

SALESMEN (N=195)

Sample Characteristics

Data source. See page 112.

1	N %		N	%
Age	44.44.44	Tenure in present occupation		
18 to 25	10 5	1 year or less	6	3
26 to 35	43 22	2 to 5 years	31	16
36 to 45	53 27	6 to 10 years	39	20
46 to 55	57 29	11 to 20	76	39
56 to 65	32 16	21 to 30	27	14
65 and over	0 0	31 years and over	16	8
		Training for present occupation	on	
Disabling condition		on the job training	58	30
Disabling condition	01 00	company training program	47	24
	61 82	apprenticeship	12	6
	32 16	trade, technical or busi-		
multiple disabling		ness school	49	25
condition	2 1	college degree	27	14
•		Years of full-time experience		
Number of previous jobs		1 year or less	1	1
	57 29	2 to 5 years	18	9
1 or 2	90 46	6 to 10 years	16	8
3 to 5	38 19	11 to 20 years	69	35
6 to 10	10 5	21 to 30 years	38	19
11 and over	0 0	31 years and over	53	27

		Scale	
	Intrinsic	Extrinsic	General
Mean	. 50.24	21.38	79.82
Standard Deviation		4.71	11.82
Hoyt reliability coefficient	90	.81	.91
Standard error of measuremen		2.08	3.57
Percentiles		•	
1	18	9	33
5		12	56
10		14	64
15		15	69
20		16	72
25	. 40	18	74
30		19	76
35	40	20	78
40			80
45	•	21	81
50		22	82
55			83
60		23	84
0.0		20	85
		•••••	86
		94	
		24	87
80	" ==	25	88
85		26	90
90		27	92
95		28	95
99	. 60	30 ,	99

JANITORS AND MAINTENANCEMEN (N=242)

Sample Characteristics

Data source. See page 112.

	N	%		N	%
Age			Tenure in present occupation		
18 to 25	. 3	1	1 year or less	4	2
26 to 35	. 33	14	2 to 5 years	41	17
36 to 45	. 59	24	6 to 10	58	24
46 to 55	. 77	32	11 to 20	92	38
56 to 65	. 55	23	21 to 30	25	10
65 and over	13	5	31 years and over	22	9
			Training for present occupati	on	
D1 -131 3141			on the job training	72	30
Disabling condition			company training program	39	16
none		79	apprenticeship	43	18
single disabling condition a multiple disabling	. 41	17	trade, technical, or busi-		
condition	. 9	4	ness school	83	34
		_	college degree	0	0
			Years of full-time experience	2	
Number of previous jobs			1 year or less	0	0
0	. 19	8	2 to 5 years	2	1
1 or 2	. 100	41	6 to 10 years	13	5
3 to 5	. 94	39	11 to 20 years	49	20
6 to 10		12	21 to 30 years	70	29
11 and over	. 1	4	31 years and over	106	44

		Scale	
	Intrinsic	Extrinsic	General
Mean	. 49.03	20.99	78.01
Standard Deviation	. 6.91	4.86	11.51
Hoyt reliability coefficient		.79	.89
Standard error of measuremen	t 2.56	2.21	3.75
Percentiles			
1	26	9	44
5	36	12	58
10	40	14	62
15	42	15	68
20	44	16	70
25	46	17	72
30	47	18	73
35		19	75
40	48	20	76
45			77
50	49	21	78
55	50	22	79
60	51		80
65		23	82
70	. 52	*****	83
75	53	24	85
80	54	25	88
85	55	26	89
90	56	27	91
95	59	28	95
99	60	30	98

MACHINISTS (N=240)

Sample Characteristics

Data source. See page 112.

N	%		N	%
		Tenure in present occupation		
. 14	6	1 year or less	2	1
. 53	22	2 to 5 years	25	10
. 53	22	6 to 10	31	13
. 87	36	11 to 20	70	29
. 38	16	21 to 30	85	35
. 3	1	31 years and over	27	11
		Training for present occupati	on	
				34
			26	11
			82	34
. 27	11		-	•
_	_		128	53
. 8	3		-0	Õ
			•	•
			1	0
RR	28		ŝ	9
			_	10
				25
		21 to 30 years	70	33
. 0	ō	31 years and over		30
	14 53 53 87 38 3 3 205 27 8	. 14 6 . 53 22 . 53 22 . 87 36 . 38 16 . 3 1 . 205 85 . 27 11 . 8 3	Tenure in present occupation 14 6 1 year or less 53 22 2 to 5 years 53 22 6 to 10 87 36 11 to 20 38 16 21 to 30 3 1 31 years and over Training for present occupation the job training company training program apprenticeship trade, technical, or business school college degree Years of full-time experience 1 year or less 68 28 2 to 5 years 98 41 6 to 10 years 29 4 21 to 30 years	Tenure in present occupation 14 6 1 year or less 2 53 22 2 to 5 years 25 53 22 6 to 10 31 87 36 11 to 20 70 38 16 21 to 30 85 3 1 31 years and over 27 Training for present occupation on the job training 81 company training program 26 apprenticeship 82 trade, technical, or business school 128 college degree 0 Years of full-time experience 1 year or less 1 68 28 2 to 5 years 5 98 41 6 to 10 years 23 28 12 11 to 20 years 60 9 4 21 to 30 years 79

		Scale	
	Intrinsic	Extrinsic	General
Mean	48.28	19.70	75.71
Standard Deviation	6.78	5.03	11.52
Hoyt reliability coefficient	86	.82 .	.90
Standard error of measuremen		2.13	3.70
Percentiles			
1	27	7	43
5	35	10	55
10		12	59
15	40	14	62
20	43	15	66
25	45	16	69
30	40	17	71
35			72
40	47	18	74
45	48	19	75
50	49	20	77
55	50	21	78
60			79
65	51	22	81
70	52	23	82
75			84
80	53		. 85
85		24	86
90		25	88
95		26	92
99		28	97

ASSEMBLERS (N=74)

Sample Characteristics

Data source. See page 112.

_	N	%		N	%	
Age			Tenure in present occupation			
18 to 25	7	9	l year or less	1	1	
26 to 35	22	30	2 to 5 years	24	32	
36 to 45	20	27	6 to 10	14	19	
46 to 55	16	22	11 to 20	27	36	
56 to 65	7	9	21 to 30	8	11	
65 and over	0	0	31 years and over	0	0	
		Training for present occupa				
Dischling condition			on the job training	21	28	
Disabling condition	05		company training program	3	4	
none	65	88	apprenticeship	3	4	
single disabling condition	8	11	trade, technical, or busi-	-		
multiple disabling	_		ness school	20	27	
condition	1	1	college degree	1	-i	
			Years of full-time experience			
Number of previous jobs			1 year or less	0	0	
0	12	16	2 to 5 years	11	- 15	
1 or 2	35	47	6 to 10 years	Ŕ	11	
3 to 5	20	27	11 to 20 years	19	26	
6 to 10	6	-8	21 to 30 years	18	24	
11 and over	ĩ	ĭ	31 years and over	18	24	

		Scale		
	Intrinsic	Extrinsic	General	
Mean	44.53	17.89	69.78	
Standard Deviation	7.18	5.03	11.41	
Hoyt reliability coefficient	84	.80	.87	
Standard error of measuremen	t 2.88	2.24	4.08	
Percentiles				
1	26	7	39	
5	31	8	48	
10	35	11	52	
15	08	12	55	
20		13	59	
25			61	
30		14	65	
35	4.4	15	66	
40	40	16	68	
45		17	69	
50		18	70	
55		19	72	
60		10	73	
65		20	75	
70		21	77	
75		41	79	
80		22	80	
05		23	82	
00	- 4	23 24	83	
05	···· ==	25 25		
00		25 26	86 97	
89	36	20	87	

ELECTRICAL ASSEMBLERS (N=358)

Job description. D.O.T. 728.884. Assembles electrical instruments, such as ammeters, galvanometers, and voltmeters.

Administration. Questionnaires were administered to employees on the job.

Sample Characteristics

N	%		N	%
Age		Sex		
18 to 25 45	13	male	171	48
26 to 35 97	27	female	184	52
36 to 45 109	31	Tenure in present job		
46 to 55 80	22	l year or less	0	0
56 to 65 21	6	2 to 5 years	70	20
66 and over1	Ō	6 to 10 years	71	20
Education		11 to 20 years	189	53
less than 12 years 131	37	21 to 30 years	26	7
high school graduate 203	57	31 years and over	2	1
some college 17	5			
college graduate 1	0	·		

		Scale	
	Intrinsic	Extrinsic	General
Mean	42.33	18.07	67.47
Standard Deviation	7.82	4.84	12.26
Hoyt reliability coefficient	84	.77	.88.
Standard error of measuremen	t 3.12	2.34	4.28
Percentiles			
1	21	7	38
5	28	10	47
10	31	11	51
15	33	12	54
20	34	13	56
25	36	14	58
30	37	*****	60
35	39	15	61
40	41	16	64
45	42	17	66
50	43	18	68
55	44	******	69
60	45	19	71
65	46	20	73
70	47		74
75		21	76
80	48	22	78
85	50	, 23	80
90	51	24	84
95	54	25	86
99	58	28	92